

2023 COASTAL MASTER PLAN

PUBLIC HEARING TRANSCRIPTS

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL A.1

REPORT: VERSION 01 DATE: MARCH 2023 PREPARED BY: CPRA





COASTAL PROTECTION AND RESTORATION AUTHORITY 150 TERRACE AVENUE BATON ROUGE, LA 70802 WWW.COASTAL.LA.GOV

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OVERVIEW	3
BATON ROUGE	
HOUMA	60
NEW ORLEANS	122
LAKE CHARLES	195

CITATION

Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana. (2023). 2023 Coastal Master Plan: Supplemental Material A.1: Public Hearing Transcripts. Version 1. (p. 286). Baton Rouge, Louisiana: Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority.

OVERVIEW

In an effort to engage citizens and stakeholders across coastal Louisiana, open public hearings were held to provide overviews of the draft versions of both the 2023 Coastal Master Plan and the FY24 Annual Plan. During the hearings, CPRA solicited feedback and comments to be considered in the implementation of the final plans.

The following Public Hearing Transcripts include a full transcription of spoken word from the four public hearing meetings conducted by CPRA staff during the public comment period.



Transcript of the Testimony of CPRA 2023 Meeting for Master Plan and Annual Plan - Baton Rouge,

Date: January 31, 2023

Case: CPRA 2023 Meeting for Master Plan and Annual Plan -

Baton Rouge

Pilant Court Reporting Phone: (800) 841-6863

Fax: (877) 474-5268

IN THE MATTER OF:

STATE OF LOUISIANA COASTAL PROTECTION & RESTORATION AUTHORITY

BATON ROUGE MEETING FOR MASTER PLAN & ANNUAL PLAN

JANUARY 31, 2023

The CPRA Meeting for MASTER PLAN & ANNUAL PLAN, taken in connection with the above captioned matter at East Baton Rouge Parish Library - Bluebonnet Regional Branch, 9200 Bluebonnet Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70810, on Tuesday, January 31, 2023 at 5:30 p.m.

Reported by:

Jenna Limjuco, BA-CCR Certified Court Reporter #2018004



CPRA 2023 Meeting for Master Plan and Annual Plan - Baton Rouge CPRA 2023 Meeting for Master Plan and Annual Plan - Baton Rouge,

1 2 3	INDEX	Page 3
2	INDEX	
3		
4		Page
_		
5	Caption	. 1
6	Appearances	. 2
7	Proceedings	. 4
8		
9	Reporter's Page	. 53
10	Reporter's Certificate	. 54
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

THERESE WALKER:

My name is Therese Walker. I am the outreach and engagement team for CPRA, so I'm going to talk you through just a little bit of housekeeping here, go through the agenda, and then we'll get started.

So as some of you may know, we're taking kind of both Master Plan and Annual Plan -we'll walk you through both of those in this meeting, so the way that tonight's going to work, we will have an Annual Plan presentation -- I mean -- sorry -- a Master Plan presentation shortly after this. After that presentation concludes, we will begin public comment period on the Master Plan. So anyone who wants to do -- speak verbal comments will have an opportunity to do so then on the Master Plan.

Once we've gone through all of those comments, we'll then transition over to talk about the Annual Plan, in which case, we'll do the same -- short presentation followed by the public comment period -- and then we'll wrap up.

So ways to comment during this meeting:



there are comment cards and statement cards spread throughout the room, so if you would like to comment on the Master Plan, which is the first portion of tonight, there's a written comment card that you can submit and you can just write it out and put it down, you don't have to speak; or if you'd like to speak, you'll fill out a green statement card and just give it to either someone on the sides, one of our CPRA team, and we'll go ahead, and then you'll speak after the presentation.

Same thing with the Annual Plan. If you would just like to write a comment, there's white comment cards throughout the room, and blue statement cards if you'd like to give a verbal statement on the Annual Plan. We'll go through that all again. I know it's a lot of information. There's also information from each speaker on how to submit comments outside of tonight's meeting.

So with that, I will hand it over to Chip Kline.

CHIP KLINE:

Good evening, everybody. I want to first



just thank everybody for being here tonight.

As Therese said, my name is Chip Kline. I serve as Executive Assistant to the Governor for Coastal Activities and CPRA Board

Chairman, and this has been a long time coming. Many of you here tonight are very involved in the Coastal Program. You stay up to date on our progress, and so many of you know that the Master Plan really is the vision -- the State's vision -- for the long-term sustainability of Louisiana's coast and the 20 coastal parishes that are in the coastal zone.

So if you were to pick up a copy of the draft Master Plan, number one, it would tell you about the risk that you face where you live and work. It would tell you about the risk to South Louisiana if we do nothing, if we do not implement a single coastal restoration or hurricane protection project over the next several years. But perhaps most importantly for tonight, it lists the projects. It spells out the priorities for the state's Coastal Program over the next several years to restore and protect Coastal

Louisiana.

And so I would just encourage all of you -- the phrase I like to use is "productive participation." Obviously, you're here tonight to make comments, but we're here tonight to hear from you. And so I would just encourage you to visit with staff, the CPRA staff members we have here. We have our Executive Director, Bren Haase; Deputy Executive Director, Greg Grandy; Stu Brown, who heads up our Master Plan Team. If you have a question that cannot be answered tonight by the staff that we have here, then we're in trouble; okay? So, hopefully, you all participated in the open house, had some informal conversations.

I do want to remind you that going back to the 2012 Plan -- when that plan was in draft format and we went through the public comment period, both in 2012 and in 2017, there were changes to the plan based upon what we heard from all of you. And so I don't want you to think that you're just going to come up here and make a comment that's going to fall on deaf ears. We do



take these comments seriously. We do take them into consideration, and probably more often than not, there are changes to the plan based upon the feedback that we receive.

And so Therese talked a little bit about the Master Plan, so that is the long-term -- the 50-year vision of the State for the sustainability of South Louisiana, just as important as the Annual Plan, which is our kind of funding authorization for the next fiscal year.

In Fiscal Year '23, we have had a record-breaking year of \$1.3 billion dollars, the most revenue that we've had come into the Coastal Program since it was created back in 2005, 2006. In Fiscal Year '24, we are going to exceed that \$1.3 billion dollar mark, and looking at an annual expenditure somewhere in the neighborhood of about \$1.7 billion.

So Master Plan, again, long-term vision;
Annual Plan is going to be the immediate
priorities and the implementation sequencing
of projects over the next three years. It
provides a three year outlook on our revenues
and expenditures, so that's just as important



for you to comment. So you'll have the opportunity to comment on both of those.

One of the things that I'm hoping is not lost on the public is we spend a lot of time in these meetings talking about the plan, the vision, the opportunity that is in front of the Coastal Program, but I hope you recognize the progress that the Coastal Program has made over the last several years.

Since 2007, we've secured close to \$30 billion dollars. We've restored over 70 miles of barrier islands; restored close to 60,000 acres of new land; and constructed over 300 miles of levees. To put that into perspective, 300 miles of levees is approximately the distance of getting in your car and driving from Baton Rouge to Birmingham, Alabama.

And so there's been incredible progress made. I think that there's a lot to be proud of, but as we say in the Coastal Program, the opportunity that is in front of the Coastal Program because of the vision outline in the Master Plan, because of the political will and the necessary funding, we have an

opportunity in front of us that we will take full advantage of.

And so before I turn it back over to Stu,
I do want to just thank the CPRA staff. I
know people start to roll their eyes when I
start thanking people who work in state
government, but sometimes I don't think I
even fully appreciate the amount of work that
it takes us to get to this point.

As I said earlier, as soon as the '17 Master Plan was approved by the legislature -- by the way, unanimously approved. We've never had a Master Plan with a "no" vote in the legislature, which is unheard of in Louisiana politics when you're talking about prioritizing \$50 billion dollars.

But as soon as that plan was approved,
Stu and his team went to work on this plan,
and so there's just been an incredible amount
of work, and as I often say, I feel like the
CPRA staff represents the best of state
government, and I'm just really proud of the
team and the work that you all have done to
get us to this point.

And with that, I am going to turn over to

Stu, who's going to run through some slides on the Master Plan, Annual Plan, and thank you very much for being here.

STUART BROWN:

Alright. Thank you, Chip. I am Stuart Brown. I manage the strategic planning branch of CPRA, and we're responsible for the development of the Coastal Master Plan.

Before we get started, just to add to what Therese mentioned earlier, we are accepting public comments through March 25. There are four ways you can submit your public comments: here in person -- Therese outlined the comment cards to make a comment into the microphone after this presentation. You can also send public comments to masterplan@la.gov. We ask that you post "Public Comment" in the subject line to help us sort through the emails.

We also, if you have questions for us, you can also contact us there. We have a form online that you can submit comments through. You can access it through that QR code, or you can access it through our website. And lastly, again, mail comments to

the address here on the screen, and we'll come back to this slide at the end of the presentation.

So many of you all, I suspect, are familiar with this, but just to set the stage for kind of why you are here and why we have a Master Plan, Coastal Louisiana has been experiencing a land loss crisis for nearly a century. We've lost almost 2,000 square miles of coastal wetlands since 1932. There are many causes for this land loss. Some of these are natural processes you would expect to see in the systems that we're living in, but a lot of it is exacerbated by or caused by human activity.

Land loss is, of course, significant from a landscape and habitat perspective -- it directly impacts the fisheries and wildlife resources that depend on these wetlands. It, of course, then impacts the jobs and livelihoods that rely on these resources. Land change also impacts industries like agriculture, navigation, oil and gas -- the industries that help make up a lot of the economy of South Louisiana. And of course,

land loss also increases the flooding impacts of hurricanes and tropical storms that affect nearly all coastal communities. The Master Plan is the guiding document to how we meet these challenges.

So what is the Master Plan? At its core, the Master Plan is a prioritization outline. How can the State spend its money most costeffectively over the next 50 years to reduce storm surge based flood risk, and build and maintain coastal wetlands? Importantly, it is not a program or a funding source. We'll talk about some of those with the Annual Plan in a little bit. Again, it is a prioritization effort.

As money becomes available through these different funding sources, these are the projects that we want to pursue. As money becomes available, these projects move into feasibility studies, engineering and design, and ultimately, construction.

Additionally, the Master Plan is developed through a process that ensures adaptive management. We're required to update this plan every six years. This

process allows us to re-evaluate the tools that we're using, allows us to incorporate the best available science, and it allows us to re-evaluate the process that we're using to develop this plan. A lot of the changes that we've made from 2017 now has been based on feedback we got through the 2017 process, and shortly after, that plan was completed.

It is built on world-class science and engineering. It advances a comprehensive and integrated approach to restoration and risk reduction. It incorporates extensive public input and review. And this last bullet point is something that we are trying to emphasize with this Master Plan, is that it illustrates how people and communities will experience a changing coast, and allow for preparation and adaptation.

So we know that the coast 20 to 50 years from now is going to look very different than the coast we have today, whether we're a hundred percent successful at implementing these projects that we've identified, or not. We also know that the project of having a resilient coast is much bigger than the

restoration and risk reduction projects that CPRA implements, though that is a big piece of it.

The project of having a resilient coast will be the result of countless decisions made by individuals, communities, businesses, local governments, all levels of government, and we want to make sure that the information that we produce as part of this plan is there to help inform those individuals and entities as they make those decisions about their future in Coastal Louisiana.

So what's at stake? Our homes, our jobs, and our culture. The goal is to have a resilient coast in which people can continue to live and work and take advantage of all the things that make Coastal Louisiana so economically, ecologically, and culturally valuable.

So looking forward to this process, the Plan was released on January 6. We will have public comments open through March 25. We are here at the first of four public hearings we'll be having across the coast. Based on that feedback we get through this process,



we'll be revising the draft plan and submitting a revised plan to the CPRA Board for approval on April 19, and the final plan will be submitted to the legislature following that approval.

So here, we get a general overview of the process by which we identify and select projects to be included in the Master Plan.

I know this is a busy figure. I think it's on page five of the Plan if you want to dive into it in any more detail, but the key for right now is those titles at the top:

Projects, Modeling, and Prioritization. We start with a suite of candidate projects, we model those projects to try to understand how it'll perform over time, and then we prioritize the suite of projects that is most beneficial in terms of reducing storm surge, base flood risk, and building and maintaining coastal wetlands.

So again, we start with a suite of candidate projects. These come from past plans; they come from public solicitations.

And for this Master Plan, we developed regional work groups to spend time developing

new project concepts and also re-evaluate some of the concepts that have bee floating around for years that perhaps had areas where we could improve the project concept.

We then take those projects and we model them. So we use an amalgam of models to understood how the landscape is going to change, how that changing landscape impacts storm surge, and how future -- and the future damages that storm surged based flooding will cause.

So we model these projects to understand how they'll perform over time under a range of future environmental conditions, and we compare those to a baseline in which we don't implement the projects that we call "Our Future without Action." So we're comparing the impacts of our projects versus a future in which we don't implement those projects, and that's how we evaluate the benefits. And then the final step is prioritization.

So the planning tool is really an optimization equation, so the models give us the benefits a project may have, or the impacts a project may have, and the planning

tool is where we select the suite of projects that give us the greatest impact in terms of, again, building and maintaining coastal wetlands and reducing storm surge based flood risk. We also develop metrics based on model outputs and community characteristics to better understand how the changing coast and the projects we're evaluating impact different communities and different resources.

In this section, I'll talk about some of the improvements since the 2017 Plan. So back to our diagram for a moment, this is just to point out that the starting point for this Master Plan is the previous Master Plan -- master plans. We are building off of the tools and processes used in previous plans, but we're updating those based on advances in the underlying science, as well as based on feedback we received through the 2017 process and through the development of this plan.

So we're going to step through some of the examples of areas where we were able to incorporate new or newly available data. This is not an exhaustive list. There are

quite a few thousand pages of documentation on our website to help talk about all of the model improvements that we've been able to incorporate, but here are a few examples. One of those areas is in our storm suite.

So this is a synthetic -- a suite of synthetic storms that we use to project current and future exposure to flooding.

This was developed by the Army Corps. The storm suite that we now have available to us is a tremendous improvement over the previous storm suite. In short, it has given us a greater ability to project existing and future storm surge.

Another area of improvement is in our asset development. So the storm surge modeling tells us what flood depths we may see in the future. The asset database helps tell us what will be damaged by that flooding; what sort of risk we experience due to flooding. And so there have been huge advances in the availability and quality of asset databases. Additionally, working with one of our partners from Purdue University, David Johnson, who put together a machine

learning algorithm to estimate the first floor elevations of homes based on Google Streetview imagery, so this represents a huge improvement.

We previously had to make assumptions about first floor elevations based on a fairly limited number of surveys. Now, we have much more precise information or specific information on first floor elevations, and of course, this makes a big difference when we're trying to defend what sort of damage a certain level of flooding would cause.

This is another area that is very well document elsewhere, and I'll have to get at a really high level, but in addition to the new data that's available, we also have been able to reassess some of the assumptions we have about the systems that we're modeling. And so the Coastwide Reference Monitoring System is a monitoring system that looks at vegetation, hydrology, soils, and a number of other variables. We now have 12, 15 years of data in this data set that's allowed us to reassess some of the fundamental assumptions

we had made about how wetlands respond to certain stresses and how they potentially recover from certain stresses, and this has been able to be incorporated into our modeling, and represents a really significant improvement.

Another set of significant advances has been in our understanding of environmental drivers. When we're talking about environmental drivers, some of these include climate related drivers like temperature and sea level rise, as well as things like subsidence, which, of course, are not tied to climate.

Despite these advancements, there remains a fair amount of uncertainty because -- because of this amount of uncertainty, we take a scenario approach to better understand our range of possible future outcomes. So you'll see in the Plan and in this presentation, we'll talk about a lower environment scenario and a higher environmental scenario.

These are not meant to be precise predictions of future conditions. They're



meant to help us understand a range of possible futures and to test the projects that we're evaluating against these future conditions, and our goal is to select a suite of projects that is robust, that will perform well whether we realize a more severe future condition -- future environmental condition, or a more moderate future environmental condition.

In addition to the data and incorporating the best available science, we also have improvements in our process. And as I mentioned, a lot of this is based on feedback from the 2017 process, and so one of the things we have heard is that we needed to take a more localized approach, a regional approach. The problems at the Chenier Plain are not the problems of Terrebonne, are not the problems of the Northshore, and the solutions for those areas are different as well.

And so one of the steps was to develop regional work groups. We have five regional work groups across the coast. These serve, as I mentioned earlier, to help develop new

project ideas. They also serve as a venue to continue to communicate progress throughout the plan, and they also serve as a venue where we can hear and learn about regionspecific issues. And if you open the Plan, you'll see about a full third of the Plan is dedicated to these regional sections with their own storylines about what is important and what is at risk in these different areas of the coast, and a lot of that came out of these regional work groups.

Another process improvement is that we developed a new risk metric -- the way that we measure risk -- to try to better understand and better address questions of equity in our project selection process, and this is called "Expected Annual Structure Damage." You may have seen it in the document or in the data viewer, and through this presentation, we'll talk about both expected annual structure damage and expected annual damage in dollars, which is a more traditional dollar value of future damages.

Another process improvement we touched on briefly is that we are selecting projects



across a range of potential future outcomes for this plan. So rather than pinning our plan to a single projection of future conditions, we're trying to select projects that will effective, again, whether we realize more severe future environmental conditions, or more moderate future environmental conditions.

And lastly, the previous improvements we've been talking about have largely been focused around the process we use to select projects. We also have what we're calling exploratory analysis, which are kind of one-off modeling efforts. They're not related to the project selection process, but are really there to help illustrate how the coast is going to change, perhaps in ways that folks may understand better than when we talk about the somewhat abstract metrics changing by a percentage.

And so there are a number of examples of this that are written up in the Plan in those regional sections, but one example is the high tide flooding analysis that we did for a handful of communities. So high tide

flooding, we're talking about flooding that
you may see from kind of normal weather
events -- not tropical storms, not hurricanes
-- and there are areas of our coast that are
currently at risk of high tide flooding.

There are areas of our coast that are likely to be at risk of high tide flooding in the future, and so we've identified a handful of communities. We've identified key places in those communities to try to understand, one, how -- what exposure do they have to high tide flooding now; what exposure will they have to high tide flooding 25 years from now; and what exposure to high tide flooding might they have 50 years from now.

So the 2023 Master Plan, like the 2017 Plan, this is a 50-year, \$50 billion dollar plan. We've identified 61 restoration projects, 12 structural risk reduction projects, and \$11 billion dollars as identified for non-structural risk reduction projects -- and by non-structural, we're talking about elevating homes, flood proofing businesses, and the voluntary acquisition in areas that are exposed to really high levels



of flooding.

So a few highlights from the restoration projects. We've identified \$2.7 billion dollars in new diversions. We say "new diversions" because, importantly, some of the diversions that, perhaps, you've seen in the news recently -- the Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion, the Mid-Breton Sediment Diversion, and the river reintroduction into Maurepas Swamp are considered in our baseline in our future without action.

These projects have identified funding sources. They're far enough along in the process that we are going to assume that they will be in the landscape because we want to select additional projects that will work synergistically with those projects, that won't have negative interactions with those projects.

Another highlight, we are identifying over \$19 billion dollars in dredging projects -- dedicated dredging, marsh creation, barrier islands remains a huge part of our restoration program. In this case, nearly four-fifths of the total budget is allocated



to dredging projects.

And in the last bullet point, we've also identified a handful of project types that, for various reasons, don't really fit into our prioritization framework, and these are projects that are best evaluated on a case by case basis, but we want to set aside funding to illustrate the importance of them and the continued investment that we intend to have in those projects. And so barrier islands are a type of project that CPRA has a Barrier Island Sediment Management Program that is set up to prioritize on a different time scale and spatial scale than the Master Plan operates.

Similarly, the small scale hydrologic restoration, oyster reef restoration, shoreline and protection projects -- these are effective projects, typically on a smaller scale than the types of projects that we evaluate through the Master Plan, and these are projects that are best evaluated and prioritized through programs that exist, like the Restoration Partnership Fund, like CWPPRA, like the Parish Matching Program.



And so what the Master Plan, the restoration projects deliver: 314 square miles of land is built or maintained that otherwise would be lost in year 50 under our lower scenario. Under our higher scenario, that's 233 square miles, though we do see greater benefit in year 40. Though some of the projects that are identified and put on the landscape early in the process are unable to keep up with sea level rise and higher rates of subsidence in that higher scenario, and that's why you see that dip between year 40 and year 50.

So this is our lower scenario at year 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50, and now we're going to switch to the higher scenario at year 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50. I should have mentioned this before, but the blues are our land change — the land that is lost to divergence to open water; the greens are land that is built to maintain.

With risk reduction, we've identified 12 structural risk reduction projects for a cost of about \$14 billion dollars. We've also identified \$11.2 billion dollars of the



Master Plan budget that is best allocated towards non-structural risk reduction. So that's to say of the \$25 billion dollars, our analysis suggests that \$11.2 billion of that is best invested in non-structural risk reduction.

In this Master Plan, we are not identifying specific communities as being in the Master Plan or not in the Master Plan for non-structural risk reduction. This is largely due to the scale in which we're evaluating these projects -- which is a community scale -- and the scale in which we typically implement it, which is usually a case by -- a structure by structure basis, or sometimes a street.

But within the scale that we're
evaluating a community like maybe, say,
Mandeville, there may be really good
investments for non-structural; there may be
not so good investments for non-structural.
And by potentially selecting or not selecting
the project, we may include certain areas
from having access to non-structural funding.
We don't want to do that, so we have not



identified specific projects as in or out.

But what we do have is a lot of information on the amount of risk in these communities, the amount of risk that could be brought down through different non-structural interventions, as well as information on the residual risk if you were to include them under the current structural measures, and that can serve as a starting point for people who are interested in potentially evaluating these alternatives, or people who are interested in trying to solicit funding for non-structural projects.

So these are future with action flood depths, one of the maps on the side there. These are one percent annual exceedance probability flood depths. With the plan, you see that fairly sharp change in colors where you have the projects -- the structural projects with much lower flood depths behind the structural protection projects. And this is the difference between the future with and future without, and so you see decreased flood depths behind those structures; you see increased flood depths in front of those

structures. That is the water tends to stack up against those structures when you put them in.

You also see some reduction in flood depths in the Chenier Plain. That's not tied to structural protection. That is the result of restoration projects that we put in, so that illustrates that these restoration projects do have an impact on storm surge. And this is what it looks like in terms of the change in risk, and so the blues and greens are reductions in risk. We see that signature behind the structural projects, and we also see that signature out in the Lake Charles -- barrier Lake Charles area where those restoration projects do have an impact on reducing storm surge and risk compared to a future without action.

And so to summarize the risk reduction, compared to a future without action, fully implementing the plan could result in a 70 percent reduction of risk under our lowest scenario, and a 60 percent reduction in risk under the higher environmental scenario, as measured in expected annual damage in

dollars. If we're measuring that in the expected annual structure damage, it shows a similar effect, reducing coast-wide risk by around 78 percent under the lower scenario and 65 percent under the higher scenario.

So this is an annual reduction in expected annual damage in dollars in year 50 of \$10.8 billion dollars under the lower scenario, and \$14.6 billion dollars under the higher scenario. So this level of investment could mean that in 50 years, under our lower environmental scenario, Louisiana has less flood risk from hurricanes and tropical storms than we do today.

So to come full circle, where we are today, we are asking for public comments on this draft plan. We will incorporate those comments into our final plan, which we will seek board -- the CPRA Board to approve on April 19, and then submit the final plan to the legislature shortly after. And these, again, are the four ways that you can help with public comment and submit it to the record. And with that, I'm going to turn it over to Therese.

THERESE WALKER:

Very good. Thanks, Stu. So we'll now move into the public comment portion, and I went through this earlier, but we'll go through it again. If you would like to speak a comment, you have a green card that says "statement card" at the top. Go fill that out with just your name, address, city, ZIP, and turn that in to a CPRA staff member. There's a bunch of us around the room, so go ahead and turn that in.

We'll take them here for the next two minutes, and then we'll go through those in the order we receive them. You'll speak your comment here into the microphone over to my left, and a court reporter will just note and document the comment for you. That will be entered into the official record.

To be clear, too, this is just for the Master Plan. We will -- after we finish up here, we'll move into the Annual Plan and take comments there. So this is just Master Plan public comments. Go ahead and fill out the statement cards, and you can hand those in to any CPRA person around the room.

If you would like to submit a written comment and not speak at the microphone, you can do that anytime between now and when you leave. There are comment cards that you can see there's space to write in. Write those and drop them off at the door when you leave. So we'll take a couple minutes here to give everybody a chance to turn in statement cards.

And while we're doing that, if you decide you don't want to provide comment today, we are taking them until the 25th. I know Stu said this a couple times, but just to go through it again, there's plenty other ways to submit a comment other than today.

BREN HAASE:

The 25th of March.

THERESE WALKER:

The 25th of March, sorry. We'll give everybody one more minute here, and then we'll get rolling, so make sure you turn in a statement card if you'd like to speak a comment. Any statement cards? If you want to speak, fill out a statement card and you can turn it in now.



Okay, looks like we just have one.

KEESLER MORRISON:

Last call. You can grab one here and fill it out.

THERESE WALKER:

Okay. Mr. Doug Daigle, if you can come to the front microphone here on my left. You'll just have to speak your name into the microphone, and then you can leave your statement.

DOUG DAIGLE:

Thank you. Doug Daigle. I'm the coordinator of the Louisiana Hypoxia Working Group. So I had a question, having just kind of skimmed through it quickly. The 2012 and 2017 versions of the Master Plan both included the Gulf Hypoxia Action Plan in their future, you know, and this one doesn't seem to, so just had a question about that.

You know, it has something that didn't exist in the previous plan, which is the Louisiana Watershed Initiative, but the Hypoxia Action Plan is still going on and the state's still part of it, so anyway. Just posing a question about that.

THERESE WALKER:

Alright, thank you.

BREN HAASE:

I'm going to comment. We don't typically respond to public comment, but Doug, that's a good comment, and I think you will see reference to that in the Beyond the Master Plan portion of the draft that you see now, in a file. Appreciate the comment.

THERESE WALKER:

Okay. That's all we got, so we'll roll in now to the Annual Plan presentation. Bren Haase, the executive director, is going to walk you through the Fiscal Year 2024 Annual Plan, so this one outlines our spending plan for the next fiscal year. Same process here: we'll go through a presentation, and then do a public comment period. So if you want to submit a -- speak a written -- I mean, I'm sorry -- a spoken comment, you'll fill out a blue statement card, so those are around the room as well.

BREN HAASE:

Alright, Therese. Thank you. I appreciate that, and thanks to all of you for



being here this evening. We've had a number of these meetings across the coast already, and one of the things I've been able to say is that I didn't see a lot of familiar faces at those meetings, and that's really a good thing. It means some new people are coming into the Coastal Program and getting involved, and I can say that here this evening.

I see a lot of new faces. I see some young faces in particular. I want to thank you for being here and being involved in Louisiana's Coastal Program. I'd be remiss, though, if I didn't say there are a lot of -- and I'm going to go ahead and say it -- old faces in the room as well. Folks like me that have been around for a little while; folks that have been involved in the Master Planning process from the get-go, and have laid the foundation for Louisiana's Coastal Program through the Master Plan and the Annual Plan that I'm going to talk with you about here in a bit. And so to those folks, I want to say thank you as well.

Chip, you know, mentioned that we're

going to talk Master Plan and Annual Plan, and that can be a little confusing at times. I just want to kind of reiterate: the Master Plan really is kind of a high level, longer term, "Let's set the vision for our coast and the things that we think are the priorities for the coast."

The Annual Plan that I'm going to talk with you about really takes it down a little bit into another level, and really gets into the details of, "Okay. Out of that Master Plan, what are the things that we're really going to do? What are the things that we're going to do in the next fiscal year; what are the things that we plan to do in the next three fiscal years?" And so that's what I'm going to talk to y'all about here today -- our Fiscal Year 2024 Annual Plan.

As it's been mentioned before -- you've heard this a lot already, but public comment cards are the blue cards in the back. If you'd like to make comments today, please fill one of those out just so we can identify you, but we'll take your comments through March 25 as well, both written comments and

verbal comments at the three subsequent meetings that we'll have in addition to this one as well. So you can see the information there related to making those comments.

The time line -- we already talked a little bit about that, but we're essentially on the same time line as the Master Plan. We'll be presenting a final Annual Plan to the board on April 19, and then ultimately submit that to the legislature in April of this year for consideration and approval.

So when we're talking about what we want to do in a given fiscal year, we want to talk about what we think our revenues are going to be and what our expenditures are going to be, and so you see here a list of what we think the money coming to the Coastal Program might be in the upcoming fiscal year, and we're asking -- essentially asking the legislature for the authorization to expend those funds on the projects that you'll see listed in our Annual Plan.

The first three -- or first really -- well, let me back up. The first category of funding you see there is related to royalty



sharing of offshore oil and gas production. The next three categories you see are the NRDA, the NFWF, and Restore are related to BP Oil Spill funding that are part of the settlement associated with that -- not natural disaster; that manmade disaster. You see a CWPPRA funding category there that is related to a federal program where federal funds come into the state that are matched by the state for restoration, and you see some other state funds there as well.

So we anticipate expending all the revenues that'll be coming to the state in this upcoming fiscal year, and that totals to about \$1.7 billion dollars, so another record-breaking year if all those funds come to the state and we get to expend all of those this upcoming year.

One of the things we're proud of is that about 85 percent of those funds are anticipated to be spent on construction, so building projects and getting those projects on the ground, and you can see some of the other categories there. They're relatively minor in comparison, of course, but we

operate and maintain our projects and monitor those projects. We don't just build them and walk away from them; right? There are ongoing programs and initiatives. Some of the things that Stu mentioned earlier -- our comprehensive monitoring program, some of our adaptive measure programs, and things -- obviously have operating costs, and then we also plan, of course, engineering and design projects to get those into the construction pipeline.

So I mentioned this isn't just a forecast of the next fiscal year. It also looks two additional years into the future, so if you look out at FY25 and FY26, respectively, you see that while we don't -- we're not expecting to hit that \$1.7 billion dollar range. We still are over the \$1 billion dollar range, which is kind of where we need to be with the 50 year, \$50 billion dollar plan; right?

And so again, you notice that the dollars that are being expended on construction are increasing for the next year, and then in that sort of high 80s range for FY26 as well,

so that's good news for getting projects on the ground.

So the Annual Plan by the numbers, just to -- you'll see some of these here. I mentioned \$1.5 billion dollars allocated for construction. That includes 20 dredging projects, a large portion of what we intend to do in the future. We talk a lot about our diversions -- river diversions. Those are very, very important to the Coastal Program. Obviously, dredging is a huge component to the Coastal Program as well.

20 of the projects in the upcoming fiscal year, we anticipate will be dredging projects. We'll dredge about 73.5 million cubic yards of material. That's close to 30 Superdomes worth of material. That's a lot of dirt, if you will, being moved around the coast. Those will benefit about 14.5 thousand acres of our coastal wetlands.

We'll have about 118 projects active in the upcoming fiscal year, and if you think about the level of investment that we're talking about -- \$1.7 billion dollars over a year -- that will result in a little over

10,000 direct jobs, so a significant driver in the economy across our coast is, indeed, in the state.

So getting into some of the specifics and what we plan to do where, we're going to start in the southwestern portion of the state in the southwest region. We'll have 15 active projects -- 9 projects of construction, and 6 of those projects in engineering and design. And so you see projects like the Cameron Gulf Shoreline Project that would be extending the Southwest Coastal Louisiana Project, the federal project that we'll cost share with the Corps of Engineers on to implement some significant non-structural features in that area, and the Long Point Bayou Marsh Creation Project in this portion of the state.

Moving further to the east to the south central region of the state, we anticipate 41 projects being active in this fiscal year -- 26 of those in construction, 14 in engineering and design, and a project in planning, and those include projects like the West Fourchon Marsh Creation Project,



Morganza to the Gulf, Larose to Golden Meadow Project. Those are risk reduction projects, levee projects in the central portion of our state that we saw perform quite well during Hurricane Ida two years ago. The Houma Navigation Canal Lock Complex Project and the Grand Bayou Pump Station Project, just to name a few.

Moving again further to the east to the southeast region of the state, we anticipate 62 active projects. 45 of those will be in construction in this upcoming fiscal year, 16 in engineering and design, and another project in planning in this region as well. So projects in the Lafitte area, like the Pailet Basin Tidal Protection Project, the West Shore Lake Pontchartrain Project that some of you may have heard of that is under construction now, and the large-scale Barataria Marsh Creation Project that's being lead by our friends and partners at NOAA.

Some other projects in the region that I'll mention are the Lake Borgne Marsh Creation Project, one of the largest -- not one of, the largest marsh creation project

that has ever been built by CPRA -reintroduction of Maurepas Swamp, and the
Ludvine Pump Station, just to name a few of
those.

So as part of our Annual Plan, we also develop an Annual Plan for the Atchafalaya Basin Program. So the Atchafalaya Basin Program was moved under CPRA's purview by the legislature in 2018. There will be two Atchafalaya Basin Program specific public meetings similar to this, and so more to come on those. They will be in the upcoming weeks and will be specific, again, to the Atchafalaya Basin Program, but I certainly wanted to touch on it as an important part of -- becoming a more important part certainly of our program.

The Atchafalaya Basin is connected to our coast. The knee bone is connected to the leg bone, if you will, so all these things are interconnected. But some of the projects that are anticipated this year for the Atchafalaya Basin Program include proposed dredging in Big Bayou Pigeon near its intersection with Little Pigeon and on down

Big Pigeon a ways; the Grimmett Canal
Improvements Project; Point Coupee Water Flow
and Water Quality Improvements Project. The
Henderson Lake Water Management Project is
nearly going to bid. It's been designed and
we're getting ready to start construction on
that project. Happy to announce that. The
Murphy Lake Depth Restoration Project is also
underway. There's some geo-technical
engineering, preliminary engineering that's
being done on that project, and the East
Grand Lake Upper Region Project is in
permitting at the moment.

Some other projects include the BSA Swamp Base Camp Project, Sand Cover Boat Launch Project, Cajun Coast Welcome Center Trail Project, improvements to the boat launch in Bayou Pigeon, and then something that hasn't been done for some time is an update. We're talking about Annual Plan, right, and the coastal Master Plan. Well, the Atchafalaya Basin Program has a master plan as well and we anticipate redoing that. It hasn't been done in nearly 30 years? 20 years? 20, 25 years -- something along those lines -- and

so we anticipate updating that master plan in this coming fiscal year as well.

viewer available to folks. You can access that viewer at AP24.coastal.la.gov. You can get -- there's some nice resources there related to some projects that have been built or being planned in the future: videos, press clippings, news articles, and project information associated with that -- those projects as well. So I encourage you to go there and take a look and a little bit of a deeper dive into the Annual Plan. Of course, the document is there as well for your review and to view as well.

So we will move on. I'm going to turn it back over to T. We'll move back in to our public comment period. I did want to say that anybody that you see around the room that's got a nametag like this is associated -- either works for CPRA, or is working with us on this. And so we understand a lot of times, maybe folks don't want to get up or ask a question, you know, in public, but we'll be here as long as we need to be here

tonight to talk with you about either the Annual Plan, the Atchafalaya Basin Program Annual Plan, or the Master Plan.

So we'll sort of move into kind of the formal public comment period, but I just wanted to let folks know that we're around. If you have a question or a comment, we're happy to have a one-on-one conversation with you as well. So I want to thank everybody again for coming out tonight, and I'll turn it back over to Therese.

THERESE WALKER:

Alright. So same thing as last time: if you would like to submit a formal written comment on the Annual Plan, you'll turn in a blue statement card. The team around the room has those cards as well. We'll take those for a couple of minutes here, and then anyone who's submitted one can go ahead and come up to the mic. Otherwise, we've got comment cards for the Annual Plan separate from the Master Plan that you can write and submit as well. I'll give everybody a minute or two.

Okay, one more minute here. If anybody

else has a statement card, go ahead and hold it up. If you need one, we can get you one. Okay, we'll go ahead and start with Mr. Dean Wilson. You want to go up to the microphone there, state your name for the Record, and then you can go ahead and leave your statement.

DEAN WILSON:

My name is Dean Wilson. I work for Atchafalaya Basin Keeper. I'm the executive director. I want to make a couple of comments about the Atchafalaya Annual Plan for 2024. One is the dredge Bayou Pigeon Project. I didn't have time because I just found out about this meeting yesterday to really review it. It's my understanding that you want to dredge Bayou Pigeon, Big Bayou Pigeon, and deposit the dredge material on the side that would create these poor banks.

If that's the case, that would be catastrophic for the area. There's an EPA report that, you know, it was built in 1979 in response to federal mandates that talks about detriment or dredging, and disposing of the dredge material on the sides of bayous

that would limit people's access and the fish access to the wetlands. Also, it will cause river backflowing, and it will be very devastating for the area. So part of our comment will be -- that will be to either put that dredge material in a hill, or remove it from the Atchafalaya Basin altogether.

The other one is the East Grand Bay Project, which is, as you know, a new river diversion to create wetlands and to create land. That's what it is -- it's a sediment diversion project. It's a total of 12 cuts to use river water through the sand and silt directing to the swamps. That will fill up those areas. You know, that happened in Buffalo Cove. We lost too, too much wetlands on another so-called wetlands project. It happened in Cow Bayou.

And you're going to fill those wetlands up and the Atchafalaya Basin full capacity is very important not only as a natural habitat for birds and other animals, but also you're destroying the flood capacity that we need to protect all the way Baton Rouge to New Orleans with your plans. You know, floods

- can go all the way to Lafayette. That means
 humans cannot safely survive in South Central
 Louisiana if you don't have a deep
 Atchafalaya Basin to contain a major flood if
 you have diverted from -- there are 140 miles
 from the Basin all the way to the Gulf.
 - That's the reason you put the levees back in to much support leverage is because you need flood capacity, and you're destroying the flood capacity from the Atchafalaya Basin. So we and other groups call the Atchafalaya Basin Coalition have a very strong opposition to that project. Thank you.
- 15 THERESE WALKER:
- 16 Thank you for your comment. We've got
- 17 one more from Mr. Dan --
- 18 | BREN HAASE:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 19 Kroes.
- 20 THERESE WALKER:
- 21 Kroes, there you go.
- 22 DAN KROES:
- Hello. I'm Dan Kroes from the US
 Geological Survey. I just wanted to say
 thank y'all for all the hard work you guys



have done, and I'm looking forward to seeing these projects get started and be completed.

Thank you.

BREN HAASE:

Thanks.

THERESE WALKER:

Thank you for your comment. I'll put it back on this slide just so everybody can see the other options to submit comments tonight. You're free to fill out a comment card before you leave, or you can submit those via email, mail, online. We've got some computers in the back of the room if you'd rather type it, so if you want to make a comment before you leave, grab anybody from CPRA and they'll help you with that, or if you have questions, we'll be around here for a little while after.

That concludes all of our presentations for tonight, so thank y'all for coming.

(The Public Hearing concluded at 6:22 p.m.)



REPORTER'S PAGE

I, Jenna Limjuco, Certified Court
Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana,
before whom this sworn testimony was taken,
do hereby state on the Record:

That due to the interaction in the spontaneous discourse of this proceeding, dashes (--) have been used to indicate pauses, changes in thought, interruptions, and/or talk-overs;

That this is the proper method for a court reporter's transcription of proceedings, that the dashes do not indicate that words or phrases have been left out of this transcript, and that any words and/or names which could not be verified through reference material have been denoted with the phrase "(phonetic)" or "(ph)".

gennalimpier

Jenna Limjuco, BA-CCR Certified Court Reporter Louisiana License 2018004

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature and original required seal on this page.

I, JENNA LIMJUCO, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing 52 pages is a true and correct transcription of the proceedings herein, taken down by me and transcribed under my supervision, to the best of my ability and understanding, at the time and place hereinbefore noted, in the above entitled cause.

That this testimony was reported by me in the voice-writing reporting method, was prepared and transcribed by me or under my personal supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding; that the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the board.

That I am informed about the complete arrangement, financial or otherwise, with the person or entity making arrangements for deposition services, that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board.

That I have no actual knowledge of any prohibited employment or contractual relationship, direct or indirect, between a court reporting firm and any party litigant in this matter, nor is there any such relationship between myself and a party litigant in this matter.

That I am not related to counsel or to the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have affixed my official signature this 31st day of January 2023 in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Jenna Limjuco

Louisiana Certificate No. 2018004





Transcript of the Testimony of CPRA Public Meeting - Houma,

Date: February 2, 2023

Case: CPRA Public Meeting - Houma

Pilant Court Reporting Phone: (800) 841-6863

Fax: (877) 474-5268

Email: deponotice@pilant.com Internet: www.pilant.com

IN THE MATTER OF:

STATE OF LOUISIANA COASTAL PROTECTION & RESTORATION AUTHORITY

BATON ROUGE MEETING FOR MASTER PLAN & ANNUAL PLAN
JANUARY 31, 2023

The CPRA Meeting for MASTER PLAN & ANNUAL PLAN, taken in connection with the above captioned matter at Houma Municipal Auditorium, 880 Verret Street, Houma, Louisiana 70360, on Thursday, February 2, 2023 at 5:34 p.m.

Reported by:

Jenna Limjuco, BA-CCR Certified Court Reporter #2018004

CPRA Public Meeting - Houma CPRA Public Meeting - Houma,

	Pa	ge	2
1	APPEARANCES:		
2	Therese Walker		
3	Stuart Brown		
4	Bren Haase		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

CPRA Public Meeting - Houma CPRA Public Meeting - Houma,

		_
	Page 3	
1	INDEX	
2		
3	Page	
4		
5	Caption	
6	Appearances	
7	Proceedings 4	
8		
9	Reporter's Page	
10	Reporter's Certificate 60	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

THERESE WALKER:

Thank y'all for coming out tonight. My name is Therese Walker. I am with the outreach team at CPRA, so we'll do a couple of brief intros here, and then we'll go on and get started.

So first, we're going to hear from President Gordon Dove.

GORDON DOVE:

On behalf of Terrebonne Parish, I'd like to welcome the CPRA here. I've worked with the CPRA from their inception, and Terrebonne Parish is really moving forward. The State of Louisiana is moving forward, and I want to thank Bren Haase and his group, and Chip Kline -- who's not here -- and his group, and everyone. You know, when it was created back in about '07 or '08, who'd ever thought it'd be so successful?

I mean, you know, we're winning the fight on coastal loss and hurricane protection, which was -- Terrebonne Parish, as we know, went from 11,000 homes flooded for Rita in '05 to 9 in 2019 with Barry, thanks to the CPRA, thanks to the people of Terrebonne

Parish who put up a three-quarter percent sales tax to build levees here. Of course, the State of Louisiana lent plenty of money.

You know, it's so important to keep the drive going, and the Annual Plan -- I've been a part of it for the last -- since its inception, I believe, in '08 when they started CPRA, and it's come a long way from Garrett Graves to Jerome Zeringue to Chip Kline, Bren Haase, and the whole group.

You know, we saw -- we saw Ida come in with a reverse hit, and so we learned a whole new field of knowledge on what exactly a reverse hit would be in Terrebonne Parish, so we're trying to everything that happened with that, but you know, with 98 miles of levee, Morganza to the Gulf at a plus-12 to 15 feet, with 13 floodgates at a plus-18, Terrebonne Parish itself has built 30 pump stations in the last seven years, two lock systems -- we have a lock system on the -- a \$360 million dollar lock system that's under construction.

Reggie Dupre, Tony Alford, and the Levee Board has done a wonderful job, but the people of Terrebonne Parish thank y'all for

coming. It's so important that we keep up this fight and, you know, that we make Terrebonne and Lafourche -- which works in conjunction -- and I see Representative Orgeron, who just came in. We welcome him, and he's doing a great job in Baton Rouge for Lafourche and Terrebonne, because Morganza is part of both parishes.

But we still have a long way to go. You know, there's a lot of money spent on barrier islands, which they're going to show you tonight. I mean, it's hundreds of millions dollars spent on barrier island and we still have a long way to go with barrier islands, but thank y'all for coming.

The weather -- bad weather, but y'all came in and I see a lot of regular faces that make all these meetings. It is so important because Terrebonne Parish is committed, the State of Louisiana is committed, and now we have about \$400 million dollars from the Corps of Engineers.

We finally got the Corps of Engineers -- after about 20 years, they finally committed, and you know, I want to thank Nick Dauzat in

2.2

the back, who is the project engineer for Morganza. He's done a great job, and the Morganza Coalition -- Jay Walker and Henri Boulet.

I see Reggie Dupre back here, and then I just mentioned Tony Alford, so thank y'all. Thank y'all for coming, and I thank the CPRA because we'll still be asking for a lot more money, Bren. Believe it or not, they very seldom say no, but they do say no every now and then, though. So thank you, Bren, and thanks everyone for coming.

And also, Mart Black with Terrebonne

Parish, and Alvin Tillman with the council.

I want to thank them for coming, and everyone

for -- I don't know if I missed any of the

elected officials. If I did, I apologize.

Thank y'all, and God bless the State of

Louisiana, Terrebonne Parish, and God bless

our veterans. Thank you.

BREN HAASE:

Thank you for that, President Dove. I really appreciate the introduction, and I will second my welcome to all of you.

Special thanks to those elected officials

that are here with us. I can assure you all that live in the Bayou Region, you are well represented. This is an engaged group of representatives for you.

so this is a big night. This is a big night. I know this was maybe, you know, a public meeting. We're from the government; we're here to talk to you. Might not sound exciting, but I think we've got some really good news to share with y'all tonight. A lot of good projects, a lot of successes to be shared as well within this region, but we're here to talk to you about, really, three things.

First is our coastal Master Plan. This is a plan that's been a long time in the making -- six years, to be exact. We had a master plan released in 2017. We have been working on this draft basically since the ink was dry on the other plan, to get ready for this moment right here at the beginning of this year, so I want to thank you all who have been involved in developing this.

There are lots and lots and lots of fingerprints on this document, on this

process. People have volunteered their time, made requests and suggestions related to projects, given us input, challenged us when we need to be challenged. There's been a lot, a lot of input, so I want to thank you all for that.

I want to -- I want to also take just a minute to thank the CPRA staff. Anybody that you see here today that has a nametag on like this works for CPRA, and they've put blood, sweat, and tears into what we're about to present with you here today. So I want to thank Stu Brown. I want to thank all of the staff that -- on his team that have helped to develop the plan that we'll present to you today.

Also, we're going to talk with you about an Annual Plan. So Master Plan's kind of a high level, longer term look, and I can tell you that the Master Plan we'll present to you today will result in hundreds of acres of coastal marsh, coastal wetlands being present over a 50-year period that otherwise wouldn't be here -- land we would have lost. We know how that affects us. It also has the ability

to significantly reduce risk to all of our coastal communities, which we've experienced tremendously over the last several years, as you all are more well aware, certainly, than I am.

But we'll be talking with you also about our Annual Plan, which veers off of the Master Plan. It really is the expectation for those projects that we think we're going to advance and build in the next fiscal year, and about a three-year outlook. So it veers off and takes projects, essentially, from the Master Plan and explains to the public, and it's a plan for us on how we're going to commit those projects.

Again, I want to thank you all for being here. I want to thank you for your input. We are here to hear from you. I know we're going to be presenting a lot, but the reason the Coastal Program has really been as successful as it has been -- and I think it has been.

I'm a little biased, but I think it's been successful over the last 15 years or so because of people like you who come to

2.2

meetings like this and provide feedback to us. You challenge us when we need to be challenged, and you give us "atta boys" when we do well, and those things have made us better and mad this program better, not just for CPRA, but for all the citizens of Coastal Louisiana.

And lastly, I just want to mention, you know, we're going to talk a lot about plans and things that we intend to do in the future, but I hope you all recognize that these presentations that we're doing, the plans that we develop have resulted in real progress along our coast.

Because we have had a master plan in place for the last 15 years or so, and because we plan annually for implementing projects, we've been able to secure over \$20 billion dollars for Coastal Louisiana. A good portion of that money has been expended right here in the Bayou Region for some of the projects that the president mentioned earlier.

About 60 miles of our barrier islands have been restored. Many of those have been

in Terrebonne and Lafourche Parishes here in 1 the Bayou Region. About 300 miles of levees 2 3 have either been approved or have been built. We all know, certainly, the importance of 4 5 levees. We're behind levees here this 6 evening. Y'all don't need to be reminded of that. And over 16,000 -- excuse me, 60,000 7 acres of our coastal wetlands have been 8 9 restored.

So I know sometimes we talk about planning -- nobody wants to hear about studying and planning and so forth, but I want you to know that these efforts and these plans result in real, on the ground results, so that's something that we can all be proud of.

So I'm going to turn it over to Therese. She is going to talk about a few ground rules. You'll hear from Stuart Brown on our Master Plan, we'll move into public comment, and then I'll talk a little bit about or Annual Plan following that. So thank y'all again for being here. Therese, I'll turn it over to you.

25 THERESE WALKER:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Alright. So real quick, as Bren mentioned, we are actually doing a public meeting tonight for two documents, so we're talking through the Master Plan and the Annual Plan. So just the way we kind of run the show here, we will do Master Plan presentation here in a minute, followed by the Master Plan comment period.

Once we conclude and get through all the statements and public comments, we'll then transition to the Annual Plan presentation.

After that, same process -- we'll then take comments on the Annual Plan.

So you hopefully saw all the cards when you walked in and around the room. Just to make sure everyone's on the same page here, if you would like to write a comment about the Master Plan or the Annual Plan, feel free to do that at any time. Drop those off before you leave.

For the public comment portion, you're going to have to write and fill in a statement card. It says at the top -- the Master Plan statement cards are green; the Annual Plan statement cards are blue. Just

write your name and basic information there. There's some boxes over here to your right where you can drop them in, or you know, raise your hand and someone from the CPRA staff will come and get that from you. We prefer you to write that out if you decide you want to give a statement during the presentation. Just have that ready to go.

Once the speaker finishes presenting, we'll go ahead and pick those up, and then you will be called to the mic in the order in which we pick those up. Give your statement, the court reporter will take it, and it will be entered into the Record.

The point there is we're not taking questions. The CPRA team is here to listen, so those are really just public comments and statements. From there, if you do have questions about the presentations, the speakers will all be around here after and happy to answer those questions in a sidebar.

I think that covers it all, so now I'll hand it over to Stu Brown, who is leading the Master Plan team, and we will go through that presentation first.

STUART BROWN:

Thank you. Alright, well, I'm Stuart
Brown. I manage the Strategic Planning
Branch at CPRA. We are responsible for the
development of the Coastal Master Plan. So
to follow up on what Therese mentioned about
submitting comments with the comment cards,
you can, of course, submit comments here at
this meeting orally, or a written comment.

You can also submit public comments via email to masterplan@la.gov. You can submit them online. There's a sheet -- a Google sheet that you can submit them in, and that QR code there will take you to that portal. You can also, if you want to, mail them to the address here on the screen, and we'll come back to this slide when we get to the end of the presentation.

So this is not new information to you all, certainly, in Houma, less so than any of the other public meetings that we do around the coast, but Louisiana has been experiencing a land loss crisis for nearly a century. We've lost almost 2,000 square miles of coastal wetlands since 1932. There

are many causes for this land loss. Some of these are natural processes we would expect to see in these sort of systems, but much of this loss is the result of, or at least exacerbated by human activity.

And so land loss is, of course, significant from a landscape and a habitat perspective. It directly impacts the fisheries and wildlife resources. It affects the folks who make their livings off of those resources, but land change also impacts industries like agriculture, navigation, and oil and gas that rely on the coast for many of their operations. As a result, it affects folks who make their living in those industries, which represents a huge part of the population in Coastal Louisiana.

And of course, the way that many of our citizens experience this land loss crisis is that land loss also increases the flooding impacts of hurricanes and tropical storms that affect nearly all of our citizens. The Master Plan is the guiding document for how we can meet these challenges.

So what is the Master Plan? At its core,

it is a prioritization effort. How can the State spend its money most cost-effectively over the next 50 years to reduce storm surge based flood risk and build and maintain coastal wetlands? Importantly, it is not a program or a funding source. These are the projects that we want to pursue as funding becomes available through a wide range of programs and funding sources -- the things that Bren will talk about a little bit in the Annual Plan report.

So as money becomes available, projects move into feasibility studies, engineering and design, and ultimately, again, funding -- depending on funding -- to construction, and then we operate and maintain and monitor those projects. And the things that we learn through that process feed back into how we evaluate project ideas for this plan, as well as how we end up designing and implementing these projects.

The Plan is developed through a process that ensures adaptive management. We're required by law to update this plan every six years. This gives us an opportunity to

reevaluate some of the tools and processes that we use in developing the plan. It also allows us to incorporate the best available data -- best available science, and we'll touch on a few examples of that in a few slides.

The Plan advances a comprehensive and integrated approach to restoration and risk reduction. It incorporates extensive public input and review. And this last bullet point is one that we really want to stress with this master plan, which is that it illustrates how the coast is going to change. It illustrates how people and communities may experience that changing coast, and allow for preparation and adaptation into the future.

So we know that the coast of 30 or 50 years from now is not going to look the same as the coast we have today, whether we're a hundred percent successful at implementing the projects that we identify in this plan or not. And being able to share that information about how the coast is going to change, how people may experience that change, is a huge part of what we are trying

to do with the Master Plan because we know that the project of having a resilient coast is not just about the restoration and risk reduction projects that we build, though we think that's an important part of it.

It's about many, many decisions being made by individuals, communities, local governments -- all levels of government -- and if we can inform those individuals and entities on what the future coast may look like, we can help them make the best decisions for themselves or the groups that they represent as well.

So what does it save? Our homes, our jobs, and our culture. The goal is to have a resilient coast in which people can continue to live and work and take advantage of all the things that make Coastal Louisiana so economically, ecologically, and culturally valuable.

So where we are today, the plan was released on January 6. The public comment period is open through March 25. As we mentioned before, you can make comments here at the public hearing, but all the comments

we receive here or by whatever avenue first will be reviewed, and we will be revising the plan based on many of these comments, and presenting a revised plan to the CPRA Board for approval on April 19. And then following board approval, we will submit the plan to the legislature for their approval.

So here, we have a general overview of the process by which we identify and select projects to be included in the Master Plan. This figure is very busy -- lots of small print and arrows. This is, I think, on page five of the plan. I think it's a great figure, but it's probably best seen on your own time. But the important part is the labels at the top: projects, modeling, and prioritization.

We start with a suite of candidate projects, we model those projects to try to understand how they will perform, and then we prioritize the most effective suite of projects. So candidate projects, they come from a wide range of sources. We model past plans for projects. We had multiple public solicitations -- anybody can submit a project

-- and for this plan, we developed regional work groups that helped develop new project ideas, and also refined some of the project ideas that we've been kicking around for years.

And so we take those projects and we model those projects. We use numerical models to understand how the landscape is going to change, how the changing landscape impacts storm surge, and how that change -- those changes in storm surge impact future damages. We then model these projects to understand how they perform over time, under a range of future environmental conditions, and we compare these to a baseline in which we don't implement the projects, and we call that "Our Future Without Action." So we're comparing a future with a project to a future in which we don't do anything.

And the last step is prioritization, and we call our prioritization tool the planning tool. It's really an optimization equation. The models give us the project benefits; the planning tool helps us select a suite of projects that gives us the greatest impact in

terms of building and maintaining coastal wetlands, and reducing storm surge based flood risk.

We also develop metrics based on model outputs and community characteristics such as demographic information and major industries to give us a better understanding of how the changing coast and the projects being evaluated impact different communities and resources.

In this section, I'm going to talk about some of the improvements for the 2017 Plan -from the 2017 Plan. So back to our diagram for a second, just to note that the starting point for this plan is previous master plans. So we are building off of the tools and data that were developed for the previous plans. We are also building off of a lot of the feedback we received through the 2017 process early in the development of this master plan, but we're able to incorporate new understandings, better science, better data to make sure that we have as up to date of a plan as possible.

So here, we can step through some

examples of areas where we were able to incorporate new or newly available data. This is not at all exhaustive. This slide here represents many, many thousands of man hours of work, so I'll try to hit it as quickly as possible, but there's a lot more information on our website.

One of the areas that we have a fairly significant improvement is in our storm suite. So this is a suite of synthetic tropical storms and hurricanes that we use to project current and future exposure to flooding. This is developed by the Army Corps, and it's a significant improvement over the previous storm suite that we and they have used in the past. In short, it just gives us a greater ability to project existing and future storm surge.

We also have improvements in the asset database that we use to estimate damage. So the storm surge models tell us how much flooding there will be; the risk models tell us what sort of damage that flooding will cause. And so there's just been significant advances in the availability of this sort of

data over the last five or so years. We know a lot more about where structures are, certain characteristics about the structures. One of those things is that we worked with a partner at Purdue University, David Johnson, who developed an algorithm to estimate first floor elevations based on Google Street View imagery. And so this makes a big difference to have more refined estimates of first floor elevations when we're trying to estimate the amount of damage that a certain level of flooding will cause.

Another set of improvements is our models not just having new data, but having better understandings of the systems that we're modeling is we can credit to the Coastwide Reference Modeling System that we have in Louisiana. We have nearly 400 stations across the coast where we're measuring all sorts of information about water levels, salinity, vegetation, the soil characteristics. And with this data, we can reassess, reevaluate some of the assumptions that we've made in the past, see whether the assumptions we've made in the past are really

bearing out in this data set, and it's allowed us to refine our modeling in really important ways.

Another set of significant advances has been in our understanding of environmental drivers. So when we're talking about environmental drivers, some of these are -- some of these include climate related drivers like temperature and sea level rise, as well as things like subsidence, which, of course, is not tied to climate change. Despite these advancements, there remains a fair amount of uncertainty. Because of this known uncertainty, we take a scenario approach to better understand our range of potential future outcomes.

So you'll see in the document -- you'll see on some of the maps over here, we talk about a lower and a higher scenario. These are not meant to be precise predictions of what the future will look like. They're meant to help us understand a range of possible outcomes and test the projects that we're evaluating against that range of outcomes, and our goal is to select projects

that are robust, that will be effective whether we realize a more severe future environmental condition, or a more moderate future environmental condition.

In addition to these improvements in incorporating the best available science, we also made a lot of improvements to our process, and a lot of this is based on feedback we got from 2017. One large piece of that feedback was we heard from a lot of folks that we needed to take a more local or more regional approach; that the problems of the Chenier Plain are not the same as the problems of Terrebonne, the problems -- and the solutions in the Chenier Plain are not those of Terrebonne and not those of the Northshore.

And so one of the steps is developing regional work groups. As mentioned before, these regional work groups help with the development and refining project ideas to be considered for the Master Plan. These groups also serve as a venue to talk about regional issues, and if you open the Master Plan, you'll see about a third of the Master Plan

is devoted to these regional sections, and a lot of the storylines, what is important to these areas, what is unique about these areas, we tried to capture in those sections of the Plan.

Another process improvement is that we developed new risk metrics. So the way that we understand risk, we are defining by different metrics of risk. We typically use a dollar-based estimate of economic damages caused by storms. For this Master Plan, in addition to that type of metric, we developed a metric that is meant to better address questions of equity in our project selection process. This is called the "Expected Annual Structure Damage Metric," and you may have seen it if you've looked through the Plan, or on the data viewer, we talk about this metric as well.

I mentioned this one a little bit earlier, but in this, another process improvement is that we are trying to select projects across a range of potential future outcomes. So rather than pinning our plan to a single projection of what the future may

look like, we're trying to select projects that will be effective across a wide range of potential future outcomes.

And this last bullet point sits a little bit apart. We call it "exploratory analysis." The previous improvements we talked about were about the project selection process, and as I mentioned at the top, in addition to selecting a suite of projects, we really want to help illustrate what the changing coast may look like and how people may experience that changing coast, so we designed a number of analyses that kind of sit apart from that process that we have kind of put little vignettes throughout the plan. We also have a lot more information that's available in appendices where we try to, again, illustrate how the coast is going to change and how folks might experience this.

One of the examples here is about high tide flooding. This is an example for Dulac, and so we selected communities that either currently experience high tide flooding -- and by high tide flooding, we're talking about flooding that's not associated with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

tropical storms or a hurricane. This was with regular weather events combined with astronomical tides that can cause flooding.

And so we looked at key locations that either do currently experience high tide flooding, or we expect will experience high tide flooding in the future. We look at how frequently they currently experience it, how frequently they may experience it 25 years or 50 years from now with continued sea level rise, with continued subsidence, with continued land loss, as a way to hopefully ground some of these projections we make that sometimes we talk about in these abstract ways. So this is another part of the plan that is, I think, a significant improvement from where we were in 2017.

So the 2023 Master Plan, the plan is, again, a 50 year, \$50 billion dollar plan. We've allocated \$25 billion dollars for restoration projects and \$25 billion dollars for risk reduction projects. We selected 61 restoration projects, 12 structural risk reduction projects, and identified \$11 billion dollars for non-structural risk

reduction.

On the restoration side, we identified \$2.7 billion dollars in new diversions. We say "new" because in our process, in our modeling, we are making the assumption that the Mid-Barataria, the Mid-Breton Diversions, as well as the River Reintroduction into Maurepas Swamp are on the landscape. We have identified funding, we expect these projects to be constructed, and we want to select future projects that will work synergistically with those that will not have negative impacts to or in combination with those projects.

We also identified over \$19 billion dollars -- \$19 billion dollars into projects we identified are dredging projects, so dedicated dredging remains a huge part of our Coastal Program. It is currently -- as Bren will talk about in the Annual Plan, we expect it will continue to be into the future.

And lastly, we identified \$2.5 billion dollars to set aside for what we call programmatic projects, and so these are projects that for one reason or another,

don't make sense to evaluate through our 1 project selection process. Barrier islands, 2 3 for example, are -- the need on barrier 4 islands is very much dependent on the most 5 recent tropical storm. If we put a plan 6 together today, a storm could come tomorrow and -- well, not likely tomorrow -- later 7 this year, and we could end up having a plan 8 9 that no longer addresses the need, and there are programs. The State has developed a 10 barrier island program that can address 11 12 barrier islands on a more responsive -- in a 13 more responsive way.

Similarly, some of these smaller scale projects like small scale hydrologic restoration, oyster reefs, shoreline protection are projects that are better evaluated through programs that can evaluate them on a case by case basis, like the Coastal Wetlands Plan and Protection and Restoration Act Program, the RESTORE Parish Matching Program, the partnership fund. They, again, have the ability to evaluate these on a case by case basis.

So the Master Plan, under our lower

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

scenario, 314 square miles of land is built or maintained that otherwise would have been lost in year 50. Under the higher scenario, that's 233 square miles, though we actually see a greater benefit at year 40, but that drops off as we got in the later decades, and some of the projects to be identified early on in the process and implement early on in our modeling are no longer able to keep up with sea level rise and subsidence in our high level -- our higher scenario.

So this is our projected landscape under the lower scenario in year 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50. The blue is, of course, our land loss, and the greens are areas that are built and maintained. Under the higher scenario, we see at year 10, 20, 30, 40, and then at year 50, a lot of those projects are, again, no longer able to keep up, and that's why we see that dip in that curve right there.

On the risk reduction side, we've identified 12 structural risk reduction projects for a cost of around \$14 billion dollars. We also identified \$11.2 billion dollars to be allocated towards non-

structural risk reduction, that being elevating homes, flood proofing businesses, and voluntary acquisition in areas that are exposed to very high levels of flooding. \$11.2 billion dollars -- that is to say of the \$25 billion dollars that we allocated towards risk reduction, \$11.2 billion of that is best spent on non-structural risk reduction in our analysis.

And so with the structural projects and the restoration projects in the landscape, these are the one percent exceedance probability flood depths, and so you see that sharp difference on either side of some of the major levee features, with the reds and the oranges on the outside and the greens and blues on the inside. And this is the difference of with and without the Master Plan, so with these projects on the landscape, we, of course, see significantly reduced flooding on the inside. We also do see reduced flooding on the outside, as some of that storm surge will stack up against those features.

And this is the difference in risk. And

so this, of course, correlates to the reduction in flood depths. We see the reduction of risk behind these levee features, and an interesting note is we also see reduction in risk around Lake Charles, compared to a future without action, and this is the result of the restoration projects. There are not structural projects on that part of the coast in our plan, but we do see the impact of restoration projects, which is a good thing to see. It's something we expect to happen, but here, we can show you that.

So compared to a future without action, fully implementing the plan could result in a 70 percent reduction in risk coast-wide under the lower scenario, and a 60 percent reduction under the higher environmental scenario, as measured in expected annual damage values. The risk reduction that's measured in expected annual structure damage is similar: it's 78 percent under the lower scenario, 65 percent under the higher scenario.

So this an annual reduction in year 50 of

\$10.8 billion dollars in the lower scenario and \$14.6 billion dollars under the higher scenario, compared to a future without action, and this level of investment could mean that in 50 years, under the lower environmental scenario, Louisiana has less flood risk from hurricanes and tropical storms than it does today.

So that is the end of my presentation. A reminder of the ways in which you can submit public comments, and I'm going to hand it over to Therese to moderate public comments.

THERESE WALKER:

Alright. So we'll give everybody just a minute here. If anybody wants to submit a statement card, I'll go over all the instructions here. So if you want to speak a public comment -- have that spoken into the Record -- you need to fill out a green statement card. And this is just for the Master Plan.

So if you haven't done so, go ahead and do that now and someone from the CPRA team will come and grab it for you. We'll then go in the order in which we receive cards. When

we call your name, you'll come up, state your name, and go on and give your comment.

You've got three minutes to do that.

And as a reminder, we're not taking questions. This is just comments. So we'll give everybody one more minute to get a statement card if you want one, and then we'll get started. We've got plenty in the back if you need one.

Okay. Looks like we have them all, so we'll go ahead and get started. First one is Reggie Dupre.

REGGIE DUPRE:

Thank y'all. I'm here not to make a statement about any specific project; just to thank you guys, the CPRA, for two directions. First of all, Reggie Dupre, executive director of Terrebonne Levee District, former state legislator.

So I never dreamed in my wildest dreams that 16 and a half or so years ago, when Governor Blanco approached me with the concept of consolidation of the restoration and hurricane protection under one agency under the governor's office that it would

turn out this successful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now that I'm approaching close to retirement age, you know, this -- this legacy began in the wake of Katrina continues on, and y'all have done a great job. I also want to thank you guys for being our partners. behalf of the citizens of Terrebonne and Lafourche Parishes -- you know, Morganza to the Gulf is in every Master Plan. This is the -- this is the fourth Master Plan since 2007, and the 16th Annual Plan, and I think you can go back in the last 15 years and how many no votes from the legislature, you can count on one hand. Maybe two or three. other agency, no other issue in this state has that level of support.

So thank y'all so much for your -- for what y'all are doing. Thank y'all for being our partners in Morganza to the Gulf. Like I told Bren earlier this morning, now that we have the foundation built, now that the Corps of Engineers has finally shown up after 30 years to start building component parts of this, we can think out the box and even start thinking about other restoration components,

you know, that facilitate this Morganza system. So Bren says, "Am I speaking to Reggie Dupre?" I said, "Yes, sir, you are." So thank y'all so much. BREN HAASE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Reggie.

THERESE WALKER:

Next, we have David Chauvin.

DAVID CHAUVIN:

Good evening. My name is David Chauvin. I own several businesses in Terrebonne Parish, four of which purchase and supply oilfield, commercial, and recreational vessels. One of my businesses is located outside the Morganza Levee System, and three are located in Dulac inside the levee system.

The majority of my business is processing and supplying commercial fishing vessels. On an average year, we see in excess of about a hundred vessels using the existing floodgates to access my businesses. Most of these vessels are -- use a waterway called Bayou Grand Caillou, and a smaller percentage of the vessels use the Houma Navigational Canal.

The vessels that use Bayou Grand Caillou

enter through the Bayou Grand Caillou floodgate. My concern is that once the \$400 million dollar project lock system is completed and in full use, the Bayou Grand Caillou floodgate will close and cut off safe passage to vessels during a storm. This would be an extremely dangerous situation for vessels that normally use the Bayou Grand Caillou gate.

To reroute a vessel to the new lock system located in the Houma Navigational Canal would require a vessel to navigate outside of Last Island. Most of the vessels have an average speed between six to ten knots. We have a vessel that took that route recently and it took him nine and a half hours to complete -- to complete that route. The smaller vessels are not seaworthy enough to fight the heavy seas during a storm condition outside of Last Island.

A solution to this problem: there is a short one mile waterway that if dredged and maintained, could provide safe passage and faster access to vessels searching -- seeking safe harbor. The waterway is Bay Felix. It

connects Bayou Grand Caillou to the Houma 1 2 Navigational Canal. I'm asking CPRA to please add into their Master Plan the 3 dredging and maintenance of Bay Felix. It is 4 5 a matter of safety, and will ensure that 6 during a storm, a commercial or recreational 7 vessel would not have to put themselves in harm's way. Thank you for your consideration 8 9 in this. **BREN HAASE:** 10 11 Thank you. 12 THERESE WALKER: 13 Thank you for your comment. Next, we have Mark Black. 14 15 **BREN HAASE:** 16 Mart. 17 THERESE WALKER: 18 Mart. Sorry. MART BLACK: 19 Thank you. I'm Mart Black of the Coastal 20 21 Restoration Board for Terrebonne Parish.

want to thank CPRA for coming here and giving

for the hard work that you've put in over the

us this presentation. I want to thank you

last five and a half, almost six years on

22

23

24

this new Master Plan.

One of the components of that Master Plan is extremely important to us here in Terrebonne Parish, and that is the land bridge in Eastern Terrebonne. It's in the Plan. We're very happy to see it there. We believe that it hits the three Rs that you're aiming for: restoration, risk reduction, and resiliency.

The only problem that I have that I see with that is that you've got it in a -- not even in the near-term time frame. It is a critical component for us. We'd like to see it started a little sooner than 21 years from now. Thank you very much for being here. Appreciate it.

--

THERESE WALKER:

Thank you. Next, we have Jesse Billiot. JESSE BILLIOT:

Alright. Good evening. First, I want to thank y'all for helping us to build the land. As we know, you know, we've been told that, you know, it's all climate change, but in actuality, a lot of it has to do with how man changed the land -- you know, how we dealt

with the Mississippi River and how we dug up these canals and never closed them back up. Those are actually called spoilings, and there are 27,000 of them in the state of Louisiana that are abandoned.

I think that would be a worthwhile project to consider, as it -- the land -- the banks may not be enough to cover up those canals, but at least slow down the water. Another thing would be to maybe look at, or helping slowly prevent the silt from the Mississippi River. We are a river delta and that's how we get our land. I know a lot of it is dredged and brought over -- brought out offshore and just dumped, so we need to come up with a way to think about that.

The land that we do have -- so a long time ago, Grand Isle and Chenier, the land -- you could jump across from one island to the next, but tearing down all the trees and everything helped to wash that land away, so we need to think about the land that we do get to grow things on it.

We just need to remember that, you know, levees and pump systems are great, but you

- can only put water in so many places, and the 1 levees don't make sense if there's nothing in 2 front of it to help slow down that water. 3 You have to treat it like a castle like you 4 5 had in the Dark Ages, or the Middle Ages. 6 You have to put things in front of it to help prevent damages. So thank you, thank y'all 7 8 for y'all help. 9 BREN HAASE: Thanks. 10 THERESE WALKER: 11 12 Thank you. Next, we have Henri Boulet. 13 HENRI BOULET: Good afternoon. My name is Henri Boulet. 14 I'm the director of the Morganza Action 15 16 Coalition, and I would like to thank the CPRA 17 for -- for pairing with our community 18 specifically on Morganza to the Gulf, and the
- Morganza to the Gulf next year, and we thank

continues to make in this area. You have an

impressive \$22 million in the Annual Plan for

you for that, but as well, the investments

tremendous investment that the state

- 24 that you're making with the North Lafourche
- 25 Levee District.

19

20

And also, Wendell's not here today, but please continue to support the efforts of the South Lafourche Levee District. You know, President Dove said Lafourche and Terrebonne is really a whole community together and we work together, and thank you for your support on that. So again, thank you for being here today. We look forward to working with you into the future.

THERESE WALKER:

Thank you. And last, we have Dwayne Bourgeois.

DWAYNE BOURGEOIS:

Hello, my friends. Dwayne Bourgeois,
Executive Director for the North Lafourche
Levee District, and I have the privilege of
working with a fantastic team on the
development of this plan, and actually, the
previous plan and the one before that. Maybe
dating my age.

I was on the Coastal Advisory Team for this one, the regional work group for Terrebonne, the regional work group for Lafourche, and the Barataria Basin, I should say, and I just wanted to commend you guys.

I want to show my support for the Master Plan. I think I was talking to Denise back there -- and I'm not going to try to name everybody because there's just too many people that were very important and did a fantastic job with this plan.

My thoughts to tell this crowd is that it's -- that every time you question something, there was this sense that everything that was done, they struggled with and really thought about it, and decided what they should do, what they shouldn't do; to include what they could and include what they should, as compared to just throwing all kind of things at the plan.

The results, to me, are a very extraordinary plan that's unequal to anywhere in the United States, so I just wanted to add that comment to it. I have to go, so I just want to add a comment in favor of the Annual Plan as well. I think that is also very well thought out, and a great execution on a year to year basis. So with that, thank you for all your hard work, and appreciate you coming out tonight so local folks can hear this as

1 | well. Thank y'all.

BREN HAASE:

Thanks, Dwayne.

THERESE WALKER:

Thanks, Dwayne. So we are going to kick it over to the Annual Plan presentation. And real quick -- if you did not speak your comment and would rather write it, there are comment cards to the right. You can drop that off before you leave.

There's also some cards at the door with a QR code, so if you'd rather make a comment later, you can take that, take a picture of it with your phone, and it will show you all the ways you can comment online now, and all the information's there. So make sure you've got one of those.

And with that, we will begin the Annual Plan presentation. So same thing: we'll do the presentation, then the comment period there.

BREN HAASE:

Alright, guys, you've got me again. But again, thanks to everybody for being here.

Thank you to T for that. I appreciate the

comments and attention on the Master Plan portion of this evening. So as I mentioned before the Master Plan -- high level, kind of long-term look.

Annual Plan really digs down into details and contemplates the revenues that we anticipate receiving over the next fiscal year, and really the next three fiscal years and those projects that we believe we will put on the ground in the next fiscal year, and again, out into the next two fiscal years beyond that. So it's really a three-year outlook of what we intend to do.

So we've gone over this before, but again, you can scan this QR code and make comments tonight in person, email, online, and the mail. I'll go ahead and skip over that. We talked a little bit about the timeline already, but again, for the Annual Plan, the public comment period will end on March 25.

I don't remember if Stu mentioned this or not, but I will say that the earlier your comments make it in to us, the better off we'll all be. So if you have an idea, if you

2.2

want to make some comments, obviously, you have until the 25th, and we'll accept comments through then. But for us to really be able to dig into it if you have a question or anything like that about them, the earlier, the better, so I'll just point that out.

And then that plan -- this plan, just like our Master Plan, will be presented to our board on the 19th, and ultimately to the legislature possibly on the 19th, or shortly thereafter. We hope for their favorable approval.

So in terms of the revenues we anticipate receiving in the next year and how we intend to expend those, you see those listed here and there's some large numbers here. I won't go through each of those individually, but just know that most of these categories really are associated with the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill. So the NRDA, NFWF, and RESTORE that you see listed there, and the GOMESA pot of funding that you see there is associated with offshore oil revenue sharing with the State of Louisiana, so that comes

directly to the parish as well.

CWPPRA -- many of you, hopefully, are familiar with that as a federal program, again, that the State matches at a 15 percent rate. That's 85 federal, 15 percent state. And then you see some of the other state funds that we do receive, and some of these other funds listed here really relate to surplus dollars that have been made available to the Coastal Program over the years. So all of these are allocated to parish projects, again, that we anticipate implementing over the next year.

So if you roll all those numbers up, the total is about \$1.74 million dollars, so we had a record year last year anticipating receiving and spending about \$1.3 billion dollars. We're breaking that record this year. That's something that we're proud of. We need to be implementing projects at this clip. We've got a 50 year, \$50 billion dollar plan. We want to be exceeding that billion dollar expenditure annually to sort of keep pace with the scale of our problem.

One of the things we're most proud about

this, of course, is you can see the vast majority of these funds are going towards putting projects on the ground, construction projects, so about 85 percent of those dollars will go to build projects.

And if you look at -- again, I mentioned the next two fiscal years, Fiscal Year '25 and '26, you see another -- again, anticipate a significant investment in our coast: \$1.6 billion and \$1.27 billion, respectively, and you see those dollars going toward construction, staying in the high 80s and even 90 percent in the next fiscal year. So again, good news for the state, good news for the coast.

So I mentioned the total there already. I won't mention that again, but as Stu mentioned earlier, about 20 of the projects that we expect to be active in the upcoming fiscal year are dredging projects. We think we'll have 118 projects being underway in this next fiscal year, so a good portion of those, of course, are those dredging projects that I mentioned.

Those projects will dredge almost 74

million cubic yards of sediment -- a tremendous amount of sediment to do things like build our barrier islands, build ridges, build marshes, and build habitats across Coastal Louisiana. Those projects will benefit almost 15,000 acres of our coastal wetlands, so again, a significant number there.

If you think about an investment on the order of almost \$2 million dollars, you might imagine what impact that has on our economy. Well, we estimate -- our friends at GNO, Inc., in fact, estimate that an investment at that level will support over 10,000 jobs on our coast. So we're making investments in our coast that are not only impacting, you know, our communities and our ecosystem, but they're also helping to shape our economy in developing a real coastal economy in Louisiana.

So we'll talk about a few of the regions of the state, as we did a little while ago, and some of the key projects in those regions. We'll start out in the Southwest region of the state. There'll be 15 active

projects in the coming fiscal year. 9 of those will be in construction, 6 of those in engineering and design. So just some examples of projects in this region include the Cameron Gulf Shoreline Protection Projects. President Dove will like to hear this: that's rock project on the Gulf shoreline.

There's a Southwest Coastal Louisiana
Project, which is a project we're partnering
with the federal government on that
primarily, at this point, involves nonstructural risk reduction, or elevating
homes, flood proofing businesses and so
forth, and the Long Point Bayou Marsh
Creation Project in this region.

So we'll move into where we are today, the South Central Region. There'll be 41 active projects. Over half of those -- 26 will be in construction, so again, something we're proud of and that's good news. 14 of those projects will be in engineering and design, and one project in planning.

So some of the key projects in this region -- and they're all important, of

course, but just a few to highlight include the West Fourchon Marsh Creation Project, the Henderson Lake Water Management Unit Spoil Bank Gapping Project -- that's a project in the Atchafalaya Basin.

I'll talk a little about the Atchafalaya Basin Plan at the end of the presentation as well, but just note that some of these are in the Atchafalaya Basin. Of course, Morganza to the Gulf -- we've already heard from folks talking about that. Larose to Golden Meadow, the North Lafourche Levee System we well. HNC Lock Complex and the Grand Bayou Pump Station as well.

So moving into the Southeast Region of the state, there'll be 62 active projects in FY '24. 45 of those projects will be in construction, 15 in engineering and design, and one project in planning. So some of those projects include the Pailet Basin Tidal Protection Project, the West Shore Lake Pontchartrain Hurricane Risk Reduction Project -- you may have heard of that. It's a project that just got under construction. Another federally sponsored project that

we're partnering with the Corps of Engineers on. Large Scale Barataria Marsh Creation

Project as well in the Upper Barataria Basin, and a few others we mentioned: the River

Reintroduction into Maurepas Swamp. That's a project that's actually closely associated with the West Shore of Lake Pontchartrain

Project and the Ludvine Pump Station Project as well.

Program a moment ago. So we are -- as part of our Annual Plan, we update the Atchafalaya Basin Annual Plan. I will note there will be some public meetings specific to the Atchafalaya Basin Program. Those will be Monday and Wednesday. One will be in Plaquemine and the other one will be -- help me out, Greg. Do you recall where it is? One's on the west -- or Henderson.

One's on the west side of the Basin, one's on the east side of the Basin.

Information's available on our website, so if you have a question about that, find me after the meeting, please, and I'll be glad to give

you the right information.

But a number of projects associated with this plan that you can find in the draft Annual Plan that was made available to y'all starting back in early January are projects to dredge Bayou Big Pigeon, the River Canal Improvements, Point Coupee Water Flow and Water Quality Improvements Project, Henderson Lake Water Management Project. I just mentioned earlier the Murphy Lake Depth Restoration Project is undergoing a few technical analyses right now. The East Grand Lake Project is in permitting. I should mention the Henderson Lake Project should go into construction really any minute now, any day now.

And then some other projects include the Boy Scouts of America Swamp Base Camp, Bayou Pigeon Boat Launch, for example, and then this upcoming fiscal year, just as we master plan for our coast, there's a master plan for the Atchafalaya Basin. It hasn't been updated in close to 20 or almost 30 years, I believe, and so it's time to do that again, and we anticipate tackling that in the

upcoming fiscal year as well.

So any of this information can be found at this website here: ap24.coastal.la.gov. It's a really good resource to kind of dig into the Annual Plan a little more. There's some good video and pictures of some of our projects, a lot of information about some the projects that have been built or we anticipate being built in the future, and of course, you can find a copy of the documents and so forth, appendices and all of that stuff, at this location as well.

So if you're interested in learning more about our Annual Plan, I certainly encourage you to check out this website. And I think that's my last slide, so I know we ran through that relatively quickly. Greg?

GREG GRANDY:

Plaquemine on Monday, Morgan City on Wednesday.

BREN HAASE:

Thank you. Plaquemine on Monday, Morgan City on Wednesday. I had forgotten about that. I talked to Mac about that earlier tonight, but I forgot. Those are the

Atchafalaya Basin Plan public meetings.

Thanks for that Greg. So I think we're moving into our public comment period. I'm going to turn this back over to Therese, and we're happy to hear any remarks you might have for us this evening. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Alright, so same process as last time: if anyone would like to get up and speak their comment, you can fill out a blue statement card. We have some that we can pass around. I'll give everybody a minute there. If not, you can fill out the comment cards as well and just write them down and drop them off. BREN HAASE:

I forgot to mention that we'll be for a while afterwards if anybody has any questions or anything.

THERESE WALKER:

Okay. So I don't think we have any right now. Just making sure that nobody else wants to submit orally. I'll go back and talk through the other ways that you can comment, and this is just for the Annual Plan. You can write your comment on a comment card.

There's a form in the back on that computer that you're welcome to type it into. If you want to, you know, go home, you can access the information online as well and submit it that way, or you can mail a written comment to the address on the screen.

We still don't have any public comments or statement cards, so with that, we'll go ahead and conclude, but our team will be around here for a while after. So if you do have questions about Annual Plan or Master Plan, y'all feel free to grab somebody and you can ask them. Alright, well, that's all we've got. Thank y'all so much for coming.

(The Public Hearing Concluded at 6:34 p.m.)



1

REPORTER'S PAGE

I, Jenna Limjuco, Certified Court

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

That due to the interaction in the spontaneous discourse of this proceeding, dashes (--) have been used to indicate pauses, changes in thought, interruptions, and/or talk-overs;

Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana,

before whom this sworn testimony was taken,

do hereby state on the Record:

That this is the proper method for a court reporter's transcription of proceedings, that the dashes do not indicate that words or phrases have been left out of this transcript, and that any words and/or names which could not be verified through reference material have been denoted with the phrase "(phonetic)" or "(ph)".

Gennalimpie

Jenna Limjuco, BA-CCR Certified Court Reporter Louisiana License 2018004

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature and original required seal on this page.

I, JENNA LIMJUCO, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing 58 pages is a true and correct transcription of the proceedings herein, taken down by me and transcribed under my supervision, to the best of my ability and understanding, at the time and place hereinbefore noted, in the above entitled cause.

That this testimony was reported by me in the voice-writing reporting method, was prepared and transcribed by me or under my personal supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding; that the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the board.

That I am informed about the complete arrangement, financial or otherwise, with the person or entity making arrangements for deposition services, that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board.

CPRA Public Meeting - Houma CPRA Public Meeting - Houma,

Page 61

That I have no actual knowledge of any prohibited employment or contractual relationship, direct or indirect, between a court reporting firm and any party litigant in this matter, nor is there any such relationship between myself and a party litigant in this matter.

That I am not related to counsel or to the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have affixed my official signature this 2nd day of February 2023 in Houma, Louisiana.

Louisiana Certificate No. 2018004

Jenna Limjuc





Transcript of the Testimony of CPRA New Orleans Public Meeting,

Date: February 7, 2023

Case: CPRA New Orleans Public Meeting

Pilant Court Reporting Phone: (800) 841-6863

Fax: (877) 474-5268

Email: deponotice@pilant.com Internet: www.pilant.com

IN THE MATTER OF:

STATE OF LOUISIANA COASTAL PROTECTION & RESTORATION AUTHORITY

NEW ORLEANS MEETING FOR MASTER PLAN & ANNUAL PLAN February 7, 2023

The CPRA Meeting for MASTER PLAN & ANNUAL PLAN, taken in connection with the above captioned matter at the University of New Orleans University Center Auditorium, 2000 Lakeshore Drive, New Orleans, Louisiana 70148, on Tuesday, February 7, 2023 at 5:30 p.m.

Reported by:

Jenna Limjuco, BA-CCR Certified Court Reporter #2018004

CPRA New Orleans Public Meeting CPRA New Orleans Public Meeting,

		Page	2
1	APPEARANCES:		
2	Therese Walker		
3	Stuart Brown		
4	Bren Haase		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

CPRA New Orleans Public Meeting CPRA New Orleans Public Meeting,

	Page 3
1	INDEX
2	
3	Page
4	
5	Caption
6	Appearances
7	Proceedings 4
8	
9	Reporter's Page
10	Reporter's Certificate
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

BREN HAASE:

Good evening. Welcome, everybody, to the 2023 Draft Master Plan Public Meeting. We appreciate you all being here, we really do. I want to thank each and every one of you for being here in this stage of the process. We're here to talk with you about a couple of things this evening. One will be the Master Plan, as I just mentioned. We have released the fourth iteration of the Louisiana Coastal Master Plan, and we're going to talk with you also about Louisiana's Annual Plan -- Fiscal Year 2024 Annual Plan.

So we go through this process with the Annual Plan, as I mentioned, as you might have noticed -- or I think -- every year, of course. The Master Plan process is a longer term process. It's something that we update now every six years. The last iteration was in 2017, and I think most of you out here, hopefully, are aware of kind of what the Master Plan is. It is a -- that's kind of the long term, large scale restoration and risk reduction plan developed to restore Louisiana's ecosystem and protect our

citizens from storm surges.

You'll notice some differences from 2017 to the Draft 2024 -- 2023 Plan, excuse me. Stuart Brown, who will get up here in just a moment, he's going to go over those with you, so I'm not going to delve into those in great detail or in too much depth, but I will tell you that what this draft plan delivers is literally hundreds of square miles of coastal wetlands that would not otherwise be here but for the projects that are contemplated in this plan.

I think most importantly, or as importantly, it has the potential to significantly reduce risk to our communities -- hurricane storm risk, which you've all experienced, certainly, in this region over the last several years. So under -- Stu is going to talk a little more about this, but under a lower scenario, what we see in this area in the future with the projects in the draft plan, they actually reduce risk for our communities -- less than we actually experience today. That's pretty significant when you consider the changes that we think

we'll face across our coast.

2.2

Also, we're going to talk with you today about our Annual Plan, as I mentioned. The Annual Plan really veers off of the Master Plan. So we go through some kind of higher up, longer term processes that sets the vision for our coast, and makes a prediction of what the future of our coast may look like, to essentially what we're going to be doing here now -- what are the projects that we're going to build that we've identified in the Master Plan, and we'll implement and build within the next year.

It's actually a three-year outlook that sort of concentrates on the upcoming fiscal year of FY '24, but it's also some projections about where we'll be on those projects for Fiscal Year '25 and '26 as well. So before I move on, I want to make sure that I'm not remiss and I thank the team that has been working on all this for the last six years or so.

I mentioned Stuart Brown. If you see a person in the audience -- hopefully, you've had a chance to talk to us -- with a name tag

like this -- there's some others who actually don't have name tags with us -- those are CPRA staff, or folks that are working with us to get to the point where we are today. I've said this before and I'll say it again this evening: I believe over the four iterations of the Master Plan that I've seen and been involved in, I think we're in the best shape with this one than we ever have been on the previous three. It's the most complete and most well put together, so it's in the best shape.

We are here, however, to hear from you all, and we always say we can always do better. One of the reasons the Coastal Program is as strong as it is and our planning process is as strong as it is is because folks like you participate in this process. You're here to ask questions, to challenge us when we need to be challenged, and we certainly appreciate that and accept that, and we hope to hear from y'all this evening as it relates to the plans that we're preparing.

And then lastly, I really just want to

say that I think the -- we're talking about plans tonight; right? We all talk about plans. Plans are great, but we also want to talk about action. I hope you all realize that there's been a tremendous amount of action that has occurred within the Coastal Program over the last 15 years or so. these plans put into motion projects to be built; right? Projects like the district system that you see here in the New Orleans Projects like some marsh creation area. projects in this area -- the Orleans Land Bridge, Lake Borgne Marsh Creation, Spanish Pass Ridge and Marsh Creation, and even more that we're going to go through. We've got some maps up that show some of those.

Because we go through this process and because it has been, in the past -- and I believe it will be in this iteration -- so well vetted and so well scrutinized, we're going to delve into the projects in our future that will make differences for our communities and make differences for our fish and for our wildlife in the future.

Today, it's resulted in the securement of

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

about \$20 billion dollars -- or over \$20 billion dollars for doing projects across our coast. That's benefitting over 60,000 acres of our coastal wetlands. We've been able to build or restore about 60 miles of our barrier islands, and we've been able to rebuild or improve about 300 miles of our levee structures. All of that is the result of all of those projects in a process like this. So just take heart in knowing that this is not just a feeble planning exercise; it results in on-the-ground effort and on-the-ground results.

So with that, again, I want to thank you all for being here. We've got a couple of presentations that we're going to go through with you here this evening, and I will turn it over to Stu -- oh, or not to Stu. I'll turn it over to Therse, who's going to go through a few ground rules and kind of run the show. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

2.2

Alright, thanks everybody. Like Bren said, my name is Therese Walker, and I'm with the comms team of CPRA. So I'm just going to

go over housekeeping real quick and kind of walk you through how tonight's going to go.

So we will being here momentarily with the Master Plan presentation. After that, we'll take the Master Plan public comment period, and then once we receive all of those spoken public comments, we'll then move into the Annual Plan. There will be a short presentation, and then there's an Annual Plan public comment period.

So as you walked in and hopefully walked around the room, you've gotten the opportunity to see the different statement cards and comment cards. So the ways that you can provide a comment tonight are either written on a comment card -- so for the Annual Plan, that is the white card, and for the Master Plan, that will be a green card. You're welcome to turn those in at any point in time tonight.

If you'd like to speak and give a public comment on the Record, we've got a court reporter here, and so during each comment period, you'll have to fill out a statement card. So the Master Plan -- we'll do Master

Plan presentation, and throughout that time and maybe a couple minutes after, we'll take all the statement cards. So if you've not yet filled one out and you want to write a statement, you have basically until the end of the presentation to do so. We'll take them for a couple minutes after that until we formally begin or announce we're starting the comment period.

At that point in time, we'll read them in the order that we receive them. State your name -- come up to this microphone here on the left when we call you, state your name again, and speak your comment. You have three minutes to do so, and this will be recorded by the reporter and put into the official record.

One quick note there -- we are not taking questions. This is simply a comment period. You're welcome to come and give a statement, but the CPRA team is not going to respond to questions during the comment period. Once we go through all the comment cards we've received, we'll then switch over to Annual Plan, and same process there.

So if you need a card, Annual Plan cards are here, Master Plan cards are here, or raise your hand and find somebody and we'll be happy to hand one to you. So with that, we'll hand it over to Stu.

STUART BROWN:

Alright. I'm Stuart Brown. I oversee the development of the Coastal Master Plan at CPRA. Just to follow up on what Therese presented, there are four ways to submit comments: in person, as Therese just described; you can send them as an email to masterplan@la.gov -- please put public comment in the subject line.

You can submit them online. We have an online form. You can get it through the QR code there on the right, or you can navigate to it from our website, or you can send it in the mail to the address there. We'll end on this slide as well, so if you want to take down the address, those will be available to you.

So to start off, many of y'all are aware of this, but Louisiana has lost an enormous amount of coastal wetlands over the last

century or so. We've lost nearly 2,000 square miles of coastal wetlands since 1932. There are many causes to this land loss. Some of these are natural processes we expect to see in these sort of systems, but a lot of it has been the result of, or at least exacerbated by, human activity.

Land loss is, of course, significant from a landscape and habitat perspective. It directly impacts fisheries and wildlife resources and the jobs that those resources support. Land change also impacts industries like agriculture and navigation, and oil and gas who have operations in the coastal zone, and of course, land loss also increases the flooding impacts from hurricanes and tropical storms that affect nearly all coastal communities.

And so the Master Plan is the guiding document on how do we meet these challenges. So what is the Master Plan? At its core, the Master Plan is a prioritization effort: how can the state spend its money most cost effectively over the next 50 years to reduce storm surge based flood risk and build and

maintain coastal wetlands?

Importantly, this is not a program or a funding source. We'll be talking about more of those with the Annual Plan presentation in a bit. This is a prioritization effort. It identifies where the state should invest, as money becomes available through a wide range of funding sources. As the money becomes available, these projects and concepts move into feasibility, then into design, and ultimately, construction. We then operate, maintain, and monitor those projects, and what we learn feeds back into how we evaluate and design and build these projects.

The plan is developed through a process that ensures adaptive management. We're required to update this plan every six years. This gives us an opportunity to incorporate the best available science, newly available data, and it also allows us an opportunity to reevaluate the process by which we are selecting these projects. And a lot of the feedback that we got through the public comment period for the 2017 Plan has been really instrumental in how we've moved

forward with the 2023 Plan.

The Plan is built on world-class science and engineering. It advances a popular and significant approach to restoration and risk reduction. It incorporates extensive public input and review, and this last bullet point is one that we're really trying to stress with this Master Plan, is that it illustrates how the coast is going to change. It illustrates how communities may experience that change.

So we know that the coast is going to look different 30, 50 years from now, whether we're a hundred percent successful in implementing the projects we're identifying now. We also know that larger project of having a resilient coast is much bigger than the restoration and risk reduction projects that CPRA implements, but that is, we believe, a big piece of it.

Having a resilient coast is going to be the result of the decisions of individuals and communities, local governments, businesses -- all levels of government -- and we want to make sure that the information

about how the coast is going to change, how different areas may experience that change differently, is accessible and usable to help inform those individuals or entities making those decisions about their future in Coastal Louisiana.

So what's at stake? Our homes, our jobs, and our culture. The goal, again, is to have a resilient coast -- a coast where people can continue to live and to work and take advantage of all the things that make Coastal Louisiana so economically, ecologically, and culturally valuable.

So we're here today at one of the four public hearings we are holding. The public comment period is open through March 25. We mentioned the ways that you can submit a public comment on the first slide. Following that public comment, we will be incorporating those comments and presenting the final plan to the CPRA Board for their approval on April 19. We will then submit a final draft to the legislature following the CPRA Board's approval.

Alright. So here, I have a general

2.2

overview of the process by which we identify and select projects to be included in the Master Plan. So this slide here, I know is very busy -- a lot of arrows and small type. This is, I believe, on page five of the plan. I think it is a good distillation of the process, but probably better to explore on your own time than in the middle of a presentation on a projector.

But the important part for this
presentation is those -- are those labels on
the top: projects, modeling, and
prioritization. We start with a suite of
candidate projects, we model those projects
to try to understand how they will perform,
and then we select the projects that give us
the greatest benefit in terms of reducing
storm surge based flood risk, and building
and maintaining coastal wetlands.

As I mentioned, we start with a suite of candidate projects. These candidate projects come from a wide range of sources. We mine past Master Plans, we mine past Corps studies. We mine parish master plans and other parish plans. We also have a public

solicitation period. We actually had two separate public solicitations for this plan, and we provided feedback in between the two periods for those who presented, or those who provided proposals in the first solicitation period.

And as for this plan, we also developed regional work groups to help develop new project ideas, and also to refine some of the project concepts that have been kind of bouncing around for, in many cases, decades to see if there's things that we can learn from how we're projecting the coast will change and help us choose more effective projects.

We then model those projects. We are modeling these projects to try to understand how it will perform over time, across a range of potential future environmental scenarios, and we're comparing that to a future without action. So we're interested in what the future looks like if we implement those projects versus what the future looks like if we do not implement those projects, and we call that our future without action. So if

you've looked at the Plan, then you're likely familiar with that term: "Future Without Action."

And then the last step is prioritization. So the models tell us how a project performs. The planning tool helps us select the suite of projects that gives us the greatest benefit in terms of reducing flood risk -- coastal flood risk, and building and maintaining coastal wetlands, again, given our concerns, which are funding, and in some cases, sediment availability.

We also develop -- we also develop metrics to help us understand how the changing coast and the projects we're trying to implement will affect different resources and different communities, and we take that into consideration when we're selecting projects as well.

Now we'll talk about what is new in the 2023 Master Plan. So back to our diagram for a moment, just to note the starting point for this plan is previous master plans. We are building off of the tools and processes that we've developed in the past, but given the

opportunity to reevaluate those and incorporate new and newly available data to make sure that we're capturing the best available science as we move forward with the 2023 Plan.

We're going to step through some examples of improvements. This list is not at all exhaustive. If you are interested in talking about model improvements, we have a lot of people here who are really interested in talking to you about model improvements. This has taken a huge amount of work over the last six years, leading up to this Master Plan.

So one of the areas where we have improvement is in our storm suite. So we have a new storm suite that was developed by the Army Corps. We have a greater number of storms, we have storms that have different sizes and intensities, and storms at different angles. And in short, this does give us a much better ability to project current and future storm surge flooding.

Another advancement is in our asset database. So the storm surge modeling tells

us what flood levels we'll see; the risk model tells us what sort of damage that will cause, and so knowing where different assets are and the characteristics of those assets is really important to that process. There are newly available data sets.

One significant advancement is working with one of our partners at Purdue who developed a machine learning algorithm to estimate first floor elevations of structures using Google Street View imagery, so this just gives us a much better understanding of the type of damage that would be caused by different flood depths.

Another set of improvements are not just about having new data available to us, but about kind of reevaluating some of our understanding of the processes and the systems that we're modeling. Again, this is one of those things that we can talk about for quite a long time, but I will use this as an opportunity to talk about the pertinent data sets.

The Coastwide Reference Monitoring System is an awesome resource that we have in

Louisiana. Now that we've had continuous monitoring across the coast for 12 plus years, in most cases, we're able to reevaluate some of the assumptions we made in our modeling based previously on best professional judgement, on literature, and see where that shows up in the data and reevaluate those assumptions we made based on this enormous data set.

Another area where we've seen significant improvements is in environmental drivers and our understanding of the environmental drivers. So when we're talking about environmental drivers, we're talking about climate related drivers like sea level rise and temperature; we're also talking about things like subsidence, which, of course, is not tied to climate change. There's been —there have been very significant advances in our understanding of these drivers, but there still is uncertainty, and because of this uncertainty, we take a scenario approach.

So if you're looking at the figures that we have on the poster boards over there, if you're familiar with the plan, we talk about

2.2

a higher environmental scenario and a lower environmental scenario, and so our goal -neither of these scenarios is meant to be a precise prediction of what we expect to happen. These are meant to help us understand how these projects will perform across of range of potential future conditions, so that we can select projects that will be effective whether we realize a more severe future environmental condition, or a more moderate future environmental condition.

So in addition to those sort of modeling and technical improvements, we also had improvements to our process. And as I mentioned at the top, a lot of this is based on feedback we got through the 2017 process, and shortly thereafter. One of the things we heard in 2017 is that we needed to take a more regionally focused approach. The problems of the Chenier Plain are not the problems of Terrebonne, and the solutions to the Chenier Plain and Terrebonne and the Northshore are different as well.

And so as part of this, we developed the regional work groups that I mentioned earlier to help support the development of new project ideas. It also serves as a venue to communicate progress throughout the plan on our part, and sometimes it can communicate some of the kind of region specific issues that they face and what they see as some of the major issues that they will face in the future with the changing coast.

So if you open the Master Plan, there's a whole -- about a quarter or a third of the document is dedicated to these regional write ups, and a lot of the storylines that are illustrated in those sections came from these regional work groups.

Another area that we improved for this plan is we introduced a new risk metric. So previously, we had worked with a risk metric that was an annualized estimate of dollar damages. There are criticisms of this sort of analysis. It's an important metric, and it helps us understand if we invest this many dollars, how much -- how many dollars of risk can we reduce, but it doesn't always tell the

whole story, so we developed a new metric which is an attempt to address issues of equity that -- or more directly, to address issues of equity that are lost in using a dollar value analysis. So this is looking at a proportional impact of structures that is agnostic to how valuable those structures are. We use both of these metrics in our analysis. They are both important, but they tell us different things about the type of risk that communities experience.

I mentioned this a little bit earlier, but we also -- rather than pinning our plan to a single projection of future environmental conditions, we are, in this Master Plan, developing a plan that is selecting a suite of robust projects, projects that will be effective whether we realize a more severe environmental scenario, or a more moderate environmental scenario.

And then lastly, this is a big difference: what I've talked to you about up to this point has been about the project selection process. As I mentioned on that first slide, one of the goals is to help

illustrate how the coast is going to change and how folks will experience that. And so beyond the improvements to the technical tools and to the process to prioritize projects, we took on a number of kind of one-off modeling efforts to really help understand, and then show folks how they may experience change.

And so one example of this is high tide flooding. There are a lot of communities across Louisiana that are currently exposed to high tide flooding, and this is flooding we associate with normal weather patterns, not related to tropical storms or hurricanes. And we looked at key places in those communities and how frequently they're currently exposed to high tide flooding, how frequently they may be in 25 years or 50 years with continued sea level rise, with continued subsidence.

We wrote about three of these communities in the Master Plan, but we're going to have an appendix coming out shortly where we looked at eight different communities -- I think Mandeville and Delacroix, and a number

2.2

of other communities in this part of the state are included in that analysis.

So for the 2023 Master Plan, ultimately, we selected 61 restoration projections, 12 structural risk reduction projects, and identified \$11 billion dollars for non-structural risk reduction. Again, this is a 50 year, \$50 billion dollar plan, with \$25 billion dollars being allocated for restoration and \$25 billion dollars being allocated for allocated for risk reduction.

So starting with the restoration, a few of the high points: the plan identifies \$2.7 billion dollars in new diversions. We highlight "new" because in our modeling, we made the assumption that the Mid-Barataria and Mid-Breton Diversions, as well as the River Reintroduction into Maurepas Swamp, are already on the landscape. And the reason for this is that we expect they will be constructed, and we want to select projects — additional projects that won't have negative interactions with those projects, that won't — and hopefully select projects that have synergy with those projects.

The next bullet point: over \$19 billion dollars of the Master Plan is for dredging projects. So as Bren will talk about with projects that are moving forward in the Annual Plan, marsh creation, barrier island restoration remains a huge part of our restoration program, and will, in the future, continue to be a huge part of our restoration program. It's a very effective way to restore our coastal wetlands.

And then the last point is that we've identified \$2.5 billion dollars for programmatic projects. So these are projects that sort of, for one reason or another, don't fit particularly well into our analytical framework. They are projects that are best treated programmatically, or addressed by programs that exist. So we have at CPRA a Barrier Island Program that is more capable and kind of has the agility to address barrier islands and sediment needs of barrier islands, which are highly dependent on recent storms, and priorities can change quickly -- more quickly than a Master Plan analysis.

And then, also, the kind of smaller scale projects, like small scale hydrologic restoration, oyster reef restoration, and shoreline protection. Those are the things that are best addressed through programs like CWPPRA, the Restoration Partnership Fund, the RESTORE Matching Program -- programs that have the ability to look at those projects on a case by case basis.

So with the Master Plan implemented under a lower scenario, 314 square miles of land is built or maintained that otherwise would have been lost at year 50. Under the higher scenario, at year 50, that's 233 square miles, and you see there's a greater benefit in year 40, and we'll look at some time period maps in a second.

But that's more because a lot of the large scale marsh creation projects that are selected early on in the process, which are really effective projects, are really effective for 30, 35 years, but in the out years of that higher scenario, they're no longer able to keep pace with accelerated rates of sea level rise and continued

subsidence.

So this is our lower scenario at year 10, year 20, year 30, year 40, and year 50. The blues, of course, are land loss, and greens are land gain and land maintained. And this is the higher scenario at year 10, 20, 30, 40, and then you'll see a lot of these colors have marsh creation projects if you look in the Port Fourchon area, Marsh Island that are no longer able to keep pace in the final decade.

So on the risk reduction side, we identified 12 structural risk reduction projects for a total of \$14 billion dollars. We also identified \$11.2 billion dollars of that \$25 billion dollar budget that is best allocated towards non-structural risk reduction measures, such as elevated homes, flood proofing business, and voluntary acquisition in areas that are exposed to very high levels of flooding.

So this is our projection of flood depths at year 50 under our lower scenario with the restoration projects and the structural risk reduction projects on the landscape. You can

see those lower flood depths behind some of the structural features, and those oranges and reds outside of the structural features, and this is the difference of with and without action. And so we do see with some of these structural features, they increase flood depths in certain areas by kind of stacking up that surge as it approaches the structure, but we see significant reduction in flooding behind those structures.

We also see in the Chenier Plain, there are non-structural protection projects and we see the impact of restoration projects. So that reduction in flood depths is the result of those restoration projects that have been implemented in this Master Plan. And this is the reduction compared to a future without action; not necessarily reduction compared to what they are exposed to today.

And this is what it looks like in terms of risk, and so the blues and greens are reductions in flood risk, and as we would expect, the reduction in flood depth or in flood risk are seen behind those structural protection projects, but we also do see that

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

impact in the Greater Lake Charles area with reduced flood risk in those areas as a result of the restoration projects.

And so the result of this is at year 50, compared to a future without action, as measured in our expected annual damage in dollars figure or metric, we see about 70 percent reduction under a low scenario, and 60 percent reduction under our higher scenario. If we measure that in expected annual structure damage, we see a similar but slightly greater reduction -- a 78 percent reduction under the lower scenario, and 65 percent reduction in the higher scenario.

And so Bren lead with this and I will close with this statement, but this level of investment could mean that in 50 years, under a lower environmental scenario, Louisiana could have less risk from hurricanes and tropical storms than we do today. Under the lower scenario, it's a reduction of around \$10.8 billion dollars annually in year 50, and \$14.6 billion dollars under the higher scenario. So I will leave these directions on how to submit comments and hand it back

over to Therese.

2.

THERESE WALKER:

Okay. Thanks, Stu. So we'll now begin the public comment portion here in just a second. So this is just public comments on the Master Plan. So anyone who would like to speak a comment into the record needs to take a statement card -- the green Master Plan card -- wave your hand and we'll get you one. Make sure you turn that in. I'll give everyone here a minute or two to, you know, make a decision to make one. Once we actually start the comment period portion, we'll take more cards.

A couple of reminders on this: once we call you up to the front, make sure you state your name so that it can be spoken and written down into the record. You have three minutes to give a comment. We'll time you and give you some cues there if you're running close to time. We're not taking any questions -- it's simply a comment period to state your statement. We're not going to respond to those. So I'll give everybody another minute or so.

And so there are other ways to give a comment. If you would like to write something down instead of speaking it, there's comment cards that you can fill out later on and drop them off before you leave tonight. Stu went through a bunch of other ways you can submit comments here. I'll leave it up for a second so you can snap a picture if you need it.

If you have specific questions that you kind of want to talk about -- you've heard all this information -- the CPRA team will be around a little while after this. We're happy to sit and kind of talk with you one on one if you have any comments or concerns about the projects -- I'm sorry -- if you have any questions about them.

Last call -- does anybody else have one, or wants to fill one out? Wave them around and we'll grab it from you.

Okay. With that, we'll officially go ahead and get started. When I call your name out, you'll come to this microphone over here to my left, state your name one time for the record, and then you've got three minutes

starting there to give your comment. So first, we'll have Captain George Ricks, followed by George Cavignac and James Karst. CAPTAIN GEORGE RICKS:

Thank you. My name is Captain George Ricks --

BREN HAASE:

2.2

I don't think it's on.

CAPTAIN GEORGE RICKS:

My name's Captain George Ricks. I'm

President of the Save Louisiana Coalition.

First off, I'd like to thank CPRA for their
hard work on the Master Plan. There's some
great projects in the Master Plan.

In his presentation, Mr. Brown spoke about priorities, cost effectiveness, storm surge reduction, culture, jobs; however, in March of last year, an environmental impact statement was done for the Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion, and it showed that these types of projects -- diversion projects -- did none of those Mr. Brown talked about.

I'd like to point out that as far as cost effectiveness, your presentation has sediment diversion in it right now at \$2.4 billion

dollars. I'd like to point out the cost effectiveness. Right now, the largest marsh creation project in the history of Louisiana is being built as we speak in St. Bernard Parish. 2770 acres which will be built in three years. That's 25 percent of what the Mid-Barataria Diversion is proposed to build in 50 years, and it's going to be built in a fourth of the time and a fourth of the cost.

So these are the type of projects you need. Diversion projects have actually shown in environmental impact statements to actually cause more flood risk and do nothing to provide storm surge protection. Because of these facts and other environmental problems with the diversions, the Save Louisiana Coalition stands opposed to any and all new diversions in the Master Plan, especially the second water diversion in St. Bernard Parish. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

2.2

Next, we have George Cavignac.

GEORGE CAVIGNAC:

Thank you. I'm George Cavignac, CEO of the Gulf Coast Resource Coalition. I too

would like -- our organization as well commends CPRA. Massive work on the plan, as always. The iterations of them over the years have been a lot of hard work, we understand, but we too -- echoing some of the last speaker's terms -- for nearly a decade, our organization and others of our stakeholder partners have passed by the board and legislature, as well as many of these public meetings, and criticism of the large scale Mississippi River Diversion Project as in the Master Plan has always been deflected in the mantra by the CPRA and other mouthpiece environmental groups that the projects are going to go through a rigorous scientific process.

Well, here we are today. The science has been confirmed in the environmental impact statement. So because the science in the environmental impact statement clearly states that the Mid-Barataria Diversion will cause permanent major destruction to the shrimp industry, permanent major destruction for the oyster industry; because of the fact that the State's own Louisiana Oyster and Shrimp

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Taskforce, under the Wildlife and Fisheries
Department, has sent official resolutions to
the legislature, to CPRA opposing these
projects, and informing you because of the
economics, once these projects start, that
the shrimp and oyster industries will not
recover;

Because local governments of Louisiana, including St. Bernard, Plaquemines, City of Grand Isle, and other stakeholder groups have sent official objections, resolutions, begging that these projects not forge forward; because the project will destroy and drive into functional extinction one of the largest dolphin populations in the continental U.S., and a waiver was placed into a large budget amendment in 2018 to waive protection under the environmental process to the Marine Animal Protection Act, which many experts feel that the project wouldn't have got permit approval on December 20 of last year;

Because of all those facts, and in addition, the environmental impact statement and your own graph that was just displayed up

2.2

on the screen for everyone, demonstrates that the project will only create a paltry two percent additional wetlands, which will not stand up to storm surge in the Barataria Basin.

Because of all these facts, but also because we have to officially object to these projects yet again -- all new diversion projects in the Master Plan -- speaking as the Gulf Coast Resource Coalition for the official public record, official objections from also our partners governmentally --Cameron County, Texas for the Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion, for the public record, and our partners in government, Hancock County, Mississippi and the City of Bay St. Louis also officially object along with us to the Mid-Breton Diversion. We also have the Central Wetlands, and just to close, because of future litigation, we'll limit our comments for now because the time's up, but I appreciate you. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

James Karst.

25 JAMES KARST:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Hi, my name is James Karst. I am the communications director at the Coalition to Restore Coastal Louisiana, and I am delivering my public comments on behalf of that organization tonight. Thank you to CPRA for hosting tonight's public hearing, and for all the hard work on this plan.

CRCL's mission is to unite people in action to achieve a thriving, sustainable Louisiana Coast for all. We are proud of our 35 year history of advocating for the restoration of our coast. We are also one of the members of the Restore the Mississippi River Delta campaign, a coalition of environmental groups working to advance an integrally safer and flourishing coast for Louisiana's communities, ecosystem, and economy.

Coastal land loss is one of the most significant and urgent issues facing our state. As such, we believe that restoration projects must be implemented as soon as possible. Because they will have the most impact over time and support other investments in the restoration and risk

reduction projects, we believe the state should focus funding on these large scale projects first.

We strongly support the proposed Coastal Master Plan. We are encouraged to see that it includes implementation periods that prioritize how projects should be advanced over time. We also support the Master Plan process, as it is publicly informed and grounded in the best available science. It also balances coastal restoration and protection, and is realistic about the challenges we face along our coast.

We're encouraged to see you to continue to find opportunities to reach wider audiences because we know that when everyone takes part in the process, it is fairer and more effective. We also encourage CPRA to continue to find new ways -- new and accessible ways to include all stakeholders in the process.

The Master Plan brings a welcome tool for communicating to people about projects in their own backyards. We plan to submit a more detailed comment from CRCL in writing.

1 | Thank you.

2.

THERESE WALKER:

Next up is Scott Eustis, followed by Kyle Galloway.

SCOTT EUSTIS:

Hello. My name is Scott Eustis. I'm with Healthy Gulf and Gulf Restoration

Network out of New Orleans. We're a 28-yearold environmental group from Texas. We're

commenting on the Master Plan again for 2023.

You've come so far. It's been so amazing to

see the massive amount of restoration

implementation that Louisiana has

accomplished because of CPRA.

There's an awful lot of conflict you have in the state. In 2005, we were given authority to name CPRA as authority over the levee districts, over DOT to resolve conflicts, and that means that Louisiana is restoring wetlands at a better pace than Florida has been meaning to; right? And yet, we -- you know what I'm going to say: we need you to do so much more with that.

We've commented on non-structural. We have authority over OCD. You -- there's a

lot you could do on coastal management.

PetroGlobal is ripping up a lot of the wetlands in your project areas. We've been mitigating Pointe Coupee Parish. There's a lot more that CPRA could be doing on coastal resiliency and keep those wetlands in the nice green color lines you have on those maps.

I guess tonight, I'd just like to talk about -- there's another agency that is affecting the projects, and that's LDEQ. We have a climate taskforce that's outlined very well how much new petrochemical air permits are affecting the future of Louisiana.

That's a taskforce; you're an authority. You really need to get CPRA to take the science of the taskforce seriously and develop metrics on consistency with LDEQ's air permits on how it affects the quality of colors on the map.

You know, if a -- Formosa Plastics in St.

James and PetroGlobal, they're on the EPA's

White Paper List. They're one percent of

Louisiana'a carbon emissions. That facility

is flooding the wetlands around the globe in

2.2

2067, so it's also affecting the projects. So we do need CPRA to staff up if you have to to do consistency reviews of air permits because we know the climate is really going to be the future of our wetlands.

We sued BP to get all those colors on the map. Currently, the state is suing Chevron for its deep oilfield to get our wetlands back. We know that CPRA has the authority and the will and the science to take on Formosa, Venture Global LNG -- the two climate emitters -- and do consistency reviews for some of these massive climate destroyers that are happening in our state. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Next, we have Kyle Galloway.

KYLE GALLOWAY:

Good evening. My name is Kyle Galloway with GIS Engineering, and I'm here to speak on behalf of Lafourche Basin Levee District. First of all, congratulations to CPRA and your staff and all of your partners on a great milestone. I know this is a continuous effort, and I'm sure it feels really good to

get to this point after years of work, and before you get started on the next one.

Also, I was telling Mr. Haase before the presentation what a beautiful document this is. I really appreciate all the graphics. I think you did a great job and it's really nice to look at, so kudos to y'all on continuing to kind of improve yourself both technically and presentation-wise.

So I wanted to bring up some concerns about the Upper Barataria Risk Reduction Projects. Basically, we expected from 2017 to the 2023 Plan to see changes to that project that would bring it into alignment with the federal projects that was authorized in the Water Resources Development Act of 2022. It actually appears to kind of go in the opposite direction, so we just want to make sure that we're all aligned between the local levee district, the state, and federal government.

So we're just requesting some discussion and clarification on that before finalizing the Master Plan, so we'll submit the details in writing and reach out to Mr. Haase and

some of his staff. I'm sure we'll work through all of that. We just wanted to bring that up and make sure we talk about it before finalizing the plan. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Next, we have Joshua Benitez.

JOSHUA BENITEZ:

Hey, how's it going, everybody? Yeah, so my name is Joshua Benitez. Do you mind if I hold this mic? Is that okay?

BREN HAASE:

Yeah.

JOSHUA BENITEZ:

It's a little low. Thank you. I'm a singer, so. My name is Joshua Benitez. I'm the co-director of Common Ground Relief. I'm here specifically to speak, though, as an individual born and raised here in New Orleans, Louisiana.

So I would like to start this off by saying that I would like CPRA to dream at the scale of our crisis that we're currently facing. I would like to acknowledge the shifts in how you quantify or qualify projects towards being more equitable.

I would also like to specifically name that those shifts are insufficient. You know, at the end of the day, there are a lot of communities that don't have this time.

There are people who do not have 50 years, or 30 years, or even 10, and that the primary drivers are -- one of the largest drivers of coastal wetland loss is the petrochemical industry with the 15,000 miles of canals that are cutting across our wetlands.

And no amount of work can really undo that if we continue to allow that to happen, and if we continually allow those industries to build without -- what's the word I'm thinking of? Someone help me.

BREN HAASE:

Mitigation?

JOSHUA BENITEZ:

Mitigation, that's the word. Yeah. If you guys just let the industries do whatever they want without accountability -- that's the word -- accountability. We love it. We love that word. S

o yeah, if you could hold industries accountable for the 15,000 miles of canals

that were cut through the wetlands and for the hundreds of oil spills that happen after hurricanes, I think that would complement CPRA's mission and benefit every single person in Louisiana, not to mention that Louisiana guides the nation and the world in how we are going to tackle climate change. So I would like to end by saying dream at the scale of the crisis. I know that we can do it, and so thank you. THERESE WALKER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Alright, I apologize -- the next card is first name Justin, last name K. I'm having trouble reading it.

JUSTIN KRAY:

That's me. Good evening. My name is Justin Kray, and I'm a cartographer here on behalf of the Louisiana Bucket Brigade. I would like to speak specifically to -- it's a beautiful program, I agree. The modeling shows great technical competency. I guess that is more to do with how is CWPPRA holistically going to work in concert with other state agencies.

It seems like CWPPRA is the supreme

environmental authority in the states in terms of governing the future of the health of our wetlands and environment, and I'm concerned about several aspects of the plan, which don't seem to include large mechanical fill outs currently underway in Southwestern Louisiana and the Chenier Plain, Port Fourchon, and Plaquemines Parish.

As you're probably familiar with,
Louisiana's natural gas boom is underway, and
there's over a dozen plants currently in
permittance -- they're either permitted, or
about to be permitted, and being reviewed by
DEQ. I don't see any mention of those plants
in the CWPPRA or the plan. Some of those
well-known schemes are actually going to
occur in areas where CWPPRA's earmarked
locations for wetland restoration.

For instance, Commonwealth LNG Plant in Cameron Parish is -- coincides with one of the wetlands restoration projects you guys have in mind. It doesn't seem like that's necessarily compatible, and so I'd like to feel like I understand more from the plan what kinds of guidance are you offering? How

are you reporting to those state agencies?

Are you reporting to those state agencies?

It seems like your work is -- has to be considered holistically with the permitting authorities and other state agencies.

I'd like to see more written in the plan about what you're doing in terms of zeroing in on state authority to kind of bring to heel or coordinate with the other agencies in the state that are determining the future and the health of our environment.

Another thing that kind of crossed my mind is I know there's a lot of sedimentary diversion planned, you know, through the various sediment base, and I'm not an expert on hydrology or hydrological modeling, but from what I understand, a lot of these diversions are relying on sediment from the Mississippi River, and my concern has to do with the -- I know you're familiar with pluton.

Well, that's in the Mississippi River and all petrochemical plants are currently emitting un-permitted or not well known amount of toxic agents into the Mississippi

River, and churning it in the deep depths. Α lot of those are not well known, and I'm concerned that that will get introduced into the fragile wetland ecosystems, or potentially further diminish the health of fragile environments. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Alright. Our last one is Reverend Edwards. Anybody else who wanted to submit a comment, you're welcome to do so. Just write it down on a comment card and drop it off to someone. If you need one, wave us down and someone will get you one.

REVEREND EDWARDS:

I'm Tyronne Edwards from Plaquemines Parish East Bank. I'm here because I'm afraid of what's happening to young people. We talk about the democratic process. whole process -- all these pretty posters is a bunch of lies. I heard someone from CPRA on the news this morning, and the news man saying that -- asked a question, "Will anything change as a result of what you do here?" Nothing's going to change. petroleum companies control this whole

process.

This is a crime against nature.

Fishermen in Plaquemines Parish is dying a slow death. That's whose white glove you are under. I want to know how the CPRA or the government is going to let everybody stand by and continue -- how do you go to sleep at night knowing that what you're doing -- I mean, talk about the devil in the details.

This is the devil. This is evil that you are putting people out and you're calling it science. It's fake. It's pseudoscience from the petroleum company.

And not only is it pseudoscience, but you're bringing that pseudoscience into universities like LSU and the University of New Orleans, putting it in the young people's minds and saying this is progress and that somebody will have to make sacrifices.

You're sacrificing people's livelihood. Not only are you taking food from people, you're killing people. So I just wanted to know when are y'all going to stop being murderers and stop the lying?

THERESE WALKER:

Alright. With that, we'll conclude the public comment period for the Master Plan. Like I said, there's a couple of other ways if you'd like to submit comments. You're welcome to write them out before we're done.

The public comment period is open until March 25, so there are plenty of other ways for you to submit comments outside of this meeting. We'll be around after if anyone wants to ask questions. The CPRA team will be happy to stick around to answer those for you.

So with that, we'll move into the Annual Plan presentation. Same thing, though just a little shorter. After that, we'll take public comments on Annual Plan. If you'd like to speak on the Annual Plan, you'll need a blue statement card which someone on our team can give you, or they're on the table. You have until the end of that presentation and a couple of minutes after to submit those, and it'll be the same process. **BREN HAASE:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Alright. Thank you, Therese. Thanks everybody for providing those comments, and

again, for participating in this process. So we're going to switch gears, as Stu mentioned, and go from that higher level kind of long return kind of outlook to the things that we hope to implement, and veer off of the Master Plan. As we sit here today, this is what we'll implement over the next year, and then, you know, over the next three years.

So you've all seen all this ad nauseam by now, but again, we'll take public comments this evening. Public comments can be sent to the email address here. Online comments will be accepted as well if you scan the QR code to access the ability to make comments there, and also, we'll accept written comments via the mail -- the old fashioned way.

So in terms of our timeline, the same timeline, basically, as the Master Plan. We presented this to our Board on January 18th. That opened the public comment period. That public comment period runs through March 25th. The final plan will be presented to the Board on the 19th, incorporating the public comments that we received.

And while we don't officially respond to public comments, I can tell you that every Master Plan to date has been changed from the draft to final version as a result of public comment. And ultimately, the final plan will be presented to the legislature shortly after our board meeting.

So in terms of the revenue that we anticipate receiving from the state this year, you can see those listed here. I won't go through each of those. Just know that the majority of these funding sources are related to either federal funding sources like GOMESA or CWPPRA, or related to the BP Oil Spill. That will be the NRDA, the NFWF, and RESTORE categories that you see there. There are some other categories there as well. We've been fortunate enough to have a budget surplus in the state of Louisiana over the last few years. Coastal restoration is one of the eligible expenditures of those dollars, so you see some of that listed there as well.

In terms of how those dollars will be spent, you see that broken down here. So if

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

you add up that list of funds that I showed you a moment ago, the total is \$1.74 billion dollars. That's a record for the state of Louisiana.

Last year, we broke a record with a figure of about \$1.3 billion dollars, and we anticipate that increasing significantly in the upcoming fiscal year, should those revenues become available to us. One of the things that we're certainly proud about as well, associated with this, is that 85 percent of those funds will be expended on project construction.

So looking ahead, I'll mention the next two fiscal years following Fiscal Year '24. Those numbers will be about \$1.6 billion and about \$1.27 billion dollars, respectively. If you look at the number or percent of those dollars being spent on construction, it goes to 90 and about 88 percent over the next couple of fiscal years. So just a few of the numbers that I want to run by you. I mentioned that 80 percent or so is being spent on construction, so that's about \$1.5 billion dollars that will be on the ground in

the upcoming fiscal year.

Stu talked a little bit about the amount of dredging that has occurred and will occur as envisioned by this Master Plan. This Annual Plan envisions about 20 projects being built that will involve dredging of the Mississippi River, from some more shallow lakes and bays, or from offshore as well. These projects will all benefit close to 15,000 acres of our coastal wetlands.

In total, we'll have 118 active projects, again, many of those in construction in the next fiscal year. If you think about the total investment in our coast over the next year, we believe -- our friends at GNO, Inc. estimate that will support about 10,000 direct jobs, and generally, \$640 million in labor income, so a significant driver for some of our economies in different parts of the state, and certainly along our coast.

So I'll focus in a little bit on some of the regions of the coast. We're going to start with the Southwest region, where we anticipate having 15 projects active -- nine of those in construction, and six in

engineering and design -- and those projects include the Cameron Gulf Shoreline Protection Projects, the Southwest Coastal Louisiana Project, the Long Point Bayou Marsh Creation Project -- all of which will be in construction this upcoming fiscal year.

Oh, go back. Wrong button. So we'll move a little bit further to the east and we'll look at the South Central portion of our state. 41 active projects in this region -- 26 of those in construction, 14 in engineering and design, and one of those projects will be in planning. These include the West Fourchon Marsh Creation Project, the Henderson Lake Water Management Project, the Morganza to the Gulf Project, the Larose to Golden Meadow Project, South Lafourche Reach 2 Levee Project, and the Grand Bayou Pump Station Project, again, just to name a few of those.

We'll continue that list here. We've got a number of projects active in the Southeastern region of the state, moving into our neighborhood, of course, where we are here this evening. We anticipate 62 projects

active in FY '24 -- 45 of those in construction -- some of those have already started construction -- 16 in engineering and design, and one of those projects in planning. These projects include the Pailet Basin Tidal Protection Project, the West Shore Lake Pontchartrain Hurricane Protection Project, Large-Scale Barataria Marsh Creation Project -- which is actually under construction as we speak.

Some other projects in this portion include the portion of Lake Borgne Marsh Creation Project in Increment 2 and the River Reintroduction Project into Maurepas Swamp, which you may have heard some news about recently.

As part of our Annual Plan, we also include an Atchafalaya Basin Annual Plan. There will be separate public meetings specifically for the Atchafalaya Basin Plan. The next meeting will be in Morgan City -- I'm looking for Brian. I believe it will be on Wednesday of this week. Is that right? Wednesday of this week, so -- but nonetheless, I wanted to mention it here as

2.2

we talk about the larger Annual Plan.

So you see a number of projects that we anticipate to be active in FY '24, including the dredging of Big Bayou Pigeon, Grimmett Canal Improvements, Pointe Coupee Water Flow and Quality Improvement Project. We mentioned Henderson Lake already, Murphy Lake Depth Restoration Project is underway. We're waiting for some technical information on that project. Now, the East Grand Lake Upper Region Project is in permitting and will be, at this rate, we anticipate that project sometime in the near future.

Some other projects you see up here are recreational and access. These other type of projects include the boat launch at Bayou Pigeon, and probably more important than any of the projects on here is an update to the Atchafalaya Basin Master Plan. You know, we talked about a lot of plans tonight, and we've got another one coming in this upcoming year, which will be an update to the Atchafalaya Basin Master Plan, which has not been updated in close to 30 years, I believe.

hoping that some of you got the chance to take a look at that this evening. We've got one associated with the Annual Plan. You can see the address here -- it's AP24.coastal.la.gov. It's just a good source of information.

If you have any questions about our Annual Plan, we will take this time out -- if you want more information about the projects in the Annual Plan, you can go here. There are videos, there are news articles, there are project fact sheets and so forth. Of course, you can access the Annual Plan document itself here as well.

And that concludes the presentation on the Annual Plan. We'll move back into public comment period, like we just did with the Master Plan. I did want to reiterate -- I believe Therese mentioned this earlier: while we're not answering questions as part of formal comment period, all of us will be here as long as you need us to be.

If you guys have questions, someone will be able to answer them if you grab one of the staff. We'll be around to sit here as long

2.2

as we need to. Again, appreciate your attention, and we'll move on to the public comment period.

THERESE WALKER:

Okay. So similar process to last time: if you would like to speak your comment into the record, there are blue statement cards for the Annual Plan. Make sure you fill one of those out and hand it in to CPRA staff member. If you need one, flag us down and we will grab it from you.

You've got about four minutes here just to write down your basic information. I'll let you know when we're done taking those cards and actually start the comment period. At that point in time, we'll run through the cards that we've received.

If you would like to not speak, but write down a comment, there are white comment cards that you are welcome to write on and drop those off before you leave. When everybody who wants to speak turns their statement cards in, I'll run through all the options to submit comments on the Annual Plan outside of this meeting.

So you're welcome to email them in to coastal@la.gov to submit them online. You can take a picture or hold your phone up to this QR code here and it'll pull up the form for you, or you can mail it in as well. So we'll give everybody one more minute who would like to speak your comment on the Annual Plan to write a statement card for it. If anybody else needs a card, just flag us down and we'll get you one.

Okay, we're going to go ahead and get started, then. So the first statement card that I have is from Zach Lea.

ZACH LEA:

I've already given my comment, but -- I'm Zach Lea. I'm involved in the oyster industry. I invest in what we call alternative oyster culture, and I just want to express my frustration at the state's what I consider mismanagement of our enormous oyster resources in the coastal zone.

I have two concerns here. Number one, I feel like the Mid-Barataria Sediment
Diversion should be scrapped, and those funds be diverted into more efficient marsh



building, land building projects such as -on a real similar cost basis, CPRA's Lake
Borgne Project is a much better use of public
funds for protecting and restoring our
coastal zone.

The sediment diversion plan costs \$156,000 dollars per acre to build marsh. The Lake Borgne Project cost about \$42,000 dollars per acre. So the Lake Borgne Project is approximately four times more cost effective than the Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion, and the marsh building project out in St. Bernard Parish provides better storm protection in a smaller amount of time.

Number two, the Barataria Sediment
Diversion is not essential for the protection
or restoration of our coastal zone. This
diversion will provide 25 square miles of
unprotected -- that is, no levees -freshwater marsh over its 50 year life
project -- project life. I am relying on
information that I get from CPRA's reports
for these comments. 25 miles of freshwater
marsh will be destroyed by a single hurricane
on a single path -- like for example,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Hurricane Katrina really destroyed 200 square miles. Ida destroyed 100 square miles.

This 25 percent that I mentioned earlier is only 1.25 percent of the 2,000 acres that we've lost. It's not hardly around the amount of land we're trying to put back. The Barataria Sediment Diversion is inconsistent with CPRA's magnus to protect and restore the coastal communities and the local natural resources.

It will flood the Barataria Basin with pollution carrying fresh Mississippi River water. Because oysters cannot survive in freshwater, the Barataria Diversion Project will destroy the oyster fishing in the Barataria Basin. Approximately 50 percent of our state's --

THERESE WALKER:

That's time.

20 ZACH LEA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

-- oyster production --

22 | THERESE WALKER:

Thank you for your comment.

24 ZACH LEA:

Okay. Most importantly, it will cut off



the future development of the oyster industry. With proper management of the salinity, oyster production in Barataria can be 10 to 15 times more than it is today. I apologize for talking too much.

THERESE WALKER:

Next, we have Mr. Brendan Mott. BRENDAN MOTT:

Thank you. My name is Brendan Mott. I work at the University of New Orleans. So I just wanted to mention something really quickly about the levee projects in general, but more specifically, just in the River Parishes, we know that project is ongoing.

As you said before, this might not be -funnily enough, this may the improper use of
this forum. I just want to be assured that
when y'all are making these levee projects,
y'all are aware of the stress that will put
on other levees already in existence when a
hurricane comes, and that's all I want to
say.

THERESE WALKER:

Thank you for your comment. And the last card I have is from Mr. Joshua Benitez.

JOSHUA BENITEZ:

Thank you, again. Joshua Benitez from Common Ground Relief. My first comment is to say that there are no sacrifice zones when it comes to re-vegetation, and I would like the CPRA to prioritize habitat restoration programs that specifically utilize native and indigenous re-vegetation. Specifically, we are very aware that after some projects, those areas re-vegetate quickly with establishing species, but in order to restore the habitat to pre-industrial conditions, we need to get a jumpstart on old growth vegetation.

The other thing that I would like to emphasize is that with the incredible amount of money that you are wielding, I would like to encourage you and ask that you use that money equitably. The distribution of wealth and labor opportunities should be prioritized such that communities of color are prioritized; such that indigenous communities are prioritized; such that workers will have job opportunities in their areas.

As we talk about the job opportunities

that these projects will create, I would like to ensure that it is local folks who are getting their fair share of the millions of billions of dollars that are going to be used in these projects. And I think that's it, but again, dream at the scale of the solution and the crisis, and thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Alright. Thanks, everybody, who gave us comments tonight. So a quick -- just to summarize, there are a couple other ways that you can submit a comment if you didn't speak it tonight. As I said several times, you can fill out a statement card on the Master Plan or the Annual Plan and we'll take those for as long as we're here.

If you'd rather, you know, go home and fill out -- submit your comment another way, you can email it to us, or complete it online and submit it through a form, or mail it to -- either Annual Plan comment or Master Plan comment, you can mail it just the old fashioned way.

So I'll leave this up. There's also some cards on your way out to grab that have a QR

CPRA New Orleans Public Meeting CPRA New Orleans Public Meeting,

Page 69 code with all this information as well for the Master Plan. So with that, we'll be around a little while if anyone has questions or wants to talk through anything, and thank you all for coming. (The Public Hearing concluded at 6:44 p.m.)

1

REPORTER'S PAGE

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

1 ^

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

I, Jenna Limjuco, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana,

before whom this sworn testimony was taken, do hereby state on the Record:

That due to the interaction in the spontaneous discourse of this proceeding, dashes (--) have been used to indicate pauses, changes in thought, interruptions, and/or talk-overs;

That this is the proper method for a court reporter's transcription of proceedings, that the dashes do not indicate that words or phrases have been left out of this transcript, and that any words and/or names which could not be verified through reference material have been denoted with the phrase "(phonetic)" or "(ph)".

Gennalimpie

Jenna Limjuco, BA-CCR Certified Court Reporter Louisiana License 2018004

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature and original required seal on this page.

I, JENNA LIMJUCO, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing 69 pages is a true and correct transcription of the proceedings herein, taken down by me and transcribed under my supervision, to the best of my ability and understanding, at the time and place hereinbefore noted, in the above entitled cause.

That this testimony was reported by me in the voice-writing reporting method, was prepared and transcribed by me or under my personal supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding; that the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the board.

That I am informed about the complete arrangement, financial or otherwise, with the person or entity making arrangements for deposition services, that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board.

CPRA New Orleans Public Meeting CPRA New Orleans Public Meeting,

Page 72

That I have no actual knowledge of any prohibited employment or contractual relationship, direct or indirect, between a court reporting firm and any party litigant in this matter, nor is there any such relationship between myself and a party litigant in this matter.

That I am not related to counsel or to the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have affixed my official signature this 7th day of February 2023 in New Orleans, Louisiana.

Jenna Limjuco

Louisiana Certificate No. 2018004





Transcript of the Testimony of CPRA Public Meeting Lake Charles,

Date: February 16, 2023

Case: CPRA Public Meeting Lake Charles

Pilant Court Reporting Phone: (800) 841-6863

Fax: (877) 474-5268

Email: deponotice@pilant.com Internet: www.pilant.com

IN THE MATTER OF:

STATE OF LOUISIANA COASTAL PROTECTION & RESTORATION AUTHORITY

LAKE CHARLES MEETING FOR MASTER PLAN & ANNUAL PLAN February 16, 2023

The CPRA Meeting for MASTER PLAN & ANNUAL PLAN, taken in connection with the above captioned matter at Burton Coliseum Complex, 7001 Gulf Highway, Lake Charles, Louisiana 70607, on Thursday, February 16, 2023 at 5:31 p.m.

Reported by:

Jenna Limjuco, BA-CCR Certified Court Reporter #2018004

CPRA Public Meeting Lake Charles CPRA Public Meeting Lake Charles,

		Page	2
1	APPEARANCES:		
2	Therese Walker		
3	Stuart Brown		
4	Bren Haase		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

CPRA Public Meeting Lake Charles CPRA Public Meeting Lake Charles,

	Page 3
1	INDEX
2	
3	Page
4	
5	Caption
6	Appearances
7	Proceedings 4
8	
9	Reporter's Page 89
10	Reporter's Certificate
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

BREN HAASE:

2.2

Alright. Good evening, everybody. My name is Bren Haase. I'm the executive director of the Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority. Hi, Clair. I didn't get to say hi to everybody, so hi.

So I appreciate everybody being here, and I hate to get started on a sad note, but I think all of our hearts in this room tonight are a little heavy. We were expecting to see one of our friends, our co-worker, our colleagues in the audience here tonight, Mr. Ralph Libersat, who passed away unexpectedly on Sunday. So that came as quite a shock to me. I did consider Ralph a personal friend, but we were colleagues and co-workers as well, and again, we expected to see him here this evening, and in fact, I had dinner plans with him after this meeting.

So it's with a heavy heart that we're here to talk to y'all about our Coastal Program, and I know, knowing Ralph -- and those of you who knew Ralph -- he would have wanted us to carry on and talk about these issues that were so near and dear to his

heart, and so we're going to do just that.

So again, I want to thank you all very much for being here. I know we have some elected officials in the audience. I want to thank them. A couple of police jurors here -- Police Juror Guidry and McGee, thank you for being with us, and Tax Assessor Lavergne as well.

So we're here to talk to you tonight about Louisiana's 2023 Coastal Master Plan. We're also here to talk with you about our fiscal year Annual Plan, so we're here to talk about two plans. So our Master Plan is kind of our high level, long term, big picture look at our coast and what it is that we think are our best priorities, the way to best spend our funds to get the most bang for those dollars in restoring our coast and reducing risk to our coastal citizens.

So we're going to start off talking with you a little bit about that, then we're going to get into the Annual Plan, which really is kind of a -- it tiers off of the Master Plan and gets into those projects we think we're going to implement in the next year, so which

of those Master Plan projects we've identified in that kind of bigger scale, higher level plan we're going to get on the ground and start construction, planning, or designing over the next year.

so I can tell you a couple things about each plan, and then I'll sit down and be quiet. But the Master Plan that we have put forth -- we released a draft last month, at the beginning of January -- it's the fourth iteration of that plan. It's an improvement over the previous plans, I can tell you that.

Stu Brown is going to get up here and talk with you a little about some of that in just a moment, but it delivers a lot for our coast. It results in a coast that has literally hundreds of square miles at the end of our 50 year plan that we wouldn't have otherwise. It's a plan that can reduce our risk to that -- lessen that, I should say. It reduces our risk in 50 years to lessen what we're experiencing today, potentially.

So think about that for a minute: the risk we're experiencing today across our coast today could be less 50 years from now,

even with the dynamic changing coast we're experiencing and all the land loss that we've experienced, and will be experiencing in the next 50 years. So all of this is to say that it's something that we can all be proud of, and it probably most importantly -- and we've been here in the course of our open house, having conversations. We've got a lot of CPRA staff here. If you see somebody with a nametag like this on them, we are -- they're CPRA. We're here to answer your questions and talk to you about the Plan.

We're here to hear from you. We don't know Southwestern Coastal Louisiana as well as you all do. We know that. Sometimes the tools that we use to evaluate our projects might not result in things that you think make sense, right, and that's certainly valid and apt. So we need your questions, we need your input. We need your statements.

We need you to challenge us on some of the things that we'll be presenting to you this evening, and that will make us all better off in the long run. So we certainly don't shy away from that. We want to have

some real honest and real good conversations with you about what it is we're presenting, what our plans are, and what we plan to do in Southwest Louisiana.

So with that, I'm going to stop. We're going to give you a couple of brief presentations, and then we're going to take some public comment. I want to introduce Stuart Brown -- I'm going to introduce Therese, not Stuart Brown, who's going to go over, I guess, some of the ground rules on how we'll run the show, how we're going to handle things this evening, and then Stu will be next to talk about our Master Plan. Thank y'all.

THERESE WALKER:

Thank y'all. I'll be real quick here so we can get to it. I just wanted to talk through some of the process we're going to go through tonight. So as Bren mentioned, you've got the Master Plan and the Annual Plan. So the way that this will work, we'll run the Master Plan presentation which Stu will give here in a moment, then we'll officially move into the public comment

period for the Master Plan.

Once we get through all those Master Plan comments, we'll start Annual Plan. That presentation's a little shorter, and then we'll do the same process there for the Annual Plan comment period.

So there's two ways that you can submit comments here tonight -- there's a couple ways, but really, the two that I'll cover here is you can submit a statement card if you wanted to get up and speak your comment. So we've got a court reporter here; you'll be able to speak that into the Record.

If you prefer to just write a comment and leave that with us as well, you're happy -- we're happy to take those. You can use this statement -- I'm sorry -- the comment card here at the bottom. So they're spread out throughout the room.

I'll give you a couple of reminders. A couple of important things to note here is that we'll give the Master Plan presentation. Once that's over, I'll give everybody a couple minutes to decide, "Yes, I will give a spoken statement," or "I prefer not to." If

you want to give a statement in the Record, spoken, you have about a minute there to give us that card back, so make sure that -- you can fill it out now and we can take it whenever, but once we actually start with the comments, we won't be taking new speakers as we try to get through the rest of the presentations.

So we'll complete the Master Plan comment period, and then move straight into Annual Plan. Same process: the blue statement card will be a written -- I mean, a spoken statement, and then a white card here if you'd rather leave a written statement. With that, I'll hand it over to Stu to go over the Master Plan.

STUART BROWN:

We're going to try this microphone situation. I've been told I have terrible mic etiquette. I'm not allowed to hold it because I talk with my hands. So I'm Stu Brown. I manage the strategic planning branch at CPRA. And just to follow up on Therese's piece, there are four ways to submit comments: in person through the

process that she said with the card; via email -- you can send comments to masterplan@la.gov.

You can submit them online through a form that we set up and you can access through that QR code, or through our website; and you can also mail them to the address here. We will close on this slide as well, so we'll have it up if folks want to write down this information. It's also available on our website and on some of the handouts around the room.

So to start off, many of y'all are aware of this: Coastal Louisiana has been experiencing a land loss crisis for nearly a century. We've lost almost 2,000 square miles of coastal wetlands since 1932. There are many causes for this land loss. Some of these are natural processes we would expect to see in systems like these, but much of it is the result of, or at least exacerbated, by human activity.

And land loss is, of course, significant from a landscape and habitat perspective. It directly impacts fisheries and wildlife

2.2

resources, and the jobs that those resources help support, but it also affects industries like agriculture and navigation and the oil and gas activities in the coastal zone, and as a result, it affects all folks who make their living in those coastal -- in those industries around the coast.

And of course, land loss also increases flooding impacts of hurricanes and tropical storms, so these are affects that nearly all coastal communities and citizens have experience or are exposed to, and the Master Plan is the guiding document for how we can meet these challenges.

So what is the Master Plan? At its highest level and at its core, the Master Plan is a prioritization effort. How can the state spend its money most cost-effectively over the next 50 years to reduce storm surge based flood risk and build and maintain coastal wetlands. Importantly, it's not a program or a funding source. It identifies where the state should invest as money becomes available through a wide range of funding sources. A lot of those, Bren will

2.2

talk about with the Annual Plan.

As money becomes available, these project concepts that we're evaluating in the Master Plan move into feasibility and engineering and design, and then ultimately construction. We then operate, maintain, and moderate those projects, and what we learn feeds back into how we evaluate these projects and how we ultimately design and build these projects.

The plan is developed through a process that ensures proper management. It is required by law to be updated every six years. That gives us an opportunity to incorporate the best available science and to revisit the tools and processes we're using to develop this plan.

It is built on world class science and engineering. It advances a comprehensive and innovative approach to restoration and risk reduction. It incorporates extensive public input and review, and this last bullet point is one that we're really trying to stress with this Master Plan is that it illustrates how the coast is going to change.

We know that the coast 50 years from now

is going to look very different from the coast we have today, whether we're 100 percent successful in implementing all the projects we identify, or not. And we also know that the project of having a resilient coast is much bigger than the restoration and risk reduction projects that CPRA implements. It's going to be the result of countless decisions by individuals, communities, businesses, local governments -- all level of government.

One of the goals of the Master Plan is producing information on how the coast is going to change and make that accessible; try to present it in ways that people can understand so that they can make the best decisions for their future, either as individuals or as communities, or other entities -- for their future in Coastal Louisiana.

So what is at stake? Our homes, our jobs, and our culture. The goal is to have a resilient coast in which people can continue to live and work and take advantage of all the things that make Coastal Louisiana so

2.2

environmentally, economically, and culturally valuable.

So where we are right now, the draft plan was released on January 6. The public comment period will be open through March 25. There are -- this is the last of four public hearings, but as we mentioned earlier, there are other ways to submit your comments through that March 25 deadline. We then go -- based on these comments, we revise the plan and submit a revised plan to the CPRA board on April 19, and then following the board approval, we will present that plan to the legislature.

Alright. So here, we will give a general overview of the process by which we identify and select projects to be included in the Master Plan. So this is Figure 1.1 in the Plan. It's a lot for a PowerPoint slide right now, but I do think it's a helpful presentation of the process, but probably something you'd want to look at on your own time in the plan. But the key here is the three headings at the top: projects, modeling, and prioritization.

We start with a suite of candidate projects, we model those projects to try to understand their effects -- how beneficial they will be -- and then we prioritize the suite of projects that gives us the greatest benefit in terms of reducing storm surge based flood risk and building and maintaining coastal wetlands.

So we begin with a set of candidate projects, and these come from a wide range of sources. They come from past plans, they come from local plans. This region has different parish plans, engineering plans, Coastal Restoration and Protection Authority plan -- these are areas that we look to for some of these project concepts. We also have a public solicitation period. Anyone can propose a project.

And then for this Master Plan, we developed regional work groups, and this is an area -- we'll talk a little bit more about this a little later in the presentation, but one of the goals of these regional work groups was to really think critically about, one, new project ideas, but also some of the

project ideas that we have been kicking around, in some cases, for decades. Are there ways that we can improve these concepts? Are there ways that we can learn from other projects and apply that to new ideas, to try to come up with the best kind of starting set of projects to evaluate so that we end up with the best projects that we select at the end of the process.

So then we take those projects and we model them. So we use numerical models to understand how the landscape is going to change, how that changing landscape affects storm surge, and how that future storm surge affects the damage that we cause to communities and assets across the coast.

And so we model these projects to understand how they'll perform over time under a range of future environmental conditions, and we compare those to a baseline in which we don't have any of these projects that we call our "Future Without Action." So if you look at the Plan or the data viewer, or any of the posters around the wall, you'll see that we also talk about a

"Future Without Action" and a "Future With Action."

The final step of the process is the planning tool, and the planning tool is really an optimization algorithm. The models give us the project benefits and impacts, and the planning tool is where we select a suite of projects that gives us the greatest impact, the greatest benefits in terms of building and maintaining coastal wetlands and reducing storm surge based flooding.

We also developed metrics based on model outputs, and use community characteristics such as demographics and major industries to better understand how the changing coast and the projects we're evaluating affect different communities and different resources.

Alright. So in this section, I already talked about -- a little about what is new, what have been some of the improvements since the 2017 Master Plan. So we're back to our busy diagram, just to point out that the starting point for this Master Plan is past Coastal Master Plans. We're building off of

2.

the tools, the processes that we've used in previous plans, but we're updating those based on advances in technology and science, as well as based on feedback we received from the 2017 process, through the development of this plan.

So we're going to step through some of these examples where we've been able to incorporate new or newly available data. This is not an exhaustive list. If you want an exhaustive list, we have tens of thousands of pages of documentation on our website that we can point you to, but -- so one of these areas that are representative of a really significant improvement is in the storm suite that we use.

So this is a suite of synthetic storms -hurricanes and tropical storms -- that we use
to project current and future exposure to
flooding. This was developed by the Army
Corps, and the suite that we have now
represents a significant improvement over the
previous suite that we had available. In
short, it gives us a greater ability to
project existing and future storm surge.

Another improvement is in the asset data. So the storm surge causes what sort of flood exposure, what flood depths we'll see in the future? That's -- the risk model tells us what sort of damage that would cause, and so an important component of that is understanding what assets are where; understanding different characteristics of those assets. And there's been a huge leap over the last six years in the availability of quality data sets on assets.

An additional improvement that we've been able to make with one of our partners at Purdue University, who developed a machine learning algorithm to estimate first floor elevations using Google Street View imagery, is that we now have a much better understanding of first floor elevation of homes, which of course, makes a huge difference when we're trying to understand what sort of damage will be done by, say, four feet of flooding. It makes a big difference whether that house is on pilings or on slab, or anywhere in between.

Another set of improvements is in our

models and the assumptions that we make in our models, and there are many of these that would take a very long time to go through, but one I wanted to point out is that a lot of this is created by the maturation of the CRMS data set.

So if you're not familiar, in Louisiana, we have a Coastwide Reference Monitoring
System. We are taking continuous readings on hydrology and annual or bi-annual readings on vegetation and soil characteristics, among other variables, at 397 sites across the coast. This data set has allowed us to reevaluate some of the assumptions that we've made in the past based on best professional judgement, based on literature.

So an example here is the response of vegetative wetlands to salinity and inundation, and we've been able to now see that in the data set and make sure the assumptions we were making in our models reflect what's actually happening on the coast. And so this is a huge improvement that I'm unable to do justice in this one slide.

so another improvement is in our understanding of environmental drivers. So when we're talking about environmental drivers, we're talking about climate related drivers like temperature and sea level rise, changes in precipitation, but also drivers like subsidence, which of course, are not related to climate change. And so while there has been quite a bit if improvement in our understanding of these environmental drivers, there does remain uncertainty, and because of this uncertainty, we take a scenario approach.

And so you'll see in the Master Plan, we talk about the lower scenario and the higher scenario. These are not meant to be precise predictions of future conditions, but they are meant to help us understand the range of possible outcomes, and they're meant to help us test and evaluate these projects so that we can select the best ones. And we are trying to select projects for this Master Plan that perform well whether we realize a more severe future environmental

scenario.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition to those kind of data and science based improvements of the plan, we also have process improvements to the plan, and a lot of this, as mentioned, is based on feedback we received following the 2017 Plan, and really, during this stage of the 2017 And one of the things we heard was that we needed to take a more regional That really actually largely came approach. from this part of the coast. The problems of the Chenier Plain are not the problems of Terrebonne, are not the problems of the Southeast, and the solutions of the Chenier Plain are not those of Terrebonne or the Southeast.

So we decided to create regional groups. These served, as I mentioned earlier, as a source of project ideas and venues to refine some of these project ideas that we've talked about for a long time; but also served as a venue to better understand the issues in the different regions of the coast, and you'll see those reflected in the plan.

About a third of the plan is dedicated to

these regional write ups. We were trying to capture a lot of the themes that we heard in those meetings, and in a lot of cases, we've used our models and data to help support the things that we've been hearing from these communities and these regions for years.

Another improvement is that we incorporated a new metric in our process of identifying risk reduction projects, so you will see this in the plan. It's called "Expected Annual Structure Damage," and this is a metric to try to better address questions of equity in our project selection process. And so the impetus for this is that one of the methodologies that we've used in the past -- the economy methodology -- uses damages measured in dollar value as a driver of how you select projects.

That sort of approach could potentially bias your results towards communities that have more valuable assets or, in many cases, more affluent, wealthier neighborhoods, and so we've developed a different metric that we use in addition to dollar-based metrics to try to test that -- whether we really were

seeing results that looked skewed towards certain types of communities. And so you'll see throughout the Master Plan, we talk about both Expected Annual Damage in dollars, and Expected Annual Structure Damage, which is a metric that is agnostic to that value part of the equation.

I mentioned this briefly earlier, but another improvement of this Master Plan is that we are now prioritizing projects across a range of environmental scenarios. So instead of pinning our plan to a single prediction of future conditions, we know that there's uncertainty around a lot of the drivers. We want to select projects that will be effective whether we realize, again, that more severe future condition, or a more moderate future condition.

And the improvements that I've talked about to this point have been about the project selection process. Another improvement that we're proud of in this Master Plan is more about that last bullet point that I highlighted earlier about illustrating how the coast is going to

change.

2.2

so we did a number of exploratory analyses to try to -- to try to really help illustrate how people may experience a changing coast. One of the examples of this, you'll see in the document itself is we did a high tide flooding analysis of a number of communities across Louisiana. One example is Dulac, but we did them for Cameron and Delcambre, and a number of other communities. A handful of them are written out in the beginning of the plan, but we'll also have additional information in a companion document that should be out shortly.

And this is looking at high tide flooding -- I should have started with this. High tide flooding being that sort of regular flooding events not tied to tropical storms or hurricanes. Just kind of normal weather patterns, frontal passages that can cause flooding in low lying communities. We identify key locations in those communities, whether they're kind of community centers, or whether they happen to be low lying areas and roads, areas that we expect will be exposed

to this sort of flooding, or is already exposed to this flooding, and try to illustrate how frequently it's currently exposed, how frequently it may be exposed in 25 years, and how frequently it may be exposed in 50 years, and this is under a future without action. There are, of course, actions that can be taken to mitigate some of this, but really, to, again, try to help illustrate how individuals may experience coastal change.

Alright. So the 2023 Master Plan, this is, as our previous two plans were, this is a 50 year, \$50 billion dollar plan. For this plan, we identified 61 restoration projects, 12 structural risk prevention projects, and we identified \$11 billion dollars for nonstructural risk reduction. So that is — of the \$25 billion dollars that we allocated for risk reduction, we found that \$11 billion dollars of that \$25 is most cost effectively invested in non-structural risk reduction.

So on the restoration, some of the high points: we're looking at \$2.7 billion dollars in new diversions. We highlight new to

2.2

differentiate it from the Mid-Barataria and Mid-Breton diversions that you may have seen quite a bit on recently. Those are projects that are moved along to a point where they have funding. We anticipate that they will be on the landscape, and so we want to select future projects that will work synergistically with those projects, and certainly won't have negative interactions with those projects.

Over \$19 billion dollars of the \$25 billion dollar restoration pot of money is for dedicated dredging projects, like marsh creation, barrier island restoration, land bridge projects. And then this last point, I think, may be particularly of interest to folks here in the Southwest is we have \$2.5 billion dollars set aside for programmatic projects, and these are projects that we believe are best evaluated on a case by case basis through programs that have the ability to evaluate them on their individual merits, and this includes hydrologic projects — small scale hydrologic restoration, oyster reefs, and shoreline protection.

2.2

And that's also based on feedback from folks like you all, and so this gives folks who are interested in pursuing those sort of projects the flexibility to not be bound by particular orientations the Master Plan has come up with, and work through programs like CWPPRA, like the Parish Matching Program, like the Restoration Partnership Fund to try to implement those.

So over 50 years, under our lower scenario, 314 square miles of land is built or maintained that otherwise would have been lost at year 50. Under the higher scenario, that's 233 square miles of land. We usually see a greater benefit at year 40, but we see a fall off in the last decade, and that kind of signature there is because a lot of the projects that we identify early in the planning process and implement in our models -- these large scale marsh creations -they're really effective for 30, 35, 40 years, but are no longer able to keep pace with subsidence and accelerate rates of sea level rise in the higher scenario. And we'll see that geographically in the next few

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

slides.

This is our lower scenario. This is land change at year 10. The blues are land loss and the greens are land gained or maintained. Year 20, year 30, year 40, and year 50 -- that's our lower scenario, and then this is our higher scenario. Year 10 looks very similar, year 20, year 30, year 40, and then in year 50, we see some of these large scale marsh creation projects no longer on the landscape.

On the risk reduction side, we identified 12 structural risk reduction projects for a cost of around \$14 billion dollars, and as I mentioned earlier, we identified 11 -- a little over \$11 billion dollars of Master Plan budget that is allocated towards non-structural risk reduction.

In this Master Plan, we do not identify particular communities as in or out of the Master Plan. This is -- it's kind of based on feedback and lived experience on the last Master Plan, which is that we could do that. We could look at all 300-some communities that we've identified across the coast and

prioritize them based on the value of nonstructural risk reduction in their community, but the scale at which those projects are actually implemented is much smaller than a community that maybe -- you know, all of Lake Charles is one community.

And so within that community, there are likely very good areas for non-structural mitigations, and likely some not so good areas for non-structural mitigation. And so saying that a project is not in based on the aggregate of the entire community may preclude some really good projects from going forward, or preclude those communities from having assets to certain funding sources.

And so we do not have specific communities identified as in or out of the Master Plan, but what we do have is an awful lot of information at a community level of what non-structural intervention might look like in terms of elevating homes, in terms of flood proofing businesses, in terms of voluntary acquisition in areas that are exposed to really extreme levels of flooding, and we have a lot of information on the

2.2

amount of risk that will reduce, what that will cost, and the amount of residual risk that that community may experience.

So that information serves as a starting point for communities that are interested in exploring non-structural alternatives. It can also serve as a starting point for communities that are trying to solicit funding through different funding sources that are available for that sort of risk mitigation.

So with the Master Plan -- in this case, we're talking about the structural projects and the restoration projects -- this is our future flood risk. You can see the signatures behind those structural projects in the South Central coast and further to the east, and this is the difference in flood depths. And so -- and then behind the structures, you see that reduction in flood depths and you also saw -- sorry, last slide -- you see some reduction in flood depths in the Chenier Plain, Greater Lake Charles, and Cameron area, and that is the result of the restoration projects.

So we don't have structural projects in this analysis and we do see both a surge reduction and a risk reduction associated with the restoration projects compared to a future without action. This is good to see, but this certainly is not the solution to reducing risk in the Chenier Plain, and certainly many of the non-structural programs that are available are kind of the most near term solution, and we understand through communication with folks out here in the Southwest that there's renewed interest in looking at structural protection, and we'll try to capture that in the final draft and work with you all to help understand and define that project, and evaluate alternatives associated with that.

So in the plan, at year 50, risk is reduced, compared to a future without action, by 70 percent under the lower scenario and 60 percent under the higher scenario, as measured in the expected annual damage in dollars. If we're looking at the other metric I talked about -- expected annual structure damage -- we actually see a

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

slightly greater proportional reduction: 78 percent with a lower scenario and 65 percent with the higher scenario. And so this annual reduction at year 50, this is an annual reduction at year 50 of \$10.8 billion dollars under the lower scenario, and \$14.6 billion dollars under the higher scenario compared to a future without action.

And so Bren lead with this message and I'll close with it, which is that this level of investment could mean that in 50 years, under the lower environmental scenario, Louisiana, coast-wide, has less flood risk from hurricanes and tropical storms than we do today. So these, again, are the ways to submit comments, and with that, I will turn it over to Therese.

THERESE WALKER:

So we're going to go through -- we're going to start the comment period here in a minute, so I'll go over instructions again. If you would like to speak a comment, to officially submit that on the Master Plan, again, I'll give everybody a couple of minutes. You need to fill out a green

around the room -- just flag one of them down and they'll get one for you. I'll give everybody a couple minutes there, and then we'll actually start the comment period process. So once we start, we're not going to take more statement cards. If you want to speak, go ahead and get that in right now.

A couple of notes on this: you've got three minutes to speak your comment. Once we get all the cards, we will read your name in the order we receive them. You can come to the microphone here -- over there to the right, state your name, and you've got three minutes to state your comment.

CPRA team is here. We'll be taking -we're here to listen, take your comments, but
we're not taking any questions. This is just
a comment period, so state your comment and
we'll move on to the next person. I'll give
everybody a couple minutes. If you would
like to speak your comment, go ahead and fill
out a statement card.

BREN HAASE:

Let folks know we'll be here this

evening.

2.

THERESE WALKER:

Yes. And while we can't take comments during this portion, if you do have comments or -- I mean, if you have questions about stuff that Stu just covered, we'll be around after this. You're welcome to, you know, grab one of us after this and we can discuss your questions.

And I know I went through this earlier: if you don't want to speak, but you do want to give a comment, there are written comment cards you can just write on and leave that with us before you leave. I know Stu went through a couple of ways that you can submit comments otherwise. So we've cards that'll give you access to our website.

There's a couple options there: you can email it in, there's a form you can fill out if you so wish. All that information's online if you'd rather submit a comment later tonight. You can write one. We can type one for you if that's easier, or you're welcome to give us a statement card and we'll go through those here in just a minute.

Okay. I'll give everybody here just one more minute. Flag somebody down if you want to do a statement, and we'll get started in just a second. Okay, we'll go ahead and get started. I will call the names in the order we received them, and you'll come to the microphone here on your right -- your left, sorry. You've got three minutes to state your comment, and then from there, we'll go through all of these and move on to the Annual Plan. So first, we've got Sara Judson.

SARA JUDSON:

Hello. I'm Sara Judson with the
Community Foundation, and also a member of
the Chenier Plain, and I am here to tell you
all how much we appreciate getting to be a
part of the process. We at the Community
Foundation had the chance to work with
community members from across Calcasieu and
Cameron on the Just Imagine Southwest
Louisiana 50 Year Resilience Plan, so very
excited to see that you all are looking at 50
years as well.

And we know from what's been happening in

some projects in Rockefeller Refuge, and so what's in the plan is doing the rock barriers and the berms are particularly important to coastal risk reduction, and so we are happy to be able to share that you with you that we really believe those are places where the plan should be focused. Thank you.

BREN HAASE:

2.2

Thanks.

THERESE WALKER:

Next, we've got James Hiatt.

JAMES HIATT:

I'm James Hiatt. Thank y'all so much for this. There's one thing that -- on most of these maps, you can see the flood risk do not include some of these industries that have been built right here on the coast. We know previously, that the flood risk right at Calcasieu Pass was some of the highest on these maps, and none of that has accounted for now that these facilities are here, and now there's more plans for more facilities.

So the thought that, you know, I also noticed that in Southeast Louisiana, there's plenty of hard barriers. It's almost as if

Southwest Louisiana, we're just going to put some marsh, we're not going to put anything hard. We're just going to sacrifice, you know, Cameron Parish, basically, is the way it feels. Anyway, I mean, I understand putting more sediment -- allowing these plants to take dredge material and place them in the same place as the CPRA is trying to place dredge material. It's counterintuitive to try to protect the coast while we're allowing facilities to be built directly on the coast.

I know that's probably out of your scope, but it seems like you might want to consider not letting industry build right on the fragile coast, especially industry that will exacerbate the exact same things that we are suffering from climate change with more sea level rise, subsidence.

The other part that I was going to say is that when we -- when you speak about oyster reefs, I know that Cameron Parish is one of the only places where you can have public oystering. The rest of the state is not like that. We were almost the entire -- the

entire season, from October to March, and so to do some more, to put more limestone out, that would be great. To rock it all up is to provide places for the oysters to grow, is important. To dump mud directly into the places where the oysters are growing, that's a problem. So I thank you for your time.

BREN HAASE:
Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Gabby Marceaux.

GABBY MARCEAUX:

My name is Gabby Marceaux, and I support and commend y'all on the projects -- the storm surge protection projects as a priority for the future of the state in the Master Plan in Southwest Louisiana. More projects like you said there are, it's less likely my school will be destroyed again, and our homes. Thank you.

BREN HAASE:

Thank you.

23 **THERESE WALKER:**

24 Leslie Griffith. Leslie Griffith.

25 | LESLIE GRIFFITH:

Hello. Can you hear me? I can talk louder without it. I'm Leslie Griffith. I live down in Grand Chenier. I appreciate everything y'all are doing from '17 to the '25 Master Plan and everything. We did a lot of talking in 2017 fixing all this stuff and everything, and I talked to some of these boys today about some of these projects here.

I can't speak for the New Orleans area or Terrebonne, or anything. I can speak for Cameron Parish and lower between -- well, between the Calcasieu River and the Mermentau Rivers is where all of our profits and stuff, people that I kind of represent on the drainage board down there. We've got a lot of issues going on and everything.

A good friend of mine at one of our meetings a few years ago, the first thing he said was, "All this doesn't mean nothing until you can save that coast. You need to rock the coast." Rock the coast. I looked through the Master Plan, I didn't see a lot of areas where y'all are going to be putting rock. Scooter has been doing a good job at rocking over there and getting rocks over

there and everything, but we've got to get some rocks on the coast.

There's a couple of areas there in my area that's in the flood lines and all this stuff, but I talked to the guys who did that and I still think there's some issues in there. I think they need to come to the landowners in that area or the drainage boards in that area and talk to us about stuff we've been doing for 40 years down there.

All this is well and good. Come talk to us over here. I think y'all need -- all the money y'all putting up north of Cameron Parish in Calcasieu or whatever to fill in or help all these marsh creation projects. If you don't stop that water from where we live on Oak Grove there, that marsh ain't going to do you any good. You're throwing away billions of dollars in creating little levees and dykes and stuff, because Cameron Parish will be from the Intercoastal Canal north. That's what you need to work on, is the coast.

I've got a minute? Okay. Listen, I've

2.2

got some cards here. If anybody in the CPRA 1 wants to talk to me, I'll give you a couple 2 of cards with my personal phone number. 3 think I've got a lot that I can contribute. 4 I'm not with the police jury. I'm with the 6 drainage board, but I represent, I don't 7 know, 15, 20, 30,000 acres there in that 8 little bit of area. 9 All my neighbors, my cousins, and my kin folks in this area, we've been suffering for 10 years. We've been fighting, but hey --11 12 losing battle. 30 seconds? Anyway, y'all know who I am. The people on the police jury 13 know me. If you need to find who I am, call 14 them and they'll give you my phone number. 15

I'd love to talk to ya'll. Thank you.

17 | BREN HAASE:

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thanks.

THERESE WALKER:

Kara Bonsall.

KARA BONSALL:

Hi. Kara Bonsall -- I'm used to a mic, but I'm used to it louder than this. So Kara Bonsall with the Cameron Parish Police Jury. I'm the Director of Coastal Affairs, and also

the Parish Coastal Zone Administrator.

First, I want to say that CPRA, thank you for all that you do for us in Cameron and the Southwest Region. We really appreciate it.

Any time we ask for CPRA to come to the table, they're there, so thank you for listening to our concerns and challenges.

So the two priorities for Cameron Parish is pretty simple. It's common sense: protection before restoration. So we want to protect the coastline, as you heard many people say tonight. We've been trying protection. We have started that. We need to continue to finish to shoreline up the entire Gulf Coast of our parish. That is our short term goal. We have started.

The successes of these breakwaters have proven that they work against hurricanes and they are resilient. They not only protect just the coast, but all points north of Calcasieu Parish, all the federal and state funding projects that were implemented behind these breakwaters, and also, they protect critical infrastructure such as highways, schools, all your public and private

residential properties.

Our second long term goal is storm surge protection. After Hurricane Laura in 2020, we all came together as a group -- Calcasieu Cameron stakeholders, landowners -- how can we do better? How can we do better? How can we be more resilient? How can we continue to fight for the people, to protect the people -- not only us, but our future generations? That is storm surge protection.

As you see in the Southeast, it has proven and it works. These homes behind these systems, the flood insurance has decreased, they have zero flood claims, and they work, and that's what we need to do here in our Southwest Region. It will not only just help Cameron, but Calcasieu as well. So that's what we need to continue to work at, and I know the efforts are moving, and the planning of that is going to continue.

So I just want to say that I think people of the Southwest Region see the importance of coastal protection projects, so we're ready to see the benefits just like our friends in the Southeast. So thank you very much.

THERESE WALKER:

Clair Hebert Marceaux.

CLAIR HEBERT MARCEAUX:

Just like Kara said, thank you, thank you, thank you, thank you. You know, Bren, I've been really critical in the past, very frustrated. I finally feel maybe more hopeful than I have in a very long time. Stu, thank you so much. Thank you, Chris, so very much.

So I serve on CPRA's Community Engagement Work Group. I'm very grateful for that opportunity to have a voice coming from Southwest Louisiana. I also serve on the Community Foundation of Southwestern Louisiana Board.

As Sara Judson mentioned, we have gone through this planning process -- another 50 year time frame as well -- and this has to be a champion for the flood risk reduction project that was one of ten catalytic projects identified through our master planning process for the Community Foundation.

I was so excited, because as a girl who

graduated from South Cameron High School, where my daughter will graduate this year, we're oftentimes overlooked. We're underestimated as well, and to be able to see more than 4,000 people participate in our Just Imagine SWLA planning process at the Community Foundation, we saw 92 percent of those 4,000-plus people who participated rank coastal flood risk reduction as the number one project blew my mind.

The frustration so many times has been that communicating our story in Cameron Parish gets lost the farther away you go from the coast. I live so close, I can hear the waves from my house, so for me, it's every day. But for lots of people, it's something they don't understand.

So what I appreciate most, I think, about your activity, the number of projects -- which we always need more projects in the Southwest. I'm never going to give that up, but we've seen more projects, we've seen more money being spent, we've seen more active engagement from you all, from your entire team, and I genuinely appreciate that.

You know, one of the things that I hope that people who haven't heard this before will leave here chanting it: rock it, rock it, rock it, rock it, as our friend Lauren Deformier (sp) says. We need t-shirts with that on it so that people begin to understand what we mean by rock it, rock it, rock it. That means shoreline protection from the Texas border to the end of Cameron Parish.

That will protect us in so many ways -nevermind that one of our two national
strategic petroleum reserves is in Cameron
Parish. More than \$50 billion dollars of
capital expenditure has now been built in
Cameron Parish. Regardless of how you feel
about that industry, it's there now. There
are mechanisms that have been utilized that
we might like to see along the coast of
Cameron that are on those projects.

I leave you again with very sincere gratitude. Thank you for always listening to my frustrations and for being willing to help me understand how I can use my voice in a more productive way, so that more people understand what our plight is and the

opportunities that are associated with them.
THERESE WALKER:

Kim Montie.

KIM MONTIE:

1

2.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Kim Montie, Cameron Parish Port. I'll reiterate what Sara said, what Kara said, what Clair said: shoreline protection and storm surge reduction, storm surge reduction projects are of the highest priority for most of us in Cameron Parish.

And we do thank you for -- y'all came to the table and sat with us and listened to us back in January, before the draft came out, and that gives us hope that these types of projects will be included in the final draft, and just keep working and add storm surge protection. Rock it, rock it, rock it, and that's -- thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Naomi Yoder.

NAOMI YODER:

My name is Naomi Yoder. I want to thank you all for being here, for holding hearings, and allowing us the chance to state our preferences. So first, I wanted to ask CPRA

and science folks why you're leaving oil and gas out of the drivers of land loss? That's a significant driver of land loss in our state.

Second, with all of the millions and billions of dollars that are fueling restoration construction across the state here, why doesn't CPRA also use another method that's in the toolbox but not in the plan, and that's preservation. So I agree, protection before restoration, and one of those tools is preservation of the land, and that has never happened from CPRA.

CPRA could, instead of engineering flood control, could use its determinations of consistency or inconsistency to block wetlands destruction. Projects like the Commonwealth LNG Terminal will take up 139 -- over 139 acres of wetlands. CP2 LNG and its pipeline will take up 1400 acres of wetlands. That is unacceptable when we're trying to build land. When we're trying to restore land, why wouldn't we also prioritize protecting land?

One other thing is beneficial use of

dredge material. Dredging out of Calcasieu ship channel, those sediments should be very, very well tested. It's not going to do the ecosystem or the communities any good to go resuspend all of those pollutants that are at the bottom of the ship channel, or to deposit them into another ecosystem in Calcasieu Lake, on the shores of Calcasieu Lake, so please don't do that. Please don't assume that dredge materials are going to be good, beneficial use.

I guess I also wanted to say thank you for taking equity into consideration. I hadn't seen that in the plan, and I'm really glad to see that. I would like to see more of that. There's not enough in this plan right now that talks about equity and equitable -- especially recovery from disaster. Thank you.

20 BREN HAASE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

25

Thanks.

THERESE WALKER:

Scooter Trosclair.

24 | SCOOTER TROSCLAIR:

Thank you. I guess the first thing that

comes to mind after reviewing the plan is what if there is no CPRA? If you look back at everything you've been able to accomplish, and then also working together as partners, I hope in the future, we can maintain and protect what we have. I think we're on that path.

Compared to ten years ago, or at least the last Master Plan meeting, to where we are now with especially shoreline protection, where it wasn't even part of the plan, but we got it back again by developing and educating each other at a local level. It was a huge milestone which is now showcased within the CPRA Program and so many other organizations.

So with that said, I truly support shoreline protection as one of my highest priorities because I've seen it firsthand, and the life that comes with it and how it creates a whole new environment of what we lost at some point in time. We're restoring now, and plus, we're getting the protection, which is our fist line of defense.

Second, I really appreciate you guys listening to us and considering the

structural risk reduction project that we're calling for storm surge protection. It's not just for one parish; this is a regional -- this is a regional project that's going to benefit so many communities.

Hurricane Laura, I want to say, at the beginning of the predictions, storm surge at I-10 -- I can't tell you how many times I saw that on The Weather Channel -- storm surge at I-10. Y'all, we can't let this happen.

We're fortunate we have existing infrastructure that we can enhance that has drainage components -- drainage components and everything that's included, but we can enhance this and we can make it better.

Also, as we look at the Mermentau Basin, it's great to see one of those projects consisted -- or within the plans for south of the Chenier Plain. We're working on that now. We'd like to supply this information with y'all as we're getting done this first process, to help benefit future projects. Dredging the Mermentau River is another great source of sediment for marsh creation, and then lastly is the inundation projects that

we have in the plan.

No doubt they're greatly needed because of the development that is occurring in the I-10 Corridor. The water has to go somewhere and it goes south, and so we've got to create those outlets and get this water out efficiently without holding and destroying the marsh. The inundation process is ponding within or in between those communities that are to the north and to the south. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Tim Wild.

14 TIM WILD:

I'm Tim Wild with Jeff Davis -- from Jeff Davis Parish, Jeff Davis Soil and Water Conservation District. I also serve on farm bureau boards and coastal resources committees, and different boards. I just want to thank y'all for coming and doing this, taking public comments and seeing what local people have -- what their ideas are and what they see.

Models -- models are good. Models can be -- but models are not what people actually

see. There are things that may not be what is actually happening, but models are a great start. You've done a great job through -- like I said, I'm from Jeff Davis Parish. I'm not on the coast, but I'm at the bottom of the Mermentau River Basin.

We've got to figure out how to get the water out, and you know, we've got water -floodwater that backs up and we're losing crops, whether it be cattle, hay, crawfish, rice. It's a big industry. We don't have the population that we've got in the Southeastern part of the state, but we've got the same economic value, so we've got to look at that.

We're currently working on a project with -- the Soil and Water Conservation District's currently working on a project, PL-566

Program for the Lower Lacassine. I know -- I don't know if anybody from Calcasieu, from Cal-Cam Soil and Water District is here, but Cal-Cam Soil and Water District is working on the same PL-566 Program to pick up from where we leave off to do dredge work, to clean the Mermentau River.

So that information can be provided to you guys if y'all would like that. Those engineering maps and stuff -- if we work together, do all this together to, you know, do work for marsh creation -- you know, to have a place to put that spoil and that sediment to restore those marshes, you know, for hurricanes and relief -- for prevention, you know, to slow the storms down, to slow the storm surge down. That all needs to be done; right? But I just wanted to bring that up. I appreciate what y'all are doing. Thank you.

14 BREN HAASE:

Thank you.

16 THERESE WALKER:

Magnus McGee.

18 | MAGNUS McGEE:

My name's Magnus McGee, but nobody calls me that. Everybody calls me Sonny. I want to thank you guys for what you're doing. I also want to thank the public for being here tonight. It does my heart good, as an elected official, to see people taking an active part in everything you're doing. Many

times, we think that our voices aren't heard and don't matter, that we can't make a difference, but by being here tonight, I think speaking your mind makes a difference.

And I want to thank you guys for the work you do. I also want to support the coastal restoration projects, each and every one of them, because without those, none of this matters. If you don't save the coast, the coast is going to come and be at the north end of Calcasieu Lake. All the other projects are great, but coastal protection is number one. Thank you.

14 BREN HAASE:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Cindy Robertson.

CINDY ROBERTSON:

My name is Cindy Robertson, and I hadn't hear the "rock it, rock it, rock it," but it is going to be part of my vocabulary now. I go down to Holly Beach and those rock -- the rocks there, I think the shelling's really great, so that's -- I'm going to remember that.

A couple of things. I was reading -really, glancing through that book real
quick, and I saw that the marsh land at the
-- the restoration of the marsh land or
whatever that's called at Calcasieu Lake is
about stopping -- one of the things it does
is stop wave erosion? So I'm wondering,
where are all those waves coming from?
Certainly, it can't just be recreational
vehicles -- you know, I mean the boats and
stuff.

So if we're worried about wave erosion, maybe that should be taken into account when y'all consult on those coastal use permits, which I didn't realize that you did -- now I know -- and you know, prevention of wave erosion would be preferable to replacing the marsh land that is lost from wave erosion.

And as I was looking at the plans for Cameron Parish, you know, we have a lot of build out going on in our area, and some of the places that are green on your map are areas that are going to have build out in them. And so if y'all are part of the coastal use permitting and you didn't include

2.2

any coastal use things that are in process in the plan, that's not real good foresight. So it might be important to keep looking at the things that are in the plan.

And the last thing I will say, since I got my one minute warning, is we can only half a pound of fish from the lakes -- Lake Charles and Prien Lake, and the river area -- twice a month because of the toxins that are in the mud and the sediment. If you're going to dredge stuff from the Calcasieu River -- and I'm sure that they're going to tell me, "Oh, no, it only stays up north."

Well, I'm not real sure because the water runs south, so when you do that dredging, please be extra careful to find out what actually is in the sediment that you're going to make those new marshes out of. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Michael Tritico.

MICHAEL TRITICO:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I've been doing this for a while. I was the first coastal zone management planner when the Coastal Zone Management Act was passed by Congress and signed by the

President back in 1974. I didn't last long because there were people who thought that the Coastal Zone Management Act was a Communist takeover. Now, I am pleased that things have changed and today, I'm happy to be sending in comments for the last 40, 50 years since 1974. I'm glad to see a lot of things that I said then have been taken into account.

When I first started talking about Dr. James Titus' papers on sea level rise, the state officials laughed, but it's now a part of what you're doing. It's a shame it takes so long. There's a lag time between reality that some people now, and it gets brought into the planning process.

Even now, I talked to three of the guys today -- three of your people. That has given me a kind of a new hope that I didn't have because, as I told one of them, if all three of you had been here back years ago, we wouldn't be in this mess. The three guys I talked to today have the intellect and the ability to understand concepts, that if they were not hamstrung by politics and by

mandates that say you've got to spend all this money, even though some of -- you know, some of it's stupid, things would be okay.

One of the things that's sort of hamstringing them is the Calcasieu Ship Channel. As long as that's a presumed definite thing that's going to exist forever, you're going to be fighting a losing battle trying to prevent Lake Charles from getting storm surge inundation. So there are built in things to keep common sense from working. Until those things are discarded, it's still going to be billions of dollars wasted.

And I was glad to see that some of the things such as relocation of people, elevation of homes still in the budget. I was disappointed to see that still in the budget now is a change in the \$300-something million dollar project to address saltwater intrusion. The devices that were planned and engineered have been abandoned. The money's still there, but it's being used to make sure we can save salt marsh that is replacing the fresh marsh.

So there are things that are just not

going to ever change until the politics changes. I intend to submit written comments. I was glad to see that the overlap where the LNG plans, Commonwealth, and Venture Oil are going to do a so-called beneficial use of dredge material.

Well, you've got a multi-million dollar project to do the same thing at the same spot, so I was glad to see that if companies do that project, that money that you have is going to be reality. So you guys answered some of my questions and I appreciate that you're here, and I read the sign that says stop.

15 BREN HAASE:

Thank you.

17 THERESE WALKER:

Clarence Vidrine.

19 | CLARENCE VIDRINE:

My name's Clarence Vidrine. I'm from the coast -- South Cameron coast. I was the principal of Cameron Elementary, and I'd like to -- like everybody -- most everyone else would say, the storm surge is what changed everyone's lives.

The problem originated right there in the Gulf, and I would like to see you prioritize and concentrate on applying energy and resources to stop that water before it gets on land. The projects that you have north of the shoreline is all fine and good, but they'll be destroyed if that storm surge comes in and destroys everything. So I would just appreciate you spending your money on shoreline protection, and then that storm surge. Thank you.

BREN HAASE:

Thanks.

THERESE WALKER:

McKinley Guidry.

McKINLEY GUIDRY:

My name is McKinley Wayne Guidry, Police Juror from District 7, Cameron Parish. I strongly want to go on Record and support the flood protection projects for Cameron Parish and Southwest Louisiana. Also, we need dredging done -- Mermentau River from Lake Arthur all the way to Creole to the mouth of the river, going into the Gulf. We need some dredging on the Intercoastal Canal.

We need a massive levee system with rocks, so the rocks can protect the levees that will protect the people. We need massive pumps and flood gates to let the water out. Also, if we don't do something about it -- we've already lost land, we've lost people -- we're going to continue to lose land, we're going to continue to lose people. We're going to lose a culture that's been hundreds of years in the making, and it will be gone and we will never be able to replace it.

I want to thank all of you for coming here tonight. I want to thank all my Cameron Parish people that are here tonight, who supports me on everything I want to do for these projects. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Jade Miller.

JADE MILLER:

My name's Jade Miller, Calcasieu Parish
Police Jury. I'm an engineer for the Public
Works Department. Currently, I live in
Cameron Parish, born and raised. I work in
Calcasieu, so I have a place in my heart for

both of them. I wanted to come here to represent Calcasieu and express our full support to protect our shoreline.

You know, we definitely understand the need to protect Cameron. Without Cameron Parish, Calcasieu is sitting there on the coast. A lot of these models show 30, 40 years in advance. We don't want to wait that long. As you can see, a lot of those colors go all the way up, flood depths throughout Lake Charles and even further north.

We support protecting the shoreline and the berm concept. I think it's a great time for us in Cameron to work with you guys to build on this concept and tie it all in, and continue to protect Cameron and Calcasieu.

And like I said, we understand saving Cameron also saves Calcasieu, and we appreciate y'all coming here. Thank you.

20 BREN HAASE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

25

Thanks.

THERESE WALKER:

And Victoria Sagrera Bourque.

24 VICTORIA SAGRERA BOURQUE:

Good evening. I want to say thank y'all

so much for being here tonight and giving me the opportunity, as well as the rest of the public, to come and comment on the Master Plan. I want to thank my friend here who's doing such a great job with the time cards.

But really, I want to thank Bren too.

Thank you so much for the words that you spoke at the beginning about Ralph. Ralph represented Vermilion Parish and he would be standing here where I am tonight, speaking on behalf of Vermilion and the work that you guys are doing for us, so I just wanted to give some sentiments of thanks there.

We share a lot of sentiments with what has been discussed tonight. Living in Vermilion -- I was born and raised in Vermilion Parish, and we feel very strongly about protection and restoration, and we thank y'all for the leadership that you have exhibited with the plan and the willingness to hear what the public has to say.

Things to note: I would love to see more fortification of the North Vermilion Bay Rim. Also, the structural risk reduction that y'all have -- the levee got -- thank you.

The levee that is in the Abbeville vicinity,
I would love to see that situated a little
bit more south to include more of our
agricultural land. Agriculture is a huge
industry for our parish and our state, and I
think the inclusion of the protection of that
land is critical.

I do want to say that we appreciated having you guys at the community conversations event we had in Abbeville a few months ago, and we would love to see an inclusion of Abbeville as an additional stop on the Master Plan tours. I know we won't have them like this for another six years, but the Annual Plan, we'd love to have you guys in Abbeville, or in Vermilion Parish. So that's all I have. I want to say thanks again, and we appreciate y'all being here and working with us. Thank you.

BREN HAASE:

2.2

Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Alright. Now we'll move on to the Annual Plan presentation, so we'll go through the same process as last time: make a short

presentation on the Annual Plan and start the comment period there. So if anyone would like to give a statement to speak your comment for the Annual Plan, it's a blue statement card. So throughout the presentation or shortly thereafter, just grab one from someone with CPRA and we'll get that done.

BREN HAASE:

Alright. You've got me again. Listen -thanks, everybody. We appreciate the
thoughtful comments that y'all provided. I
know the timing thing is a little awkward,
and not necessarily the most friendly of
approaches, but we do that just to kind of
keep the meeting rolling. If you have more
components, or if you have some questions, as
Therese mentioned earlier, we'll be here as
long as we need to tonight to take those and
talk with you, and answer your questions.

So we're going to transition now from Master Plan into our Annual Plan. As I mentioned earlier, I found the way to probably -- the best way to think about it is the Master Plan is a high level, long term

conceptual level; Annual Plan is we're kind of getting down in the weeds. These are the funds that we think we're going to get this upcoming fiscal year, and this is how we intend to expend those funds on projects across our coast.

So you've seen this before -- the way to comment on the Annual Plan is the same, essentially, as it is to comment on the Master Plan, so I'll not dwell on that. Timeline, we already talked about that a bit as well. I will just reiterate that the comment period for the Annual Plan does end at the same time as the comment period for the Master Plan, on March 25.

I don't know if we've said this yet or not, but I will say -- I think I said this in one of our previous conversations -- but comments early in the process are great. If you can get your comments to us, the earlier -- certainly, a comment received today is, you know, counted, if you will, or considered just as much as a comment received on the 25th. The earlier you can get it in, then if we have questions about it or it's something

we might need to talk with you about, we've got a little time to be able to do that. So if you can get your comments in earlier than the 25th, that would be great. And also, just like the Master Plan, we'll present this to our board in April, and ultimately to the legislature for an up or down approval.

So this is the main categories of funding that we receive at CPRA. You can see that we intend to spend what we receive, actually, in FY '24. So most of these funds are either federal funds, or funds associated with the BP Oil Spill. GOMESA funds are associated with offshore oil and gas revenue sharing. The next three categories that you see there are related to the BP Oil Spill dollars that have come to the state, and will continue to come to the state through 2032.

Most of you here, hopefully, are familiar with the CWPPRA Program. That's another category listed there. The state surplus funds are funds that come to us through sustained revenue sharing, which is kind of similar to GOMESA, and those other funds are really mostly related to state surplus funds

that we are eligible to have expenditure for, and that we are fortunate enough to receive over the last couple of years.

It's a record-breaking number, in fact, for CPRA. This current fiscal year that we're in, we anticipate receiving and spending about \$1.3 billion dollars. You can see for next year, that jumps to just under \$2 billion dollars -- about \$1.74 billion dollars. I'll reiterate again for folks, we're not talking about tax money; we're not talking about state general fund dollars. These are all funds that are either provided to us through the federal government and the BP Oil Spill that are required to be spent on coastal restoration, coastal protection.

So one of the things we are proud of and you'll note on the slide here, about 85 percent of those funds will be spend on construction. So they're spent on getting projects on the ground, and note also the operating costs for them are pretty low there. They're actually under two percent of the overall amount that you see listed there.

So looking ahead to the next couple of 1 2 fiscal years, down at FY '25 and '26, you can 3 see that that number stays pretty healthy. We anticipate it to be over a million 4 5 dollars, which is where we want to be, as Stu 6 said, in that 50 year, 50 billion dollar 7 plan; right? So we want to be somewhere 8 north of 50 -- somewhere north of a billion 9 dollars annually to accomplish the goals we aim to accomplish over the fiscal year 10 period. We anticipate for the next several 11 12 years, we will be there. And that construction -- billions of dollars being 13 spent on construction goes on for the next 14 couple of years, too, about 80 or 90 percent. 15 16 So again, that's good news in terms of 17 getting projects on the ground for the state of Louisiana and for our coast. 18

So some of the other numbers, I've already mentioned the \$1.74 and the \$1.5. We've talked a little bit about dredging already. Heard some comments about dredging in the audience -- from the audience here tonight. About 20 of the projects that'll be active in this year -- a total of 118

19

20

21

22

23

24

projects we anticipate working on in the upcoming fiscal year -- are dredging projects. That will dredge about 73.5 million cubic yards of sediment. That's about 30 Superdomes, just to put that into perspective. It's a lot.

Those projects benefit just over 15,000 acres of our coastal wetlands, and when you think about an investment in the amount that we're talking about, that generates many kinds of things; right? That generates a community of practice, it generates jobs. If you think about those, our friends at GNO, Inc. estimate about 10,000 direct jobs will be created as a result of investments, that will result in about \$640 million in labor and economy.

So we have, over the years, as a coastal program, made a concerted effort to get Louisiana companies involved in the coastal program, benefitting from the work that we're doing here in Louisiana. We'd like to see more Louisianans building Louisiana projects for Louisianans, and you all certainly can relate to that, and so we're making efforts

to try to do that.

2.2

In the Southwest Region, where we are here, you'll see that in the upcoming fiscal year, we've got 15 projects that will be active. Nine of those will be in construction, and six projects in engineering and design. We did -- I will point out that I expect this number to go up. We don't have surplus -- potential surplus projects included in the draft Annual Plan and we don't have our final GOMESA allocation either, so those are a couple of the categories I mentioned to you a little while ago.

We expect to get that GOMESA allocation sometime in April. We're very hopeful that it will be higher than we anticipated in this draft plan, and we'll have another opportunity to seek surplus dollars through the state legislature as well. So we expect that you see the final plan, that 15 number will be something more than what's there.

So moving over to the South Central portion of the state, we'll have 41 projects active. 26 of those will in construction, 4

of those will be in engineering and design, and 1 project in planning. And moving further to the east in the Southeast Region of the state, we'll have 62 active projects -- 45 in construction, 16 in engineering and design, and 1 project in planning there. And there's additional projects just listed there.

We also -- as we go over our Annual Plan this year, part of the -- part of our plan is developing another plan for the Atchafalaya Basin. So the Atchafalaya Basin Program has been moved from EMR into CPRA, and so you'll also note that we'll have a draft Atchafalaya Basin Program Plan out there. And projects listed here included Maurepas Water Management Projects, dredging in Big Bayou Pigeon.

Further down the list, we have the Henderson Lake Project that's either under construction, or just about under construction -- correct me if I'm wrong there -- and a couple of others, like the Sandy Cove boat launch, Bayou Pigeon boat launch, and we need an update overall on the Master

Plan for the Atchafalaya Basin as well. That hasn't been done in about 20 years or so, so we anticipate doing that in the upcoming fiscal year.

So if you want to learn more about the Annual Plan, you can do that at ap24.coastal.la.gov. This is a good interactive site. Many of you had a chance to look through the Master Plan viewer here tonight. This is sort of similar to that. You can look at individual projects that are identified in the Annual Plan. You know, there's -- offers some press clippings and some videos, project specifics and so forth associated with each of those projects here. You can also, of course, find the document of the draft outlined if you'd like to review that in PowerPoint.

So again, I'll end here. I believe that's my last slide. Just ways -- you know, obviously more ways to make public comments. You can make those comments in writing here tonight. We're going to be here listening to any of the comments you might have for us, just as we did for the Master Plan as well.

So again, I just want to reiterate before we move into that -- our team is handing out some cards -- we really appreciate the thoughtful discussions we had in the Master Plan presentation and the thoughtful comments that you all provided.

It is not always true that when you come to meet with the public -- it can get less constructive and, you know, sometimes the comments are not as positive as they were this evening, so I want you to know that we appreciate that. We know we can always do better, and we can do better, of course, with your input and the constructive input you've provided tonight.

So I just wanted to thank you for that and I'll end it there and turn it back over to T, and we will take and hear comments related to the Annual Plan. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Alright. So we'll go through a similar -- same process that we did for the Master Plan. So if you want to fill out a statement card for a spoken comment, you need a blue statement card. You can grab one from

anybody who's walking around with it. I'll give everybody a couple minutes to do that.

If you do not want to give any statement this evening, you're welcome to write it or sit at the computer and someone can help you type it. And, as Bren mentioned, there's several other ways that you can submit outside of the meeting here tonight. So I'll give everybody a minute here to get a statement card if you need one.

Alright, anybody else need a statement card? Okay. We're going to go ahead and get rolling. The first one is Clair Hebert Marceaux.

CLAIR HEBERT MARCEAUX:

I won't take all three minutes. Here's where the criticism comes in: a ratio of 4 to 1 from the Southwest corner to the Southeast corner. I can't be the only one who recognizes that. I certainly appreciate, Bren, that you said you'd like to get north of that number, but until we do, people like me are going to keep saying we can all do better.

It's a very sad state of affairs when

	- 4.5 - 7.1
1	it's a 4 to 1 ratio. What was that? 15, and
2	then 60-what on the Southeast side? I know
3	you can't answer the question, but basically
4	
5	BREN HAASE:
6	The total is 118.
7	CLAIR HEBERT MARCEAUX:
8	four times, everybody. Four times the
9	number of projects on the Southeastern side
10	of our state than are on the Southwestern
11	side of our state. I'd like to know why.
12	I'm not asking the question right now. I'd
13	like you to all be asking why. Thanks.
14	BREN HAASE:
15	Thank you.
16	THERESE WALKER:
17	Thank you. Michael Tritico.
18	MICHAEL TRITICO:
19	On the slide, you have the amount you're
20	going to be spending on dredging. The
21	beneficial use of dredge material is an
22	oxymoron. It's the dredging that's causing
23	many of the problems, and to say that
24	something beneficial's going to come from

spending billions of dollars to keep dredging

makes no sense to me. Much more bang for the buck, as they call it, could happen if a program that appears to be left out of this fiscal year were to be resurrected, and that is the relocation of the people to safety higher inland, or if people who must live down there and shrimp and fish, the elevation of their homes for protection. That program had millions of dollars in it, but it's not -- you didn't show it. I hope it hasn't been fully left out.

One of your men said there might be a new version of it come out soon. Relocation and elevation is far more efficient than pumping mud into the marsh or into the dredge spoil areas where it runs off and defiles the oyster beds, defiles the new reefs that you guys are trying to put in. There are many things that don't make good common sense, but to continue to be bound by inertia is wrong.

There aren't enough rocks in the world to protect Cameron Parish from sea level rise.

You can rock it all you want; it's not happening. It's going to under. Lake

Charles is going to go under, New Orleans is

going to go under. Millions of people around the world are going to have to move to higher ground. It's just the truth. I don't need an extra minute.

BREN HAASE:

Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Thank you. Victoria Borque.

VICTORIA BOURQUE:

I just had a quite side note to add, and I think this applies to both the Master Plan and Annual Plan. I want to applaud y'all on the technology advancements with the new Master Plan data viewer. I think that's fantastic that we have these innovative access ways to get this information, but I did want to make sure that there is still -- you guys are still being mindful of people that may not have access to the technology that a majority of us do.

So I want to make sure -- I know in the past, with the Master Plan, y'all made a conscious effort to put those in all the public libraries across the state -- that y'all are planning to do that with this one

as well, and look into also including them in public school libraries to get that generation involved as well, and looking at maybe some curriculums to engage them. And I do want to state that I do share Clair's sentiment of the 4 to 1 ratio. Thank you.

THERESE WALKER:

Kay Barnett.

KAY BARNETT:

I'm Kay Barnett, and by default, I'm the current chair for the Chenier Plain Coastal Restoration Protection Authority. I did not miss the meeting, but I did not sign up to be the current chair, so thank you again for what you're doing. I want to mention on a really positive note, we have some very good projects that are in engineering and design.

One of them is the Calcasieu-Sabine

Large-scale Marsh and Hydrolic Restoration

Project. That's what you were talking about,

Mike. That's only \$300 million dollars and

will be up the Calcasieu River, and it will

help with saltwater intrusion, marsh

creation. We're kind of pitching for a new

project with GIWW, which we're working with

CPRA on as well, which will help reinforce what we do on the Calcasieu River and the western side of the wetlands with GIWW and the Calcasieu River.

And then the other project is the Southwest Coastal Project that was funded by the Corps of Engineers. Nearly \$300 billion dollars will also be invested in this area, and that will go towards its non-structural part, which is elevation of homes.

2900 or so of these homes are in Calcasieu Parish and 1200 or so are in Cameron Parish, and we want to see that project come to fruition because more people will be able to keep their livelihood and live here. We love this culture and we want to be able to continue to grow what's going on in our area.

And the Chenier Plain represents
Calcasieu, Cameron, and Vermilion parishes.
We're a little over ten years into authority,
and look what we're seeing happen. So there
are a lot of positive things going on. And
again, I have to reiterate to you: rock it,
rock it, rock it. I do think we need to do

the t-shirts. Thanks.

THERESE WALKER:

2.

The last comment is Leslie Griffith.

LESLIE GRIFFITH:

I'm happy to reintroduce myself, but
Clair had a good point. I noticed that they
showed on the map the projects in Southeast
Louisiana and the little few over here in
Southwest Louisiana, but this is strictly a
question. There's no answer coming back to
me, but I'm just still going to back to what
y'all -- what you showed on the screen there
about the projects in Southwest Louisiana and
Cameron Parish.

Two of them in there are ones that affects me personally, my drainage board and all of the above, and I was just curious. Whenever this stuff -- something happens with flooding -- are they going to start working on that this year? Because I'm saying what I read through in the book about those two projects, it doesn't make any sense to me because that's -- some of that stuff's already done. I don't know where it came about.

We do have some more stuff that needs to 1 2 be done in that area, but I don't know. 3 Where do I get the answer to this? Is that the police jury level? I got to get them? 4 5 I've got to get to Kara over there and find 6 out what's going on? Where do I go for it? 7 **BREN HAASE:** 8 Yeah. You come to us and we'll talk with 9 you after the meeting and --LESLIE GRIFFITH: 10 Oh, okay. 11 **BREN HAASE:** 12 13 -- get you some answers. Yes, sir, 14 absolutely. 15 LESLIE GRIFFITH: 16 That's my -- one of my answers. 17 KEESLER MORRISON: 18 You've got two minutes left -- almost two minutes left. 19 20 LESLIE GRIFFITH: 21 Two minutes left? Goddamn, I'm good. 2.2 Anyway, that's all I -- that's really all I 23 got to say. Thank y'all for coming and doing 24 all this. I know it's taken a lot of work to

do it, but when you say in 2024 -- now,

that's not in the book. The book only went to '23. Y'all taking some stuff out of the Master Plan for 2023 and spending it in 2024? Is that what's going on there? I don't know. It didn't make sense there.

BREN HAASE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'm going to break our rule, and my guys always cringe when I do this, but I'm doing it anyway. We're operating under an existing -- we have a Master Plan that's in place right now. It was developed in 2017, and so we tier off of that existing Master Plan to develop our Annual Plan.

What we presented to you tonight was the future Master Plan, in addition to a future Annual Plan. So I know it gets confusing, but basically, we have a high level concept -- that's our Master Plan; Annual Plan tiers off of that. We're not talking about building projects this year, or today or tomorrow in what we presented --

LESLIE GRIFFITH:

Sounds like in 2024, you showed those that were going to get started and stuff, you know?

Page 87 BREN HAASE: 1 2 Right. 3 LESLIE GRIFFITH: I think, wait a minute -- y'all got so 4 5 much --6 **BREN HAASE:** 7 Those, by and large, were different from 8 what was presented in tonight's Master Plan 9 presentation, so we can talk more in more detail about that. 10 11 LESLIE GRIFFITH: 12 You're not taking a dart and throwing it at a dart board and coming up with more here, 13 14 or more there or whatever? I know there's 15 more people over there and probably more 16 projects --17 **BREN HAASE:** 18 Yep. LESLIE GRIFFTH: 19 20 We've been stuck behind whatever they 21 call it, you know, for a long time over here. 2.2 **BREN HAASE:** 23 Understood. 24 LESLIE GRIFFITH: 25 I appreciate that.

Page 88 BREN HAASE: 1 2 We can talk some more afterwards. LESLIE GRIFFITH: 3 Alright. 4 5 **BREN HAASE:** 6 Thank you. 7 THERESE WALKER: 8 Okay. That's all we've got, so if you 9 want to submit comments -- either a written or typed in before you leave, there will be 10 11 someone to help you do that. We'll be around 12 a little while if you have questions, or if you need to have a side bar, we're happy to 13 14 do that. So thank y'all for coming. 15 (The Public Hearing concluded at 7:09 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

1

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

REPORTER'S PAGE

I, Jenna Limjuco, Certified Court
Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana,
before whom this sworn testimony was taken,

6 That due to the interaction in the

do hereby state on the Record:

spontaneous discourse of this proceeding, dashes (--) have been used to indicate pauses, changes in thought, interruptions,

10 and/or talk-overs;

That this is the proper method for a court reporter's transcription of proceedings, that the dashes do not indicate that words or phrases have been left out of this transcript, and that any words and/or names which could not be verified through reference material have been denoted with the phrase "(phonetic)" or "(ph)".

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Genna Linguice

Jenna Limjuco, BA-CCR Certified Court Reporter Louisiana License 2018004

1 2

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature and original required seal on this page.

I, JENNA LIMJUCO, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing 88 pages is a true and correct transcription of the proceedings herein, taken down by me and transcribed under my supervision, to the best of my ability and understanding, at the time and place hereinbefore noted, in the above entitled cause.

That this testimony was reported by me in the voice-writing reporting method, was prepared and transcribed by me or under my personal supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding; that the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the board.

That I am informed about the complete arrangement, financial or otherwise, with the person or entity making arrangements for deposition services, that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board.

CPRA Public Meeting Lake Charles CPRA Public Meeting Lake Charles,

Page 91

That I have no actual knowledge of any prohibited employment or contractual relationship, direct or indirect, between a court reporting firm and any party litigant in this matter, nor is there any such relationship between myself and a party litigant in this matter.

That I am not related to counsel or to the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have affixed my official signature this 16th day of February 2023 in Lake Charles, Louisiana.

Jenna Limjuco

Louisiana Certificate No. 2018004