April 6, 2022

ADDENDUM II

TO: ALL POTENTIAL RESPONDENTS

RE: RFQ #: 2503-22-01 “Construction Administration and Quality Assurance Services for Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion Project (BA-0153)”

SOQ RESPONSES DUE DATE: May 12, 2022 @ 3:00PM

I. RFQ CLARIFICATIONS AND REVISIONS:

CHANGE FROM:

7.0 SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

Respondents should submit a SOQ that highlights their understanding of Construction Administration and Quality Assurance and the experience record of the proposed Construction Administration and Quality Assurance team members in the successful completion of similar, large-scale civil works projects. The submittal should meet the following requirements as outlined below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Business Organization, History and Relevant Experience</th>
<th>15 Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. Experience &amp; Qualifications of Key Personnel</td>
<td>40 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Understanding and Approach</td>
<td>30 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Hudson/Veteran Small Entrepreneurship Program</td>
<td>10 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Compliance with All SOQ Requirements</td>
<td>5 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100 Points</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The combined page count of the Executive Summary, Part A, Part B, Part C, Part D, and Part E of the submittal should not exceed sixty (60) pages in length. The standard forms, financial audits, evidence of bonding capacity, licenses, and certificates are not included in this page count requirement and should be organized in a separate section in the submittal. Elaborate submittals are not required and are discouraged. The following should be included in the submittal:

Components of the submitted SOQs, including, but not limited to, Business Organization, Relevant History and Experience, Experience & Qualifications of Key Personnel, Understanding
and Approach, Hudson/Veteran Small Entrepreneurship Program, and all Pass/ Fail Requirements, will be evaluated for the Respondent, or in the case of a JV, each JV member. In the case where the Respondent is a multiple-member LLC, the Respondent will be evaluated based on the LLC’s qualifications and will not be evaluated on the individual member’s qualifications.

CHANGE TO:

7.0 SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

Respondents should submit a SOQ that highlights their understanding of Construction Administration and Quality Assurance and the experience record of the proposed Construction Administration and Quality Assurance team members in the successful completion of similar, large-scale civil works projects. The submittal should meet the following requirements as outlined below.

A. Business Organization, History and Relevant Experience 15 Points
B. Experience & Qualifications of Key Personnel 40 Points
C. Understanding and Approach 30 Points
D. Hudson/Veteran Small Entrepreneurship Program 10 Points
E. Compliance with All SOQ Requirements 5 Points

Total 100 Points

The combined page count of the Executive Summary, Part A, Part B, Part C, Part D, and Part E of the submittal should not exceed sixty (60) pages in length. The standard forms, financial audits, licenses, and certificates are not included in this page count requirement and should be organized in a separate section in the submittal. Elaborate submittals are not required and are discouraged. The following should be included in the submittal:

Components of the submitted SOQs, including, but not limited to, Business Organization, Relevant History and Experience, Experience & Qualifications of Key Personnel, Understanding and Approach, Hudson/Veteran Small Entrepreneurship Program, and all Pass/ Fail Requirements, will be evaluated for the Respondent, or in the case of a JV, each JV member. In the case where the Respondent is a multiple-member LLC, the Respondent will be evaluated based on the LLC’s qualifications and will not be evaluated on the individual member’s qualifications.
EXPLANATION: The phrase “evidence of bonding capacity” was removed in the second paragraph, third line.

ATTACHMENTS: PRE-SUBMITTAL MEETING PRESENTATION
PRE-SUBMITTAL MEETING SIGN-IN SHEETS

END OF ADDENDUM II
MID-BARATARIA SEDIMENT DIVERSION

CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION AND QUALITY ASSURANCE PRE-SUBMITTAL MEETING

BRAD BARTH
BRIEFING OVERVIEW

• Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion Project
• CMAR Overview and Process
• Construction Administration and Quality Assurance Scope of Services
• RFQ Summary
• Questions
QUESTIONS

• Upon conclusion of this presentation, questions will be accepted.

• Any responses given in this forum are not considered binding or official.

• OFFICIAL QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS RFP SHOULD BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING TO CPRA FOR OFFICIAL RESPONSE.

• All questions should be submitted to the RFP Coordinator (CPRAcontracts@la.gov) by April 14, 2022 (3:00 PM Local Time).

• Official responses will be posted by April 26, 2022.
MID-BARATARIA SEDIMENT DIVERSION PROJECT
Located on the West Bank of the Mississippi River in at River Mile 60.7 Above Head of Passes (AHP).

Intersects the Mississippi River Levee (MRL) at Station 1109+58, and the NOV-NF-W-05a.1 levee.
The Project’s sediment delivery system is a three-component system which includes sediment intake, conveyance, and discharge.
PROJECT OVERVIEW

- Over 5 years and over 3 million man-hours will be needed to complete construction.
- Value of construction is estimated at over $1 billion.
- Construction will involve:
  - Clearing/Site prep of over 500 acres
  - Placement of over 115,000 cubic yards of structural concrete
  - Installation of over 24 million linear feet of wick drains
  - Placement of over 1 million tons of rip rap
  - Excavation of over 8 million cubic yds of material
  - Installation of over 2,000 foundation piles and over 500,000 square feet of sheet piling
CURRENT PROJECT STATUS

• The Design Team (AECOM) and CMAR (AWA) have completed the 60% design and are currently working on the 90% design.

• CPRA is in the process of acquiring the land rights within the Project footprint.

• The Draft EIS has been published and the Final EIS is currently under review.

• CPRA is in the process of preparing an updated CUP application and USACE is completing its 408 review.
# Current Project Milestone Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Month/Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design Team NTP</td>
<td>February 2018 (Actual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMAR NTP</td>
<td>August 2018 (Actual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% (BOD) Design</td>
<td>October 2018 (Actual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% Design</td>
<td>July 2020 (Actual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60% Design</td>
<td>September 2021 (Actual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final EIS</td>
<td>June 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% Design</td>
<td>July 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record of Decision (ROD)</td>
<td>September 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Administration and Quality Assurance Contractor NTP</td>
<td>December 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Start</td>
<td>January 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% Design</td>
<td>February 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction End</td>
<td>December 2027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These milestones are subject to possible modification due to permitting, EIS, or Section 408 process schedules uncertainty.
CMAR OVERVIEW AND PROCESS
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AT-RISK
ADVANTAGES OF CMAR

• Legislation has been established for policies/procedures and protection against legal challenges (RS 38:2225.2.4)

• Provides contractor-led estimates earlier and allows scope revision during design to meet project budget

• Can reduce overall project risks and contingency; also may reduce design misunderstandings and change orders

• More suitable for the USACE/NEPA permitting and regulatory process than any form of Design-Build

• Widely accepted by both engineering and construction industry
CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION (CA) AND QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA) SCOPE OF SERVICES
CPRA Staff performs inherently governmental functions and responsible for overall Project/Program delivery (the “face” of the Program/Project).

Program Management Office provides Project and Program management support to CPRA.

Project Controls Team provides Project controls, management, administrative, and logistical support to CPRA. Project Staff on site during construction.

Construction Administrative and Quality Assurance Contractor is responsible for Quality Assurance on CPRA’s behalf during construction.
SCOPE OF SERVICES
OVERVIEW

Enclosure 2 to the RFQ:
- Section 1.0 Introduction
- Section 2.0 General Administration and Coordination
- Section 3.0 Construction Administration
- Section 4.0 Quality Assurance Services
- Section 5.0 Deliverables
TASKS AND SERVICES

General Administration and Coordination

• Assist the CPRA as represented by the Resident Office Staff and Project Management Support staff to ensure that construction is being completed in accordance with the plans and specifications contained in the Project construction documents

• Provide a Project Manager to serve as its primary point of contact for the Resident Engineer and the CPRA, to lead and manage the staff assigned to the Project

• Provide a Deputy Project Manager to serve as the Project Manager in their absence and as the point of contact for CA and QA activities
Construction Administration

• Attend/participate in Project Meetings

• Assist in assuring CMAR compliance with its HSSE Plan and for the health, safety, and security of the Field Construction Office and provide a Safety and Health Officer (SSHO).

• Assist in assuring CMAR Compliance with their Environmental Management Plan and any associated permit requirements.

• Assist in assuring CMAR compliance with their CQC Plan
Construction Administration, cont.

- Assist the CPRA with:
  - Monitoring QC inspections and tests performed by CMAR Contractor and for reviewing the processes and facilities used;
  - Review of CMAR Contractor submittals;
  - Tracking the progress of work;
  - Reviewing the CMAR Contractor’s QCRs (Daily Logs);
  - Reviewing and investigating any claims received from the CMAR Contractor;
  - Review of CMAR Contractor invoices;
  - Planning and performance of O&E activities; and
  - Project closeout and commissioning.
Quality Assurance Services

• Quality Control (QC) is the means by which the CMAR ensures that the construction complies with the requirements of the construction contract. The CMAR Contractor is ultimately responsible for the quality of their work and compliance with the construction contract documents and specifications.

• Quality Assurance (QA) is the means by which the CPRA ensures Quality Control is being done by the CMAR and the quality of the end-product.

• Developing a QA Plan for the Project.

• Provide an experienced and qualified staff member to serve as the Resident Project Representative for each definable feature of work

• Performing QA inspections in accordance with the Project plans and specifications and the Project’s QA Plan

• Performing QA testing in accordance with the Project plans and specifications and the Project’s QA Plan
DELIVERABLES

• QA Plan
• Health, Safety, Security, and Environment (HSSE) Plan for the Construction (Owners Team)
• Daily QA Activity Report
• QA Test Reports
• Pre-Final Punch List
• Final Project Acceptance Recommendation
• Project Closeout Report
RFQ SUMMARY
RFQ OVERVIEW

RFQ package includes the following:

• **Section 1:** Introduction
• **Section 2:** Definitions
• **Section 3:** Background
• **Section 4:** Existing and Proposed Team Members
• **Section 5:** Contract Eligibility
• **Section 6:** Staffing and Key Personnel
• **Section 7:** Submittal Requirements and Evaluation Criteria
• **Section 8:** Submittal Format Requirements
• **Section 9:** Selection Process
• **Section 10:** Pre-Submittal Meeting
RFQ OVERVIEW

RFQ package includes the following:

- **Section 11**: Instructions and Miscellaneous Provisions
- **Section 12**: Schedule of Events
- **Enclosures**:
  - Project Overview
  - Scope of Services
  - Sample Contract
  - Confidential Conflict of Interest Disclosure Form
  - Submittal Requirements Checklist
Section 5:

• The firm selected to provide Construction Administration and Quality Assurance Services under this RFQ shall not be eligible to participate on the following teams:
  
  • **Mid-Barataria Project:** CMAR Contractor (Prime and all subcontractors) and Engineering and Design (E&D) Contractor (Prime contractor only).
  
  • **Mid-Breton Project:** CMAR Contractor (Prime contractors only) and E&D Contractor (Prime contractor only).
  
  • **Program:** Owner’s Review Team (ORT) (Prime contractor only) and Safety Assurance Review (SAR) Panel (Prime and all subcontractors).
  
• Teams submitting a response to this RFQ should be advised that contractors of the State of Louisiana may, in certain circumstances, be deemed public employees as defined by the Ethics Commission. **Full disclosure to the CPRA is required of any potential conflicts.**
  
• The Respondent shall provide completed Confidential Conflict of Interest Disclosure Forms (Enclosure 4) for all proposed Key Personnel and any other staff member deemed critical to the performance of the services for the sole purpose of validating that there is no conflict of interest. The Confidential Conflict of Interest Disclosure Forms will not be considered in the page limit.
Section 6:
Minimum Key Personnel to be included in the RFQ Response should include the following:

- Principal Executive
- Project Manager
- Deputy Project Manager
- Safety and Health Officer (SSHO)
- Resident Project Representative (Headworks Area)
- Resident Project Representative (Conveyance Channel and Guide Levees)
- Resident Project Representative (Highway 23 Roadway and Bridge)
- Resident Project Representative (Siphon and Drainage Structures)
- Resident Project Representative (Outfall Transition Area)
- Resident Project Representative (Reservation Area)
- Resident Project Representative (New Orleans Gulf Coast (NOGC) Railroad)

Note: A single person may cover more than one area provided that they are qualified to perform QA activities in each designated field of expertise and if his/her workload allows.
PROPOSAL RESPONSE FORMAT

Section 8:

• One original, clearly marked “Original”, which includes original signatures of company officials or agents duly authorized to sign proposals or contracts on behalf of the company and six (6) hard copies of the SOQ.

• Two (2) USB flash drives shall also be submitted containing a single PDF file of the entire SOQ response. **Financial information shall NOT be included on the flash drives.**

• The Respondent shall submit their Financial Information in a separate envelope as part of their Statement of Qualifications (SOQ) clearly identified with the Respondent’s name and marked “Confidential – Financial Documentation”. Each page of the financial documents provided shall be marked “Confidential”. Financial records will not be considered in the page limit.

• Refer to Enclosure 5, Submittal Requirements Checklist
## EVALUATION

### Section 7:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Max Possible Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Business Organization, History and Relevant Experience</td>
<td>15 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Experience &amp; Qualifications of Key Personnel</td>
<td>40 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Understanding and Approach</td>
<td>30 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Hudson/Veteran Small Entrepreneurship Program</td>
<td>10 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Compliance with All SOQ Requirements</td>
<td>5 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>100 Points</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CURRENT SCHEDULE OF EVENTS

**Section 12:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date/Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advertisement Period</td>
<td>March 18, 2022 – May 12, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Submittal Meeting</td>
<td>March 30, 2022 at 10:00 am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for Questions</td>
<td>April 14, 2022 at 3:00 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRA Response to Questions</td>
<td>April 26, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOQ Responses Due</td>
<td>May 12, 2022 @ 3:00 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announcement of Selected Contractor</td>
<td>on or about August 2, 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THANK YOU

@LOUISIANACPRA

BRAD BARTH | BRADLEY.BARTH@LA.GOV
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Association</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>E-Mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>J D Harrison</td>
<td>CDM Smith</td>
<td>817.889.6972</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Harrison.jd@cdmsmith.com">Harrison.jd@cdmsmith.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chris Paul</td>
<td>Southern Shores Eng</td>
<td>225.772-9926</td>
<td><a href="mailto:e.paul@southernshoreseng.com">e.paul@southernshoreseng.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Terri Dupre</td>
<td>Meyer Eng</td>
<td>504-585-9892</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Toupre@meyer-eng.com">Toupre@meyer-eng.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bryan Jones</td>
<td>HNTB</td>
<td>225.218.3647</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bryan.jones@hntb.com">bryan.jones@hntb.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kirk Rhinehart</td>
<td>Royal</td>
<td>225.278.8436</td>
<td>KRINEHART@ROYALENG(ING).NET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Blake Roussel</td>
<td>Stanley Consultants</td>
<td>225.381.2422</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Roussel.Blake@stanleygroup.com">Roussel.Blake@stanleygroup.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kodi Guillory</td>
<td>Sustainable Design Solutions</td>
<td>225.939.5368</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kguillory@sustainabledes.com">Kguillory@sustainabledes.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Joel Tillery</td>
<td>Freeze &amp; Nichols</td>
<td>679.602.1658</td>
<td><a href="mailto:joel.tillery@freeze.com">joel.tillery@freeze.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lisa Smith</td>
<td>Premier Geotech</td>
<td>225-715-5860</td>
<td>LisaSmith@Premier GeoTech.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Chad Vosburg</td>
<td>ECM</td>
<td>225-282-6805</td>
<td><a href="mailto:C.Vosburg@ECMConsultants.com">C.Vosburg@ECMConsultants.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Kazem Alikhani</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kazem@ecmconsultants.com">Kazem@ecmconsultants.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Vijay Dasgupta</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>504.234.7605</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Vijay@ecmconsultants.com">Vijay@ecmconsultants.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Dale Gardner</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:Dg2arber@ECMConsultants.com">Dg2arber@ECMConsultants.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Association</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>E-Mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Alex Young</td>
<td>Evans-Graves</td>
<td>(225) 926-1620</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ayoung@evans-graves.com">ayoung@evans-graves.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Laura Barnes</td>
<td>GIS Eng.</td>
<td>(855) 709-7574</td>
<td><a href="mailto:laBarnes@gisly.com">laBarnes@gisly.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Jacob Loeske</td>
<td></td>
<td>985 665 2262</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jloeske@gisly.com">jloeske@gisly.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Nilay Bhadwaj</td>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>949 425 9091</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Amanda Gazze</td>
<td>com Smith</td>
<td>504-351-3375</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gazza@cdmsmith.com">gazza@cdmsmith.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Glenn Ledet Jr.</td>
<td>Neel-Schaffer</td>
<td>225 573 2364</td>
<td><a href="mailto:glenn.ledet@neel-schaffer.com">glenn.ledet@neel-schaffer.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>