

from john to everyone: 5:56 PM

we appreciate the webinar and transparency.

from Amanda Voisin - Lafourche Parish Government to everyone: 5:57 PM

My comment is regarding the potential discrepancies in the initial assessment of the affected oyster leases and when the actual survey/appraisal is done. When going through this process for a past project, we received an estimate of the cost to acquire the leases for a borrow source area based on a desktop analysis done by CPRA. CPRA/Parish did an MOU based on the estimate. When the actual survey/appraisal was done, the actual cost to acquire was much higher than the estimate, which led to project delays to get that sorted out. I would recommend that if that type of initial analysis be done, no agreements, etc. be completed until the final survey/appraisal cost is done.

from Jerry Graves to everyone: 5:58 PM

Who is ultimately responsible for administering the program: LDWF or CPRA?

from Jerry Graves to everyone: 6:00 PM

Is the presence of cultch material and/or spat taken into consideration during the survey and assessment?

from Amanda Voisin - Lafourche Parish Government to everyone: 6:00 PM

Also, thanks to CPRA for these webinars. It's been very informative and a good refresher on the OLACP process.

from Capt. George Ricks to everyone: 6:01 PM

Some oyster lease holders have invested heavily in cultivative cultch. I think some compensation should be provided for this investment. Also, the determination of percentage of oyster mortality due to a project, particularly diversion projects, seems it could be left to speculation. I also think appraisal of leases should be done by a totally independent 3rd party.

from Jerry Graves to everyone: 6:03 PM

Thanks, all.

from sroy@matrixnewworld.com to everyone: 6:04 PM

Is there an initiative between CPRA, LDWF, and Leaseholders to provide environmental data/modeling to the leaseholders to better assist in determining the change in salinity/sedimentation and other factors that play a role in whether a lease has no current potential but may in the future given the projects that are currently proposed or envisioned within the next, say 10 years?

from sroy@matrixnewworld.com to everyone: 6:07 PM

Thank you!

from Cynthia Duet to everyone: 6:08 PM

Can you expand upon the thought behind the listed initiative number 1, "...payment to further public interest and continued existence of the industry"?

from Jerry Graves to everyone: 6:08 PM

Could you direct us to the referenced waiver for coastal projects that lessees sign? I have not seen this document before.

from Jerry Graves to everyone: 6:11 PM

Thanks

from sroy@matrixnewworld.com to everyone: 6:26 PM

If an oyster lease has been permanently closed to the harvest of oysters by LDHH, are the oysters considered marketable? Is the cost of relay taken into account within the appraisal? Is the lease devalued because of this permanent closure?

from sroy@matrixnewworld.com to everyone: 6:27 PM

I apologize that was a lot of questions in one, but general idea is how the appraisal is conducted on a permanently (not seasonally) closed lease.

from sroy@matrixnewworld.com to everyone: 6:33 PM

Thank you, again.

from sroy@matrixnewworld.com to everyone: 6:52 PM

Are the final compensations paid through OLACP available to the public on a per CPRA project basis?

from sroy@matrixnewworld.com to everyone: 6:56 PM

I appreciate the education and the time spent answering questions/comments.