ADDENDUM NO. 1

TO: ALL POTENTIAL PROPOSERS

RE: RSIQ 2503-18-02 Mid-Breton Sediment Diversion Project (BS-0030)

PROPOSAL DUE DATE: NOVEMBER 20, 2017 4:00PM

This addendum shall be considered part of the RSIQ and associated enclosures. The clarifications, revisions and additions in this addendum supersede the requirements in the advertised RSIQ and enclosures.

I. CLARIFICATIONS

- None

II. QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

1. I noticed a recent RSIQ advertisement for a Mid-Breton Sediment Diversion Project (RSIQ 2503-18-02) which called for design consultation. After going through the packet I noticed mention of a few opportunities:

   1. Independent Cost Estimator
   2. Outreach and Engagement Consultant
   3. Land Consultant
   4. Construction Management at Risk?

Will any of these opportunities be publicly advertised on the Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority’s RFP advertisement page, perhaps by the end of the year or the first half of 2018?

Opportunities for professional or consulting services solicited through the Request for Statement of Interest and Qualifications or Request for Proposals processes will be publicly advertised on CPRA’s RFPs, RSIQs – Contracts advertisement page; however, these packages are not anticipated to be released until 2019 or later. A detailed schedule for each of these opportunities will be updated after the E&D phase has been initiated.

2. Will a review of the subsurface geology using oil and gas industry 2-D and 3-D seismic data be performed? Access to the preferred 3D data sets may be accomplished through a collaborative engagement with owners, licensees and interpreters of the surveys in the area. Such a collaborative engagement may be facilitated with the assistance of the New Orleans Geological Society, the Louisiana Mid-Continent Oil and Gas Association, or the Louisiana
Oil and Gas Association. Oil & gas industry 2D data should also be considered as a relatively low cost dataset to assess surface faulting (Figure 1).

**Once the E&D phase has been initiated, this level of detailed data collection and analysis will be determined.**

3. Will high resolution seismic data in the immediate vicinity of the diversion structure be acquired? This should necessarily include land-based acquisition along both banks of the river and marine acquisition in the river channel.

**Once the E&D phase has been initiated, this level of detailed data collection and analysis will be determined.**

4. Will the acquisition of sediment core profiles across potential faults be completed? The arrangement of these core profiles should be of adequate density to allow for the interpretation of faults by the vertical offset and variations in thickness of the sedimentary layers. The evaluation of core profiles should include detailed stratigraphic analysis and age-dating of the sedimentary layers to allow for estimates of historical subsidence rates and rates of fault movement.

**Once the E&D phase has been initiated, this level of detailed data collection and analysis will be determined.**

5. Will there be additional subsidence measurement capabilities, similar to those of the Myrtle Grove Superstation at several additional locations in the vicinity of the diversion? We would suggest that these stations be positioned with advance knowledge of the location of faults in the area to allow for the direct measurement of variations in subsidence velocities across the faults.

**Once the E&D phase has been initiated, this level of detailed data collection and analysis will be determined.**

6. How will the integration of detailed variations in subsidence rate and estimates of fault slip rate into predictive subsurface geological models including models for the response to sediment loading associated with diversion operations be performed?

**Once the E&D phase has been initiated, this level of detailed data collection and analysis will be determined.**

7. Will guidance documents and regulations from other states be considered and modified to help develop mitigation techniques to accommodate horizontal and vertical displacement due to fault movement?

**Once the E&D phase has been initiated, this level of detailed data collection and analysis will be determined.**

### III. RSIQ REVISIONS

None

**Attachments:**

- Pre-Submittal Meeting Presentation
- Pre-Submittal Meeting Sign-In Sheets

**END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1**
Attachment 1: Pre-Submittal Meeting Presentation
PRE-SUBMITTAL MEETING

MID-BRETON SEDIMENT DIVERSION
ENGINEERING & DESIGN RSIQ

October 25, 2017
SAFETY MOMENT – EMERGENCY EXIT

Evacuation Routes
In the event of an Emergency:
• ASSIST PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
• EXIT THE BUILDING USING THE NEAREST EXIT. DO NOT USE ELEVATORS!
• DO NOT RE-ENTER UNTIL AUTHORIZED TO DO SO.
• ASSEMBLE IN THE CONTRACTOR PARKING LOT LOCATED ON TERRACE AVENUE
• CONTACT EMERGENCY PERSONNEL VIA 2-WAY COMMUNICATION DEVICE.

All Personnel shall remain away from the building until the Building Safety Coordinator, Floor Wardens or other authorities advise that it is safe to return.
MEETING AGENDA

• Ground Rules

• Program and Project Background

• BS-0030 Project Team

• RSIQ Overview
  • RSIQ Criteria and Scoring
  • Summary of Enclosures
  • Schedule

• Questions
RSIQ GROUND RULES

• Upon conclusion of this presentation, questions will be accepted. Attendees must come to the front of the conference room to ask a question.

• Any responses given in this forum are not considered binding or official.

• OFFICIAL QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS RSIQ SHOULD BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING TO CPRA FOR OFFICIAL RESPONSE.

• All questions should be submitted to Gloria Tigner (CPRAcontracts@la.gov) by November 1, 2017

• Official response will be posted on web site by 4:00 pm (local time) on November 8, 2017
RSIQ GROUND RULES

• NO contact with CPRA or Program members during RSIQ Period (besides this meeting)

• RSIQ has specific submittal requirements
  ✓ Font size and number of pages
  ✓ Organization of submittal
  ✓ Forms to be submitted

• Submittal Date and Time – November 20, 2017 at 4:00 pm (local time)
PROGRAM AND PROJECT BACKGROUND
MID-BASIN SEDIMENT DIVERSION PROGRAM
BS-0030 PROJECT TEAM – PROGRAM TEAM

Regulatory/EIS and 408 Authorization

Funding

Banks

USACE

EIS/Environmental

Engineering/Design

Construction/CMAR

O&E

Land

BS-0030 Management Team

Owner: CPRA

Program Support: CH2M

NFWF Funding
## PROJECTED PROGRAM COSTS AND FUNDING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MID-BARATARIA</th>
<th>MID-BRETON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Cost</td>
<td>$1.4B</td>
<td>$797M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Logos representing various organizations involved in the program.
MID-BRETON SEDIMENT DIVERSION

PROJECT LOCATION
BACKGROUND AND HISTORY
BACKGROUND AND HISTORY

- USACE (2010) Integrated Feasibility Study and Supplementary EIS Recommendations:
  - Study authorized under 2007 WRDA Section 7006(e)(3)(B)
  - 35,000 cfs peak flow
  - Location: East Bank RM59
  - Recommended plan included a 35,000 cfs diversion structure just north of Phoenix, consisting of ten 15-ft x 15-ft box culverts
  - Total project cost of $387,620,000
BACKGROUND AND HISTORY

• Additional Study Initiated December 2011
  - Concerns over location of recommended intake resulted in additional locational analysis (in-river data collection and FLOW-3D modeling)
  - Intake location moved to Location 1
  - Will’s Point (River Mile 68.6)
  - Proposed concept included 15-ft x 15-ft culverts to 2 tainter gates.
BACKGROUND AND HISTORY

- 2013 Report – Additional Hydraulics and Sediment Analysis on Project Location
  - 35,000 cfs peak flow
  - East Bank near Bertrandville (RM68)
  - Modified based on river hydraulics and sediment supply
RECENT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

• Location Analyses
• E&D Advertisement Package
• Basin Wide Delft3D – Sensitivity Analyses (Ongoing)
• EIS Advertisement Package (Underway)
LOCATION ANALYSIS

Location 1 (RM68.6)
LOCATION ANALYSIS
LOCATION ANALYSIS
BS-0030 PROJECT FEATURES

- Inlet channel
- Gated diversion structure at the Mississippi River Levee
- Conveyance channel
- Interior drainage improvements
- Structure/connection through the non-federal levee
- Highway accommodations
- Utility relocations
PERMITTING AND REGULATORY

**Permitting**
Coastal Use (LDNR), Navigation, and Wetlands

- Section 10 (USACE Navigation)/404 (USACE Wetlands) and Coastal Use Permit (LDNR CUP)
- Permit Public Notice and Comment
- Coastal Use Permit
- Coastal Use Permit Public Notice and Comment
- Coastal Use Permit Approval
- Section 10/404 Approval
- Project Implementation and Monitoring

**NEPA Compliance**
National Environmental Policy Act

- Solicitation of Views
- Notice of Intent for EIS
- Start EIS
- Public Scoping Meeting
- Draft EIS
- USACE (HQ/MVD) Approves Draft EIS for Release
- Public Comment on Draft EIS
- Final EIS
- Public Review of Final EIS
- Complete EIS (Record of Decision)

**408 Approval (USACE)**
Request to alter a Federal Project or project with Federal interest; no direct public involvement.

- 408 Request
- 60% Plans and specifications review
  - District - PDT
  - Agency Technical Review (ATR)
  - IEPR-SAR Review
- USACE (HQ/MVD) Preliminary 408 Approval
- Record of Decision (408)
- 408 Approval
- 408 Construction Oversight
PERMITTING AND REGULATORY

- EIS RFP Anticipated Q1 2018
- EIS Notice To Proceed (NTP) Anticipated Q4 2018
BS-0030 PROJECT TEAM
PROCUREMENT OF ENGINEERING SERVICES

BS-0030 MANAGEMENT TEAM

OWNER: CPRA

PROGRAM SUPPORT: CH2M

INDEPENDENT COST ESTIMATOR

EIS 3RD PARTY CONSULTANT

DESIGN TEAM

CMAR

LAND ACQUISITION

PERMITTING/ENVIRONMENTAL

USACE

SECTION 408

- ENVIRONMENTAL AND PERMITTING
- MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
- ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
- INSTRUMENTATION/CONTROLS ENGINEERING
- NAVIGATION ENGINEERING OR EXPERT
- STRUCTURAL/BRIDGE ENGINEERING
- HIGHWAY AND TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

- PROJECT MANAGEMENT
- CIVIL ENGINEERING
- COASTAL ENGINEERING AND DREDGING
- HYDRAULICS ENGINEERING
- HYDROLOGY AND DRAINAGE ENGINEERING
- GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING
- SURVEYING

KEY

--- CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP
--- WORKING RELATIONSHIP
COLLABORATIVE APPROACH - CMAR

Construction Management at-Risk (CMAR)

- Owner
- Owner’s Advisor
- CMAR
- Design-Builder

Contractual Relationship
- Contract Amendment for GMP or Lump Sum
- Contract Amendment to Approve Construction
- Embedded Relationship
COLLABORATIVE APPROACH - CMAR

- Coordination/Collaboration with CMAR
  - Kickoff meeting
  - Milestone cost estimates
  - Design “Snapshots” at 50% & 80%
  - CMAR Guaranteed Maximum Price
  - Collocation in Baton Rouge – provide facilities

- Role of CMAR in the Design Phase
  - Milestone review – deliverable
  - Risk analysis
  - Constructability review
  - Value engineering
  - Construction cost estimates at all milestones
  - Site investigation needs

- CMAR Advertisement should be anticipated in 2019
RSIQ OVERVIEW
ENGINEERING AND DESIGN

• RSIQ package is generally similar to the Mid-Barataria Sediment Diversion package.

• Scope of Services includes several key differences:
  - Additional effort on early or “conceptual” design and data collection phases
  - 5% Permit Package and 15% Alternatives Design
  - Potential stoppage in work during or after completion of the 15% Design milestone
## PROCUREMENT OF ENGINEERING SERVICES

### EVALUATION CRITERIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Scoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Understanding of Project</td>
<td>5 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach to Project Design</td>
<td>20 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Project Team</td>
<td>25 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant Project Experience</td>
<td>25 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Management &amp; Design Management</td>
<td>20 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Meet All SIQ Requirements</td>
<td>5 Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>100 Points</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT (5 POINTS)

- Project goals and objectives
- Project location
- Project future operational considerations
- Permitting/regulatory support required
- Design and construction
  - opportunities and challenges
  - how they will be addressed in the design process
- Knowledge of local stakeholder dynamics
- Understanding of Scope of Services
APPROACH TO PROJECT DESIGN (20 POINTS)

• Provide approach in two parts:
  - Phase 1: Basis of Design (including feasibility, site selection and engineering alternatives analysis)
  - Phase 2: Detailed Design

• DESIGN TEAM roles
  - Within the CMAR delivery process
  - Meet the needs of the CMAR, EIS TPC, O&E Consultant, and the needs of the regulatory process

• Innovative concepts
  - Proven
  - Firm has successfully implemented the concept on other project(s)
PROPOSED PROJECT TEAM (25 POINTS)

• Key team professionals (including sub-consultants that will perform key professional roles)
  - Resumes of each key member (in SF 24-102)
  - Discussion of their qualifications and roles and responsibilities within the DESIGN TEAM.

• Table/Chart showing availability of key individuals and support resources on the DESIGN TEAM
  - Current workload
  - Time allocated to BS-0030 Project

• All resumes for key personnel should be submitted in SF 24-102 (block 7) as part of the Standard Forms section. Resumes should be referenced but not duplicated in Part C

• 15 Points + 10 Points for Hudson participation
RELEVANT PROJECT EXPERIENCE (25 POINTS)

- List comparable projects to BS-0030 and DESIGN TEAM’S roles in these projects (dams, locks, large water conveyance systems, etc.)
- Emphasis on alternative delivery projects (Design-Build, CMAR)
- Emphasis on project experience within Louisiana, and in places with similar site conditions
  - Geotechnical conditions
  - Interaction with riverine and marsh systems
- Review Team shall check references on a minimum of five projects
RELEVANT PROJECT EXPERIENCE

Enclosure 3 – Key Definitions

**Relevant Project Experience** means services for projects where the Proposer served as the lead designer of projects that created large facilities such as large conveyance channels, major levees, major water control structures, or other water resource facilities of similar size and function with particular emphasis on alternative delivery projects (CMAR, DB, and PDB) and those within Louisiana, the Southeastern United States, and other geographic areas of the United States and the world which provided similar site characteristics, geotechnical conditions, climate, and interaction with riverine and marsh systems. “Major” in the above use means similar in size or function to the diversion proposed facilities.

- **Detailed relevant project descriptions are to be submitted in Part D of the SIQ**
- **Relevant projects should be discussed in SF 24-102 (block 8) with brevity. The intent of this form as it pertain to projects is for project owner contact information.**
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & DESIGN MANAGEMENT (20 POINTS)

• Must demonstrate relevant project experience
  - Project management processes, tools
  - Proposed Project Manager
  - Proposed Design Manager

• Demonstrate the proposed PM and DM’s experience
  - Large scale complex civil works projects, environmental infrastructure/restoration,
  - Coordination of complex permitting and regulatory issues
  - Review Team shall check references on a minimum of two projects

• 5 points for PM Organization; 10 points for PM; 5 points for DM
SIQ REQUIREMENTS (5 POINTS)

- Follow the outlined instructions and meet all submittal requirements specified (format, page numbers, required forms, etc.)
  - Submit seven (7) hard copies in tabbed binder(s) and two (2) USB flash drives within the allowable page counts
  - Submit all required forms
  - Verify all licenses and insurance certifications
  - Submit Submittal Requirements Checklist

- Failure to meet these requirements does not lead to disqualification; failure to meet these requirements results in award of less than 5 points
ENCLOSURE 1 – SCOPE OF SERVICES

- Program Background
- BS-0030 Project Features
- General Design Administration
- Design Services Required
- Milestones and Major Deliverables

STATE OF LOUISIANA
COASTAL PROTECTION AND RESTORATION AUTHORITY

MID-BRETON SEDIMENT DIVERSION PROJECT
STATE PROJECT No. BS-0030

Engineering and Design
SCOPE OF SERVICES

October 2017

CPRA | SEDIMENT DIVERSION PROGRAM
ANTICIPATED PROJECT SCHEDULE

- NTP May 2018
- BOD Mar 2020
- 60% Apr 2022
- 100% Apr 2023
- 30% May 2021
- 90% Nov 2022
ENCLOSURE 2 – DRAFT CONTRACT

- Submit a contract acknowledgement agreement with the submittal
- May provide proposed modifications to the contract
- CPRA reserves the right to reject any and all modifications not in the best interest of the project or CPRA
# ENCLOSURE 3 – EVALUATION CRITERIA

## Enclosure 3

**RSIQ Submittal Requirements and Evaluation Criteria with Key Definitions**

**CPRA BS-0030 Project**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Submittal Requirements</th>
<th>Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Scoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part A - Understanding of Project</td>
<td>The Design Team will submit their understanding of the project goals, project location, project future operational considerations, permitting/regulatory support required by the Design Team as described in the Scope of Services.</td>
<td>The Respondent’s response will be evaluated based on understanding of the Project goals and challenges and understanding of the elements of the Scope of Services.</td>
<td>Possible Score: 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part B - Project Approach</td>
<td>The Design Team will provide their approach to meeting the goals of the project and provide specificity to their process, the Design Team experience, and design steps to meet the project schedule as described within Enclosure 1 Scope of Services. The approach should include a description of the Design Team roles within the CMAR delivery process and how the proposer will meet the needs of the CMAR, EIS consultant, O&amp;M Consultant, and the needs of the regulatory process (Section 408 approvals and 404 permitting) to be managed by the Design Team and submitted by CPRA. The Independent Technical Review (ITR) and quality control program of the Design Team should be described and their interface with the entire Design Team and subsequent reporting to CPRA should be a component described in the project approach. The Design Team should provide a discussion of the possible solutions and/or consequences of each design and construction challenge and how they will be addressed in the design process.</td>
<td>Design Team will be evaluated based on their understanding of large earthen conveyance channel design and construction, approach for effective use of alternative project delivery methods, and achieving permitting, project schedule and project budget objectives. This section should show strong approaches developed for Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the project.</td>
<td>Possible Score: 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ENCLOSURE 4 - SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST

### BS-0030 RSIQ Submittal Requirements Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Mark “Checked” if Submitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introductory Information</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Transmittal Letter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Executive Summary</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part A – Understanding of Project</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Project goals, proposed design components/concepts, project location, project future operational considerations, CMAR delivery model, permitting/regulatory requirements</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local stakeholder dynamics</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Understanding the Scope of Services</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part B – Approach to Project Design</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Design process to meet the project goals and schedule</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Focused on two phases: Phase 1 (Feasibility, Engineering Alternatives Analysis and BOD) and Phase 2 (Detailed Design)</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Approach for services to be performed, including an approach for the design and construction phases of the Project, project development and management, and quality control</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Possible solutions and design and construction challenges and risks</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Design Team roles within the alternative delivery process – CMAR, including how a collaborative working relationship with the CMAR contractor will be achieved</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Management and support of the USACE Sections 408 and 404 permitting process</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support during the EIS and permitting process</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part C – Proposed Project Team</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Team Qualifications – Information about qualifications and abilities of Key Individuals that are compatible to the services required under this RFQ</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Enclosure 5. Form 24-102 populated with resumes for key members of the Design Team and submitted in the Standard Forms Section.</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part D – Relevant Project Experience</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Relevant project experience within Louisiana, the Gulf Coast Region United States, and other geographic areas of the United States and the world which provided similar site characteristics, geotechnical conditions, climate, and interaction with rivers and marsh systems; Include detailed information for a minimum of five (5) and a maximum of ten (10) examples of relevant project experience. Example projects should be limited to projects completed within the past fifteen years and preferably in operations for minimum of one year.</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Mark “Checked” if Submitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part E – Project Management and Design Management</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Project management process and tools, and use of quality control process and IT</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Project manager’s relevant project management experience with multi-discipline teams</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Design manager’s relevant project experience with multi-discipline teams</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Enclosure 5. Form 24-102 populated with the resume of the DESIGN TEAM’s Project Manager and Design Manager and submitted in the Standard Forms Section.</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Project Manager and Design Manager’s relevant projects information with contact information to be used for reference checks</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part F – Ability to Meet All SEQ Requirements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RSIQ Submittal Requirements Checklist</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Standard Forms, Contract Acknowledgment, Certificate(s) and Other Requirements</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Insurance Verification Letter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Standard Form 24-102</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hudson Form Participation Documentation</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Disclosure of Ownership</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evidence of Eligibility to Participate – Documentation and evidence of the Proposers’ legal form of entity (i.e. article of incorporation, by laws, Joint Venture agreements, etc.)</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Contract Review Acknowledgement with any requested changes and modifications</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conflict of Interest – Disclosure of potential conflict of interest</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• License – Copies of relevant licenses, certifications and registrations</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Acknowledgement of Addenda</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authorized Signature: ______________________  Date: _____________________
Print Name: ___________________________  Title: __________________________
ENCLOSURE 5 - STANDARD FORM 24-102

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Form: CPRA 24-102</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services Contracts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Advertisement Title
2a. Announcement date
2b. RSQ number

3a. Name and mailing address of the firm's office performing the work
3b. Name, title, telephone number and e-mail of official with signing authority for this contract
3c. Name, title, telephone number and e-mail of contact for this contract (if different from 3b)
3d. Firm's Louisiana License number

4. Full-time personnel on firm's payroll
   a. Civil Engineers, with current Louisiana P.E. registration
   b. Environmental Engineers, with current Louisiana P.E. registration (not included in 4a)
   c. Engineer Interns
   d. Technical Support Personnel (non-engineers)
   e. Environmental personnel (non-engineers)
   f. Planning personnel (non-engineers)
   g. Other personnel not included in above categories (If project specific, list titles and total numbers for each below)

   Total personnel (sum of a – g)

8. Firm's most relevant project experience (List no more than 10 projects and include no more than one page per project)

   a. Project name & location
   b. Project description
   c. Nature of firm's responsibility & firm members involved
   d. Owner's name, address, and telephone number
   e. Completion date & cost in thousands
ORAL PRESENTATIONS

- Short listed firms may be requested by CPRA, at its sole discretion, to provide an Oral Presentation of capabilities, resources and approach

- If Oral Presentations are requested by CPRA, detailed information and requirements for presentations will be provided to the contact person for each of the short listed firms.
## SCHEDULE OF EVENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Anticipated Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advertisement Period</td>
<td>October 5, 2017 – November 20, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Submittal Meeting</td>
<td>October 25, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for Questions</td>
<td>November 1, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIQ Responses Due</td>
<td>November 20, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection Committee Review</td>
<td>November 21 – December 20, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Presentation Notification</td>
<td>December 20, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tentative Date for Oral Presentation(s)</td>
<td>January 17, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announcement of Selected DESIGN TEAM</td>
<td>February 7, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracting/Negotiation/Award Period</td>
<td>February 8 – May 8, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Notice to Proceed</td>
<td>May 9, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
QUESTIONS
Attachment 2: Pre-Submittal Meeting Sign-In Sheets
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Association</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>E-Mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dave Culpepper</td>
<td>TCO</td>
<td>985-264-1677</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dculpepper@theculpepper.com">dculpepper@theculpepper.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Associates</td>
<td>504-529-2355</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wayne Welch</td>
<td>Arcadis</td>
<td>703-645-4206</td>
<td><a href="mailto:wayne.welch@arcadis.com">wayne.welch@arcadis.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Walter Bumby</td>
<td>Arcadis</td>
<td>514-376-4453</td>
<td><a href="mailto:walter.bumby@arcadis.com">walter.bumby@arcadis.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>FalcoH Hill</td>
<td>Arcadis</td>
<td>225-237-8620</td>
<td><a href="mailto:FalcoH.Role@arcadis.com">FalcoH.Role@arcadis.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>David Sansone</td>
<td>Arcadis</td>
<td>225-205-8285</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dand.sansone@arcadis.com">dand.sansone@arcadis.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rele Derner</td>
<td>COW</td>
<td>502-267-7772</td>
<td><a href="mailto:aleb@c.wi.com">aleb@c.wi.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Matthew Clark</td>
<td>Baird</td>
<td>608-628-6786</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mclark@baird.com">mclark@baird.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>John Montgomery</td>
<td>Stantec</td>
<td>(857)388-2483</td>
<td><a href="mailto:john.montgomery@stantec.com">john.montgomery@stantec.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Steve Cali</td>
<td>CLE</td>
<td>504-388-8719</td>
<td><a href="mailto:scali@engineers.com">scali@engineers.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dan Grandac</td>
<td>Stantec</td>
<td>504-322-4050</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dgrandal@s.tantec.com">dgrandal@s.tantec.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Tom Cancienne</td>
<td>Stantec</td>
<td>504-616-7063</td>
<td><a href="mailto:thematicancienne@stantec.com">thematicancienne@stantec.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Jonathan Morris</td>
<td>MPH, Inc.</td>
<td>(855)209-3739</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jmorris@mphinc.com">jmorris@mphinc.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Bob Schmidt</td>
<td>Huval &amp; Associates</td>
<td>225-202-6287</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bschmidt@huvalassociates.com">bschmidt@huvalassociates.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Association</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>E-Mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Heather Laynson</td>
<td>C42M</td>
<td>225-270-5938</td>
<td><a href="mailto:heather.laynson@ch2m.com">heather.laynson@ch2m.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Kodi Guillory</td>
<td>CPEA</td>
<td>225-342-5175</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Kodi.guillory@l.a.gov">Kodi.guillory@l.a.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Randy Bushway</td>
<td>C42M</td>
<td>561-281-1889</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Nodushey@ch2m.com">Nodushey@ch2m.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Rudy Simoneaux</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Jerry Carroll</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Brad Barth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>